arXiv:math/0610215v1 [math.RT] 6 Oct 2006

THE STRUCTURE OF COMPLEMENTARY SERIES AND SPECIAL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUPS $O(n,1)$ AND $U(n,1)$.

A. M. Vershik,1) M. I. Graev2)

ABSTRACT. We give a survey of several models of irreducible complementary series representations and their limits, special representations, for the groups $SU(n,1)$ and $SO(n,1)$, including new ones. These groups, whose geometrical meaning is well known, exhaust the list of simple Lie groups for which the identity representation is not isolated in the space of irreducible unitary representations (i.e., which do not have the Kazhdan property) and hence there exist irreducible unitary representations of these groups — so-called "special representations" — for which the first cohomology of the group with coefficients in these representations is nontrivial. By technical reasons, it is more convenient to consider the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$. Most part of the paper is devoted to the group $U(n,1)$.

The main emphasis is on the so-called commutative models of special and complementary series representations: in these models, the maximal unipotent subgroup is represented by multiplicators in the case of $O(n,1)$, and by the canonical model of the Heisenberg representations in the case of $U(n,1)$. Earlier, these models were studied only for the group $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. They are especially important for realization of nonlocal representations of current groups, which will be considered elsewhere.

We substantially use the "density" of the irreducible representations under study of $SO(n,1)$: their restrictions to the maximal parabolic subgroup P are equivalent irreducible representations. Conversely, in order to extend an irreducible representation of P to a representation of $SO(n,1)$, we must additionally define only one involution. For the group $U(n,1)$, the situation is similar but slightly more complicated.

UDC: 517.5.

CONTENTS

Introduction 2

PRELIMINARY INFORMATION ON THE GROUPS $O(n,1)$ AND $U(n,1)$ 12

§ 1. The groups $O(n,1)$, $U(n,1)$ and some subgroups of these groups 13

PART 1. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP $O(n,1)$ 16

§ 2. Models of irreducible unitary complementary series representations of the group $O(n,1)$ and of the special representation of this group 16

PART 2. REPRESENTATIONS OF SUBGROUPS OF THE GROUP $U(n,1)$ 27

§ 3. Representations of the Heisenberg group H and their extensions to the groups $P_0 = H \rightthreetimes D_0$ and $P = H \rightthreetimes D$ 27

§ 4. Representations of the groups P_0 and P in the spaces $H(\rho)$ and $H_\pm^\lambda$ 33

PART 3. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP $U(n,1)$ 40

§ 5. Models of the complementary series of irreducible unitary representations of the group $U(n,1)$ 40

---

1) Supported by the grants NSh.–4329.2006.1 and CRDF RUM1-2662-ST-04.

2) Supported by the grant RFBR 01-04-00363.

§ 6. Models of the special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ 48

Appendices 64

§ 7. Appendix 1. Canonical states and canonical representations 64

§ 8. Appendix 2. Derivation of some formulas 72

References 78

Introduction

0.1. **Special representations.** An irreducible unitary nonidentity representation T_g of a group G in a Hilbert space L is called *special* if it can be extended to an indecomposable reducible representation $\hat{T}$ in a one-dimensional extension $L \oplus \{\xi\}$ of the space L: $\hat{T}_g(h,\xi) = (T_g h + b(g), \xi)$, where the map $b : G \to L$ satisfies the condition

$$b(g_1 g_2) = b(g_1) + T_{g_1} b(g_2) \quad \text{for any } g_1, g_2 \in G.^{1)}$$

Such a map is called a 1-cocycle, and the representation is indecomposable if and only if this cocycle is nontrivial, i.e., the function $b(g)$ cannot be written in the form $b(g) = T_g\xi - \xi$ for any $\xi \in L$. Given a representation T of the group G, we define, in the usual way, the additive first cohomology group $H^1(G,T)$ of G with values in this representation: the quotient of the additive group of cocycles by the subgroup of trivial (i.e., cohomologous to zero) cocycles. Thus the fact that an irreducible representation T is special means that the first cohomology with values in this representation is nontrivial.

Cocycles with values in the identity representation of a group are additive one-dimensional characters of this group; hence for groups G having such nontrivial characters, it is natural to consider that the identity representation is also special. In this case, the cohomology group of the identity representation is precisely the group of additive characters of G.

In [1], it is proved that every special nonidentity representation of a locally compact compactly generated group cannot be separated (in the Fell–Jacobson topology) from the identity representation; in other words, every open neighborhood of a special representation has a nonempty intersection with every open neighborhood of the identity representation. Thus for groups having a nonidentity special representation, the identity representation is not isolated in the set of all unitary irreducible representations; in other words, such groups do not have the Kazhdan property (T). Starting from the pioneering paper [3] and subsequent presentations (see, e.g., [4]), many studies have been devoted to groups with the Kazhdan property (one of the recent books is [5]); but we are interested in semisimple groups that do not have this property. In [1], the set of nonidentity irreducible unitary representations that cannot be separated from the identity representation was called the *core*, and these representations themselves, by a clear reason, were called *infinitesimal representations*. As one can easily check on the example of the group of Euclidean motions, not every infinitesimal representation is special.

---

1) Note that the term "special representation" in [49] has another meaning.

It may happen that a special representation belongs to the closure of the identity representation. This is equivalent to the fact that a special representation contains almost invariant vectors ($\forall\,\varepsilon > 0\ \forall\, g_1, g_2, \dots g_k \in G\,\exists\, h \in L$, $||T_{g_i}h - h|| < \varepsilon$).

In [1], it was also conjectured that every locally compact group without property (T) has a special representation (possibly, the identity one). This was proved by Y. Shalom [7] (see also [5] and references therein), even in a stronger form: every such group has a special representation, and, moreover, not only the ordinary cohomology group with values in this representation is nontrivial, but the strict cohomology group is also nontrivial. (The group of strict cohomologies is the quotient of the group of cocycles by the *closure* of the subgroup of trivial cocycles, see [5]). However, the problem of computing the cohomology and explicitly describing special representations, and even that of finding the number of such representations, is far from being solved. It is known that for Abelian and nilpotent groups, only the identity representation is special. Even for countable solvable groups, the situation is more complicated. The cohomology group was studied in a number of papers, see, e.g., [8] and the books [27, 29]; for the cohomology of the group of automorphisms of a tree, see [2].

The squared norm of a 1-cocycle is a conditionally positive definite function on the group. For semisimple groups of rank 1, such functions were described in [12] and, slightly later and independently, in [9]. In this case, the fact that a cocycle is not cohomologous to zero if and only if its norm, regarded as a function on the group, is unbounded, follows from the results of [12]. In the general form, for arbitrary unitary representations, it was apparently first proved in [6] (see also [5]).

Among the simple Lie groups, only $SO(n, 1)$ and $SU(n, 1)$ have special representations, see [12]. The groups $SO(n, 1)$, $n > 2$, have exactly one special representation, and the groups $SU(n, 1)$ and $SO(2, 1)$ have exactly two special representations [29, pp. 168–169]. They are the subject of this paper. As to groups of rank higher than 1 and the groups $Sp(n, 1)$, for which the identity representation is isolated in the space of irreducible unitary representations, similar opportunities appear for them provided that we consider nonunitary representations with invariant bilinear, but not positive definite, form; in this wider class, special representations exist for every simple Lie group, but it seems that this opportunity is not yet investigated in detail.

Though for groups of rank 1, special representations as such were of course known before the paper [12], only that paper made clear their link to cohomology, as well as to unbounded conditionally positive definite functions and the canonical state from [10]; see comments on these two papers in [13, 11].

One of the important applications of nonidentity special representations is as follows: using such a representation, one can construct an irreducible nonlocal unitary representation of the corresponding current group G^X, i.e., the group of bounded measurable maps $X \to G$ with pointwise multiplication, where X is a measurable space; this question is considered in the series of papers [10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19], [20, 21, 33]. However, we do not consider these applications in this paper.[2)]

[2)] For representations of infinite-dimensional groups, see also [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45], [22, 23, 24, 25], and the monographs [27, 28]. See also the monographs [29, 30] and the papers [34, 26].

This paper is devoted to irreducible unitary representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$, i.e., the groups of linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ preserving, respectively, the quadratic and Hermitian forms of signature $(n,1)$. Note that, up to central subgroups, they are the groups of motions of the real and complex Lobachevsky spaces $O(n,1)/O(n)\times O(1)$ and $U(n,1)/U(n)\times U(1)$.[3)]

We study only the special representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ and the closely related complementary series representations, leaving aside the general representation theory of these groups, which is presented in an extensive literature. We place emphasis on the properties that distinguish these representations and their realizations from other representations; in particular,

the restriction of a special representation of the group $O(n,1)$ or $U(n,1)$ to the maximal parabolic subgroup P remains a special irreducible representation.

Thus the study of the special representations of $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ reduces to studying the special representations of their maximal parabolic subgroups.

The structures of the complementary series representations and the special representations in the case of $U(n,1)$ are richer and more beautiful than the analogous structures in the case of $O(n,1)$. We consider these groups separately; the case of $O(n,1)$ is presented more briefly, and the main part of the paper concerns the group $U(n,1)$. For representations of the group $O(n,1)$, see also [18, 19].

The theorems presented in the paper describe various models of the representations under study, including new ones.

0.2. **The special representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ as limits of the complementary series representations.** The special representations of $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ can be obtained by passing to the limit from the so-called complementary series representations, which are of independent interest.

Let G be one of these groups and P be its maximal parabolic subgroup (which is unique up to conjugation). Complementary series representations of the group G are its irreducible unitary representations induced from one-dimensional **nonunitary** representations of the subgroup P. These representations depend on a real parameter λ, which lies in the interval $(0,n-1)$ in the case of $O(n,1)$, and in the interval $(0,2n)$ in the case of $U(n,1)$. Their description in the case of $G=SL(2,K)$ can be found in [31] for $K=\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$, and in [32] for a non-Archimedean field K.

Complementary series representations can be realized, for example, in Hilbert spaces L^λ of functions on the sphere $S=G/P$. Other realizations are described below.

At the endpoints of the interval $(0,n-1)$ in the case of $O(n,1)$ and, respectively, $(0,2n)$ in the case of $U(n,1)$, the space L^λ becomes reducible.

In the case of $O(n,1)$, for $\lambda=0$ the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ in the space L^λ degenerates on a subspace L of codimension 1. The special representation T of $O(n,1)$ is realized in this subspace L with the norm

$$\|f\| = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{d\|f\|_\lambda}{d\lambda}.$$

[3)] Replacing the groups $SO(n,1)$ and $SU(n,1)$ by their extensions $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$, while not causing any substantial changes, simplifies constructions.

The associated nontrivial 1-cocycle is given by the formula $b(g) = T_g\xi - \xi$, where $\xi \notin L$.

For $\lambda = n{-}1$, the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ degenerates on a one-dimensional subspace L_0. The special representation is realized in the quotient by L_0 with the norm

$$\|f\|_0 = \lim_{\lambda \to n-1} \|f\|_\lambda.$$

The special irreducible unitary representations of the group $O(n,1)$ corresponding to the endpoints of the interval $(0, n{-}1)$ are equivalent.

In the case of $U(n,1)$, for $\lambda = 0$ the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ also degenerates on a subspace L of codimension 1. In contrast to the case of $O(n,1)$, the norm $\|f\| = \lim\limits_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{d\|f\|_\lambda}{d\lambda}$ in L for $n > 1$ degenerates on some infinite-dimensional subspace $L_1 \subset L$. The Hilbert space L/L_1 (with the inherited norm) splits into the direct sum of two irreducible nonequivalent invariant subspaces H_+ and H_-. The representations of the group $U(n,1)$ in the subspaces H_+ and H_- are special representations.

For $\lambda = 2n$ with $n > 1$, the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ degenerates on a subspace L of infinite codimension. The norm $\|f\| = \lim\limits_{\lambda \to 2n} \frac{d\|f\|_\lambda}{d\lambda}$ on L degenerates on a one-dimensional subspace $L_0 \subset L$. The representation of the group $U(n,1)$ in the Hilbert space L/L_0 splits into the direct sum of two nonequivalent special irreducible representations.

The special unitary representations of $U(n,1)$ corresponding to the endpoints of the interval $(0, 2n)$ are equivalent.

0.3. **Matrix realizations of the groups $O(n,1)$, $U(n,1)$ and of some subgroups of these groups.** Matrix realizations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ are determined by the choice of a quadratic (respectively, Hermitian) form of signature $(n,1)$ in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$). This choice is in turn equivalent to fixing a Cartan subgroup of the corresponding group.

We realize $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ as the groups of linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ preserving, respectively, the quadratic form $2x_1x_{n+1} + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2$ and the Hermitian form $x_1\overline{x}_{n+1} + x_{n+1}\overline{x}_1 + |x_2|^2 + \ldots + |x_n|^2$.

In this realization, elements of the groups can be written as block matrices $g = \|g_{ij}\|$ of order 3, where the diagonal blocks g_{11}, g_{22}, and g_{33} are square matrices of orders 1, $n{-}1$, and 1, respectively. The maximal unipotent subgroups $Z \subset O(n,1)$ and $H \subset U(n,1)$ are, respectively, the groups of matrices of the form

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma^* & e & 0 \\ -\frac{\gamma\gamma^*}{2} & \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -z^* & e & 0 \\ it - \frac{zz^*}{2} & z & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1},$$

where e is the unit matrix of order $n{-}1$, $\gamma\gamma^* = \gamma_1^2 + \ldots + \gamma_{n-1}^2$, $zz^* = |z_1|^2 + \ldots + |z_{n-1}|^2$.

It is convenient to write elements of H as pairs (t, z), $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, with the multiplication law

$$(t_1, z_1)\,(t_2, z_2) = (t_1 + t_2 - \operatorname{Im} z_1 z_2^*, z_1 + z_2).$$

The normalizer of these subgroups is the subgroup P of lower block triangular matrices, i.e., the maximal parabolic subgroup. It is essential that each of the groups $O(n,1)$ and

$U(n,1)$ is algebraically generated by the elements of P and one element

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ are completely determined by the operators corresponding to the elements of the subgroup P and this element s.

The group P is the semidirect product $P = Z \leftthreetimes D$ and $P = H \leftthreetimes D$, respectively, where D is the subgroup of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon^{-1}, u, \epsilon), \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^*, \quad u \in O(n-1), \quad \text{in the case of} \quad O(n,1).$$

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\epsilon}^{-1}, u, \epsilon), \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*, \quad u \in U(n-1), \quad \text{in the case of} \quad U(n,1).$$

The subgroup D can be written as the direct product $D = D_0 \times D_1$, where D_0 is the maximal compact subgroup of D. In the case of $U(n,1)$, D_0 is the subgroup of block-diagonal matrices $d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, u, \epsilon)$, $|\epsilon| = 1$, $u \in U(n-1)$, and $D_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^*_+$ is the subgroup of block-diagonal matrices of the form $d = \operatorname{diag}(r^{-1}, e, r)$, $r > 0$. In the case of $O(n,1)$, the subgroups D_0 and D_1 are defined in a similar way.

By P_0 we denote a subgroup of P of codimension 1, namely, $P_0 = Z \leftthreetimes D_0$ and $P_0 = H \leftthreetimes D_0$, respectively.

0.4. **The difference in the structures of complementary series representations and special representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$.** The difference in the structures of representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ is predetermined by the structure of their maximal unipotent subgroups Z and H, respectively.

The subgroup $Z \subset O(n,1)$ is commutative and isomorphic to the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$; thus all irreducible representations of Z are one-dimensional. Hence in the case of $O(n,1)$ there exists a model of representations in which the operators corresponding to the unipotent subgroup act as multiplicators. We call it the commutative model associated with the unipotent subgroup.

The subgroup $H \subset U(n,1)$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group of dimension $2n-1$, so that it has a one-parameter family of infinite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations. In this case, the analog of the commutative model for $O(n,1)$ is a direct integral of multiples of irreducible infinite-dimensional subspaces of the Heisenberg group. Thus the theory of complementary series representations and special representations of $U(n,1)$ merges with the representation theory of the Heisenberg group.

Note that the Heisenberg subgroup $H \subset U(n,1)$, in contrast to the maximal unipotent subgroup of $O(n,1)$, has the following remarkable property. Every infinite-dimensional irreducible unitary representation of H can be (uniquely) extended to a unitary representation of the subgroup $P_0 \subset P$ of codimension 1: $P = P_0 \leftthreetimes D_1$, $D_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^*_+$.

It is known that the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ are algebraically generated by the elements of the subgroup P and one element s of order 2. Thus if the space of a unitary representation of $U(n,1)$ is decomposed into a direct integral of infinite-dimensional subspaces invariant and irreducible with respect to the Heisenberg subgroup H, then, in order to describe this representation, it suffices to define only the action of the operators of the one-parameter subgroup D_1 and the operator corresponding to the element s.

0.5. **Restrictions of representations of $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ to the maximal compact and parabolic subgroups.** The difference between $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ shows itself also in the restrictions of complementary series representations and special representations to the maximal compact subgroup K and the maximal parabolic subgroup P.

In both cases, the restrictions of complementary series representations to K are pairwise equivalent and contain the identity representation with multiplicity one; the spectrum of these restrictions is simple only in the case of $O(n,1)$. When passing to the special representations, in the case of $O(n,1)$, the identity representation vanishes; in the case of $U(n,1)$, $n>1$, besides the identity representation, a certain infinite-dimensional representation of K also vanishes. Each of the remaining irreducible representations of K occurs in one of the two special representations with multiplicity one.

In both cases, the restrictions of all complementary series representations to P are equivalent to one and the same representation of P induced from the identity representation of its block-diagonal subgroup.

In the case of $O(n,1)$, $n>2$, this representation of P is irreducible and equivalent to the restriction to P of the special representation. Thus on the subgroup P these representations coincide. On the whole group $O(n,1)$ they differ by the action of the single operator T_s.

In the case of $U(n,1)$, this representation of P decomposes into a direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent irreducible representations. *The restrictions of the two special representations to P are irreducible and occur in this decomposition as two direct summands.*

Observe the difference between the restrictions of complementary series representations and special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ to the Heisenberg subgroup H.

The decomposition of every complementary series representation contains every infinite-dimensional representation of the Heisenberg group with infinite multiplicity.

The sum of the two special representations of $U(n,1)$ decomposes into the direct integral of all pairwise nonequivalent representations of the Heisenberg group.

In the remaining part of the Introduction we briefly describe the main results of the paper.

0.6. **Realization of the complementary series representations and the special representation for the group $O(n,1)$.** In § 2 we describe six models of the complementary series representations and six models of the special representation of the group $O(n,1)$; these models differ by the choice of coordinate system, functional space, and the endpoints of the interval corresponding to the complementary series.

More exactly, the invariant bilinear functional that determines the Hilbert space of the complementary series representation T^{λ} can be defined for any value of the parameter $\lambda \in [0, n-1]$. The values λ and $(n-1)-\lambda$ (that are distinct from the endpoints of the interval) give rise to equivalent complementary series representations T^{λ} and $T^{n-1-\lambda}$; their realizations are different.

This difference in the realization of T^{λ} and $T^{n-1-\lambda}$ is especially vivid when one compares the cases $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = n-1$, when these representations become reducible and nonequivalent. Starting from T^{0} and T^{n-1}, we can obtain two models of the unique special irreducible representation of the group $O(n,1)$. These models are different. Namely, as observed above, for $\lambda = 0$ the special representation is realized in an invariant subspace of codimension 1, while for $\lambda = n-1$ it is realized in the quotient by a one-dimensional invariant subspace.

Realization of representations also depends on the choice of coordinate system on the sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Model A uses spherical coordinates, while model B uses the local coordinates of the punctured sphere, which determine a bijection between $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and the unipotent subgroup Z.

Thus we obtain four models for each complementary series representation and four models for the special representation of the group $O(n,1)$. Two of these four models of the special representation are obtained for $\lambda = 0$, and the other two models, for $\lambda = n-1$.

Besides, one can pass to the Fourier transform in the space of model B realized in the local coordinates. Then the operators corresponding to the unipotent subgroup Z will act as multiplicators, and we obtain two more models of representations of $O(n,1)$ (models C, or commutative models), which are especially important in applications to the representation theory of the current group $O(n,1)^X$ [18, 19].

In particular, this realization is convenient for obtaining an explicit formula for the nontrivial 1-cocycle $b:\ O(n,1) \to L^0$ associated with the special representation (see Section 2.7).

In the commutative model with $\lambda = 0$, this 1-cocycle is given by the formula

$$b(g) = T_g\xi - \xi,$$

where

$$\xi = |\xi|^{-\frac{1-n}{2}} K_{\frac{1-n}{2}}(\sqrt{2}\,|\xi|)).$$

Here $K_\rho(x)$ is a Bessel function (ξ is a vector that does not belong to L^0 and is invariant under the maximal compact subgroup K of the group $O(n,1)$). In particular,

$$\xi = e^{-\sqrt{2}\,|\xi|} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 2.$$

For each model of the complementary series representations, we explicitly describe the spherical function and the vacuum vector ξ, i.e., a vector from the representation space that is invariant under the maximal commutative subgroup. We also describe the embedding of the spaces of complementary series representations into their tensor product. This embedding leads to one of the constructions of representations of the current group $O(n,1)^X$.

0.7. Construction of an irreducible representation of the subgroup $P \subset U(n,1)$.

In §§ 3–6 we consider representations of the group $U(n,1)$ and its parabolic subgroup P.

We begin in § 3 with a construction of a class of unitary representations of the group P based on the construction of irreducible representations of the Heisenberg group H. It is known that every infinite-dimensional unitary representation of H is determined by a real parameter $\rho \neq 0$ (the Planck constant). We consider the Bargmann realization of these representations. In this realization, the representation with parameter $\rho > 0$ is defined in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ of entire analytic functions $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = |\rho|^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-|\rho|\,|z|^2}\, d\mu(z), \tag{0.1}$$

where $|z|^2 = zz^* = |z_1|^2 + \ldots + |z_{n-1}|^2$ and $d\mu(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. The operators corresponding to elements $h = (t_0, z_0) \in H$ have the form

$$T_h f(z) = e^{\rho\,(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,|z_0|^2 - zz_0^*)}\, f(z + z_0). \tag{0.2}$$

Analogously, the representation with parameter $\rho < 0$ is defined in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ of entire antianalytic functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm (0.1). The operators corresponding to elements $h = (t_0, z_0) \in H$ have the form

$$T_h f(z) = e^{i\rho t_0 - |\rho|\,(\frac{1}{2}\,|z_0|^2 + z_0 z^*)}\, f(z + z_0). \tag{0.3}$$

Each of these representations can be extended to a unitary representation of the group $P_0 = H \leftthreetimes D_0$. Namely, the operators T_d corresponding to elements $d = (\epsilon, u, \epsilon) \in D_0$ are given, for every ρ, by the formulas

$$T_d f(z) = f(\epsilon z u). \tag{0.4}$$

To construct unitary representations of the group P, we associate with every $\lambda \geqslant 0$ direct integrals of the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_+^\lambda = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(\rho)\, \rho^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_-^\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{H}(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho.$$

The representations of the group P_0 in these spaces can be extended to unitary representations of the group $P = P_0 \leftthreetimes D_1$. Namely, the operators T_d corresponding to elements $d = \operatorname{diag}(r^{-1}, e, r) \in D_1$ have the form

$$T_d f(\rho, z) = f(r^2\rho, r^{-1}z)\, r^\lambda. \tag{0.5}$$

The representations of the group P in the spaces $\mathcal{H}_+^\lambda$ (respectively, $\mathcal{H}_-^\lambda$) defined in this way are irreducible and pairwise equivalent; the representations in $\mathcal{H}_+^\lambda$ and $\mathcal{H}_-^\lambda$ are not equivalent.

In § 6 it is shown that these representations of the group P can be extended to special representations of the group $U(n, 1)$.

0.8. **Representations of the group P in the spaces $H_\pm^\lambda$.** In § 4 we construct a family of reducible unitary representations of the subgroup $P \subset U(n, 1)$ in spaces H_+^λ and H_-^λ. As shown later in § 5, the representations of the subgroup P in the spaces $H^\lambda = H_+^\lambda \oplus H_-^\lambda$ can be extended to (irreducible) complementary series representations of the whole group $U(n, 1)$.

The spaces $H_\pm^\lambda$ are defined as the direct integrals

$$H_+^\lambda = \int_0^\infty H(\rho)\, \rho^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho \quad \text{and} \quad H_-^\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^0 H(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho,$$

where $H(\rho)$ is the Hilbert space of *all* functions $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm (0.1).

In $H(\rho)$, a unitary representation of the group P_0 acts; it is given by (0.2) and (0.4) for $\rho > 0$, and by (0.3) and (0.4) for $\rho < 0$.

The space $H(\rho)$ decomposes into a direct sum of invariant P_0-irreducible pairwise nonequivalent subspaces:

$$H(\rho) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(\rho),$$

where $H_m(\rho)$ with $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$ is cyclically generated by the vectors $\overline{z}^k = \overline{z}_1^{k_1} \ldots \overline{z}_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$ and $z^k = z_1^{k_1} \ldots z_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$, respectively, where $k_1 + \ldots + k_{n-1} = m$. In particular, $H_0(\rho) = \mathcal{H}(\rho)$.

The representation of the Heisenberg subgroup in each subspace $H_m(\rho)$ is a multiple of the irreducible representation with parameter ρ. The multiplicity is equal to the number of partitions of m into the sum of $n-1$ nonnegative integer summands.

Each space $H(\rho)$ has an orthogonal basis $\{f_{pq} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}\}$ compatible with the action of the Heisenberg group H. For every fixed p, the vectors f_{pq}, $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, form an orthogonal basis in the subspace of an irreducible representation of the group H. We describe the matrix elements of the representations of the group P_0 in the same subspace in terms of this basis.

The representations of the group P_0 in the subspaces $H_\pm^\lambda$ can be extended, according to (0.5), to unitary representations of the group P. These spaces are reducible with respect to P and decompose into a direct sum of P-irreducible pairwise nonequivalent subspaces:

$$H_\pm^\lambda = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} (H_\pm^\lambda)_m \ ,$$

where

$$(H_+^\lambda)_m = \int_0^\infty H_m(\rho)\, \rho^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho \quad \text{and} \quad (H_-^\lambda)_m = \int_{-\infty}^0 H_m(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho.$$

0.9. **Models of the complementary series representations of the group $U(n,1)$.** In § 5, by analogy with the case of the group $O(n,1)$, we construct six different models of the complementary series representations of the group $U(n,1)$. We start from the known realization of these representations in a space of functions on the unitary unit sphere $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (model A), and then pass to their realization in a space of functions on the Heisenberg group H, i.e., in the space of functions $\varphi(t,z)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ (model B).

In the next model (model C), the complementary series representations of the group $U(n,1)$ are realized as direct integrals of multiples of irreducible representations of the Heisenberg group. They are obtained by passing from functions $\varphi(t,z)$ in model B to functions $\psi(\rho,z)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, which are given by the integral

$$\psi(\rho,z) = |\rho|^{-n+1}\, e^{\frac{|\rho|}{2}|z|^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\rho t}\, \varphi(t,z)\, dt.$$

In this model, the space L^λ of the complementary series representation is a direct integral of P_0-invariant subspaces $L(\rho)$:

$$L^\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} L(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho,$$

where $L(\rho)$ is the space of functions $\psi(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_\rho^2 = |\rho|^{2n-2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} R_\lambda(\rho, z_1, z_2)\, \psi(\rho, z)\, \overline{\psi(\rho, z)}\, d\mu(z_1)\, d\mu(z_2).$$

An explicit expression for R_λ in terms of the Bessel function $K_{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}(t)$ is given in the main text.

The operators of the subgroup P_0 in the space $L(\rho)$ are given by the same formulas as for the subspace $H(\rho)$ defined in Section 0.8.

The total number of models of each complementary series representation of the group $U(n,1)$ is equal to 3 rather than 6, because the representations in the spaces L^λ and $L^{2n-\lambda}$ are equivalent.

Let us formulate the main result of § 5. It is proved that the representations of the subgroup P_0 in the spaces $L(\rho)$ and $H(\rho)$ are equivalent. Thus there exists an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces

$$J : H(\rho) \to L(\rho)$$

commuting with the operators of the group P_0. Since $H(\rho) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(\rho)$, where $H_m(\rho)$ are P_0-invariant irreducible pairwise nonequivalent subspaces, it follows that on each of them the operator J is a multiple of the identity operator: $J = c_m$ id on $H_m(\rho)$. (In the main text, we explicitly calculate the coefficient c_m.)

The isomorphism $J : H(\rho) \to L(\rho)$ induces an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces

$$H^\lambda \to L^\lambda$$

commuting with the action of the whole group P (and not only the subgroup $P_0 \subset P$).

In view of the isomorphism $H^\lambda \to L^\lambda$, the action of the group $U(n,1)$ can be transferred from the space L^λ to the space H^λ.

> *Thus the space H^λ can be regarded as a new model of the space of a complementary series representation.*

In this new model, the operators of the subgroup P are given by the same formulas as in the space L^λ. Hence, to describe a representation of the group $U(n,1)$ in the new model, it suffices to know only the operator T_s.

0.10. **Models of the special representations of the group $U(n,1)$.** In § 6 we construct six models of the special representations of the group $U(n,1)$. They are obtained from the models of the complementary series representations by passing to the limit as $\lambda \to 0$ and $\lambda \to 2n$.

The structure of the spaces L^0 and L^{2n}, which are the limits of the spaces L^λ of complementary series representations, was already discussed in Section 0.2.

Let us describe the main model (model C, $\lambda = 0$).

The special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ are realized in the spaces

$$\mathcal{L}_+ = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}(\rho)\, \rho^{-1}\, d\rho, \qquad \mathcal{L}_- = \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{L}(\rho)\, |\rho|^{-1}\, d\rho.$$

Here $\mathcal{L}(\rho)$ is the quotient of the space of functions $\psi(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_\rho^2 = |\rho|^{2n-2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{|\rho|(a_\rho(z_1,z_2)-|z_1|^2-|z_2|^2)}\, \psi(z_1)\, \overline{\psi(z_2)}\, d\mu(z_1)\, d\mu(z_2),$$

where

$$a_\rho(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} z_1 z_2^* & \text{for } \rho > 0, \\ z_2 z_1^* & \text{for } \rho < 0, \end{cases} \tag{0.6}$$

by the subspace of functions of norm 0.

The spaces $\mathcal{L}(\rho)$ are irreducible and pairwise nonequivalent under the action of the Heisenberg group H. Thus the special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ decompose into direct integrals of irreducible pairwise nonequivalent representations of the Heisenberg group.

There is another realization of the special representations of $U(n,1)$. Namely, it is proved that there exists an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^0_\pm \to \mathcal{L}_\pm$$

commuting with the action of the subgroup P, where $\mathcal{H}^0_\pm$ are the spaces defined in § 0.7 of the Introduction.

In view of this isomorphism, the action of the group $U(n,1)$ can be transferred from the spaces $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ to the spaces $\mathcal{H}^0_\pm$.

We call the realizations of the special representations of $U(n,1)$ in $\mathcal{H}^0_\pm$ the Bargmann models. In these models, the action of the operators of the subgroup P is defined from the beginning, so that in order to give a complete description of a representation of $U(n,1)$, it suffices to determine only the action of the operator T_s.

It is establish that in the case of $\mathcal{H}^0_+$ the action of T_s on functions of the form $\rho^{|k|}\, e^{-a\rho}\, z^k$, where $z^k = z_1^{k_1} \dots z_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$, $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}$, and $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$, is given by

$$T_s(\rho^{|k|}\, e^{-a\rho}\, z^k) = a^{-|k|}\, \rho^{|k|}\, e^{-\frac{\rho}{a}}\, z^k.$$

In particular, $T_s(e^{-a\rho}) = e^{-\frac{\rho}{a}}$. In a similar way T_s is defined on the space $\mathcal{H}^0_-$.

In the Bargmann model, the nontrivial 1-cocycles $b_\pm : U(n,1) \to \mathcal{H}^0_\pm$ are given by

$$b_\pm(g) = T_g \xi_\pm - \xi_\pm, \quad \xi_\pm = \chi_\pm(\rho)\, e^{-|\rho|},$$

where $\chi_\pm$ are the characteristic functions of the half-lines $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$, respectively. Since $b_\pm(g) = 0$ on the maximal compact subgroup, this function is uniquely determined by its restriction to the subgroup P_1, a one-dimensional extension of the Heisenberg group H.

0.11. **Canonical representations.** In the construction of the special representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$, one can replace the complementary series with another one-parameter series of unitary representations, the canonical representations.

Canonical representations were first introduced in [10, 17] in connection with constructing representations of the current groups $O(n,1)^X$ and $U(n,1)^X$.

In Appendix 1, we first give a general definition of canonical representations for an arbitrary locally compact group G, and then explicitly construct the canonical representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ and establish their relation to the complementary series representations and the special representations.

In Appendix 2, we derive some formulas used in the paper.

§ 1. The groups $O(n,1)$, $U(n,1)$ and some subgroups of these groups

1.1. **The groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$.** By $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ we denote the groups of all linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ preserving, respectively, the quadratic and Hermitian forms of signature $(n,1)$; this condition uniquely determines the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ up to isomorphism. In what follows, we use two matrix models of these groups, which are determined by the choice of the corresponding quadratic and Hermitian form.

1.1.1. *Model a.* The quadratic and Hermitian forms are, respectively,

$$x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2 - x_{n+1}^2,$$

$$|x_1|^2 + \ldots + |x_n|^2 - |x_{n+1}|^2.$$

In this model, elements of the groups are written as block matrices

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where the diagonal blocks α, δ are matrices of orders n and 1, respectively. In this notation, $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ are, respectively, the groups of real and complex matrices g of order $n+1$ satisfying the condition

$$g\sigma g^* = \sigma, \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha\alpha^* - \beta\beta^* = e, \quad \alpha\gamma^* - \beta\delta^* = 0, \quad \delta\delta^* - \gamma\gamma^* = 1.$$

Here e is the unit matrix of order n and $*$ denotes conjugation, i.e., $\alpha^* = \alpha^\top$ in the real case, and $\alpha^* = \overline{\alpha}^\top$ in the complex case.

1.1.2. *Model b.* The quadratic and Hermitian forms are, respectively,

$$2x_1x_{n+1} + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2,$$

$$x_1\bar{x}_{n+1} + x_{n+1}\bar{x}_1 + |x_2|^2 + \ldots + |x_n|^2.$$

In this model, elements of the groups are written as block matrices

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the diagonal blocks are matrices of orders 1, $n-1$, and 1, respectively. In this notation, $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ are, respectively, the groups of real and complex matrices g of order $n+1$ satisfying the condition

$$gsg^* = s, \qquad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

where e is the unit matrix of order $n-1$.

Remark. Model a is convenient for describing the maximal compact subgroup in $U(n,1)$. Namely, the latter subgroup in model a consists of block-diagonal matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$, where $u \in O(n)$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ in the case of $O(n,1)$; and $u \in U(n)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$ in the case of $U(n,1)$. Model b, mostly used in this paper, is convenient for describing the unipotent subgroup.

1.1.3. *Transition from model a to model b and vice versa.* Note that the matrices σ and s are related by

$$a^{-1}sa = \sigma, \quad \text{where} \quad a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that the transition from model a to model b reduces to the transformation $g \to aga^{-1}$. Let us give explicit formulas for this transition. For this, let us write the blocks of matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ in model a in the form

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2),$$

where α_{22} is a matrix of order $n-1$ and β_2, γ_2 are, respectively, a column and a row of length $n-1$. Then the transition from model a to model b is given by the following formula:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{11} + \delta - \beta_1 - \gamma_1) & \frac{\alpha_{12}-\gamma_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{11} - \delta + \beta_1 - \gamma_1) \\ \frac{\alpha_{21}-\beta_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \alpha_{22} & \frac{\alpha_{21}+\beta_2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{11} - \delta - \beta_1 + \gamma_1) & \frac{\alpha_{12}+\gamma_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{11} + \delta + \beta_1 + \gamma_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conversely, the transition from model b to model a is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(g_{11} + g_{33} + g_{13} + g_{31}) & \frac{g_{12}+g_{32}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{g_{21}+g_{23}}{\sqrt{2}} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(-g_{11} + g_{33} + g_{13} - g_{31} \\ \frac{-g_{21}+g_{23}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma = (\frac{1}{2}(-g_{11} + g_{33} - g_{13} + g_{31}), \frac{-g_{12} + g_{32}}{\sqrt{2}}), \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2}(g_{11} + g_{33} - g_{13} - g_{31}).$$

1.2. **The subgroups Z and H.** Denote by $Z \subset O(n,1)$ and $H \subset U(n,1)$ the following subgroups of block matrices in model b:

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma^* & e & 0 \\ -\frac{\gamma\gamma^*}{2} & \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -z^* & e & 0 \\ it - \frac{zz^*}{2} & z & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1},$$

where e is the unit matrix of order $n-1$. They are the maximal unipotent subgroups of the corresponding groups. The first of them is isomorphic to the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$; the second one is the Heisenberg group of dimension $2n-1$.

Elements of H will be written as pairs $h = (t, z)$ or $h = (\zeta, z)$, where $\zeta = it - \frac{zz^*}{2}$. In this notation, the product of elements of H is given by the formulas

$$(t, z)\,(t', z') = (t + t' - \operatorname{Im}(zz'^*),\; z + z')$$

or

$$(\zeta, z)\,(\zeta', z') = (\zeta + \zeta' - zz'^*,\; z + z').$$

Here and in what follows, $zz'^* = z_1\overline{z'_1} + \ldots + z_{n-1}\overline{z'_{n-1}}$.

According to the formulas for the transition from one model to the other, in model a the subgroup H consists of block matrices $h = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\zeta}{2} & \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{z^*}{\sqrt{2}} & e \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\zeta}{2} \\ \frac{-z^*}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma = (\frac{\zeta}{2}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}), \quad \delta = 1 - \frac{\zeta}{2}. \end{gathered} \tag{1.3}$$

1.3. **The subgroups D, D_0, and D_1.** Denote by D the subgroup of block-diagonal matrices in model b. It consists of all block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon^{-1}, u, \epsilon), \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^*, \quad u \in O(n-1) \quad \text{in the case of} \quad O(n, 1),$$

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\epsilon}^{-1}, u, \epsilon), \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*, \quad u \in U(n-1) \quad \text{in the case of} \quad U(n, 1).$$

The group D decomposes into the direct product

$$D = D_0 \times D_1,$$

where, in the case of $U(n, 1)$, $D_0 \cong U(1) \times U(n-1)$ is the subgroup of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, u, \epsilon), \quad \text{where} \quad |\epsilon| = 1, \quad u \in U(n-1),$$

and $D_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^*_+$ is the subgroup of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(r^{-1}, e, r), \quad \text{where} \quad r > 0.$$

In the case of $O(n, 1)$, the subgroups D_0 and D_1 are defined in a similar way.

1.4. **The subgroups P, P_0, and P_1.** Denote by P the normalizer of the maximal unipotent subgroup, i.e., the maximal parabolic subgroup. Obviously, in model b the subgroup P coincides with the subgroup of all lower block triangular matrices and thus can be written as the semidirect product

$$P = H \leftthreetimes D.$$

Further, denote

$$P_0 = H \leftthreetimes D_0, \quad P_1 = H \leftthreetimes D_1.$$

Proposition 1.1. *In model b, the groups $O(n, 1)$ and $U(n, 1)$ are algebraically generated by the elements of the subgroup P and the element* $s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark. In view of this proposition, in order to describe a representation of the group $O(n,1)$ or $U(n,1)$, it suffices to determine, apart from its restriction to the subgroup P, only the involution operator I corresponding to the element s.

PART 1. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP $O(n,1)$

§ 2. Models of irreducible unitary complementary series representations of the group $O(n,1)$ and of the special representation of this group

Every complementary series representation is determined by a real number λ from the interval $0<\lambda<n-1$. Let us describe three models of these representations.

2.1. **Model A: realization in a space of functions on the unit sphere $S\subset\mathbb{R}^n$.** The unit sphere

$$S=\{\omega\in\mathbb{R}^n \mid \quad |\omega|^2\equiv{\omega_1}^2+\ldots+{\omega_n}^2=1\}$$

is a homogeneous space of the group $O(n,1)$. Namely, if this group is realized in matrix model a, then its action on S is given by the formula

$$\omega g=(\omega\beta+\delta)^{-1}\,(\omega\alpha+\gamma)\quad\text{for}\quad g=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha&\beta\\ \gamma&\delta\end{pmatrix}.$$

The complementary series representation with parameter λ acts in the complex Hilbert space L^λ of functions $f(\omega)$ on S with the norm

$$\|f\|^2=\int_{S\times S}(1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle)^{-\lambda}\,f(\omega)\,\overline{f(\omega')}\,d\omega\,d\omega', \tag{2.1}$$

where $\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle=\omega_1\omega_1'+\ldots+\omega_n\omega_n'$ and $d\omega$ is the invariant measure on S.

Remark. Expanding $(1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle)^{-\lambda}$ into a power series, we can also write the norm in the following form:

$$\|f\|^2=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+|k|)}{k!}\Big|\int_S\omega^k\,f(\omega)\,d\omega\Big|^2.$$

Here $|k|=\sum k_i$, $k!=\prod k_i!$, $\omega^k=\prod\omega_i^{k_i}$.

The operators T_g^λ, $g=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha&\beta\\ \gamma&\delta\end{pmatrix}$, of this representation are given by the formula

$$T_g^\lambda f(\omega)=f(\omega g)\,|\omega\beta+\delta|^{1-n+\lambda}. \tag{2.2}$$

The group property of these operators is a consequence of the following relation for the function $b(\omega,g)=|\omega\beta+\delta|$:

$$b(\omega,g_1g_2)=b(\omega,g_1)\,b(\omega g_1,g_2)\quad\text{for any}\quad g_1,g_2\in O(n,1)$$

(the 1-cocycle property). The unitarity follows from the relations

$$1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle\;=(1-\langle\omega g,\omega' g\rangle)\,b(\omega,g)\,b(\omega',g)$$

and

$$\frac{d(\omega g)}{d\omega}=b^{1-n}(\omega,g)\quad\text{for every }g\in O(n,1).$$

Proposition 2.1. *Complementary series representations* T^λ *and* T^μ *of the group* $O(n,1)$ *are equivalent if and only if* $\lambda+\mu=n-1$ *or* $\lambda=\mu$.

An intertwining operator $R^\lambda : L^\lambda \to L^{n-1-\lambda}$ *is given by the following formula:*

$$(R^\lambda f)(\omega) = \int_S (1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle)^{-\lambda} f(\omega)\, d\omega'.$$

2.2. **Model B: realization in a space of functions on the maximal unipotent subgroup $Z \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.** We construct this model using matrix realization b of the group $O(n,1)$. Let us define an action $z \to z\overline{g}$ of the group $O(n,1)$ on Z by the formula

$$z\overline{g} = z',$$

where $z' \in Z$ is determined from the decomposition $zg = b^+ z'$ with b^+ being an upper block triangular matrix. In the coordinates $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ on Z and the block coordinates g_{ij} on $O(n,1)$, this action is given by the following formula:

$$\gamma\bar{g} = (-\frac{|\gamma|^2}{2} g_{13} + \gamma g_{23} + g_{33})^{-1} (-\frac{|\gamma|^2}{2} g_{12} + \gamma g_{22} + g_{32}), \tag{2.3}$$

where g_{ij} are the entries of a block matrix $g \in O(n,1)$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma\overline{g} = \gamma+\gamma_0 \quad \text{for} \quad g = z(\gamma_0) \in Z;\\ &\gamma\overline{g} = \epsilon^{-1}\gamma u \quad \text{for} \quad g = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon^{-1}, u, \epsilon);\\ &\gamma\overline{s} = -\frac{2\gamma}{|\gamma|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The transformation $\gamma \to \gamma\overline{g}$ has the following geometric interpretation. Let Y be the manifold of one-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ lying in the light cone

$$2x_1x_{n+1} + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2 = 0.$$

The group $O(n,1)$, as a group of linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, acts transitively on Y. We use the right notation for this action: $y \to y\bar{g}$. Note that in another interpretation Y is the absolute of the n-dimensional Lobachevsky space realized as the collection of one-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ lying inside the light cone.

Let us realize $Y \backslash y_0$, where $y_0 = (1,0,\ldots,0)$, as the section of the cone by the hyperplane $x_{n+1} = 1$, i.e., as the set of points in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of the form

$$(-\frac{|\gamma|^2}{2}, \gamma_i, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}, 1),$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_i,\ldots,\gamma_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $|\gamma| = (\sum \gamma_i{}^2)^{1/2}$. According to this realization, there is a natural bijection $Y \setminus y_0 \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, so that the action of the group G on Y induces its action $\gamma \to \gamma\overline{g}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We emphasize that this action is not linear.

Further, we define a function $\beta(\gamma, g)$ by the formula

$$\beta(\gamma,g) = |-\frac{|\gamma|^2}{2} g_{13} + \gamma g_{23} + g_{33}|, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad g \in G. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular,

$\beta(\gamma, g) = 1$ for $g \in Z$;
$\beta(\gamma, g) = |\epsilon\,|$ for $g = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon^{-1}, u, \epsilon)$;
$\beta(\gamma, s) = \dfrac{|\gamma|^2}{2}$.

It follows from the definition that $\beta(\gamma, g)$ is a 1-cocycle of the group $O(n, 1)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^*$, i.e.,

$$\beta(\gamma, g_1 g_2) = \beta(\gamma, g_1)\, \beta(\gamma \bar{g}_1, g_2) \quad \text{for any} \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad g_1, g_2 \in G. \tag{2.5}$$

In model B, the complementary series representation with parameter λ acts in the complex Hilbert space of functions on $Z \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with the scalar product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \;=\; \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\gamma' - \gamma''|^{-2\lambda}\, f_1(\gamma')\, \overline{f_2(\gamma'')}\, d\gamma'\, d\gamma'', \tag{2.6}$$

where $d\gamma = d\gamma_1 \ldots d\gamma_{n-1}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. The operators of this representation have the form

$$T^\lambda_g f(\gamma) = f(\gamma \bar{g})\, \beta^{1-n+\lambda}(\gamma, g), \tag{2.7}$$

where $\gamma \bar{g}$ and $\beta(\gamma, g)$ are given by (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. In particular,

$$T^\lambda_z f(\gamma) = f(\gamma + \gamma_0) \quad \text{for} \quad z = z(\gamma_0) \in Z; \tag{2.8}$$

$$T^\lambda_d f(\gamma) = |\epsilon|^{1-n+\lambda}\, f(\epsilon^{-1} \gamma u) \quad \text{for} \quad d = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon^{-1}, u, \epsilon); \tag{2.9}$$

$$T^\lambda_s f(\gamma) = f(-\frac{2\gamma}{|\gamma|^2}) \left(\frac{|\gamma|^2}{2}\right)^{1-n+\lambda} \quad \text{for} \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.10}$$

The group property of these operators immediately follows from property (2.5) of the function $\beta(\gamma, g)$; the unitarity follows from the relations

$$d(\gamma \bar{g}) = \beta^{1-n}(\gamma, g)\, d\gamma \quad \text{for every} \quad g \in O(n, 1), \tag{2.11}$$

where $d\gamma = d\gamma_1 \ldots d\gamma_{n-1}$, and

$$|\gamma - \gamma'|^2 = |\gamma \bar{g} - \gamma' \bar{g}|^2\, \beta(\gamma, g)\, \beta(\gamma', g) \tag{2.12}$$

for any $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $g \in O(n, 1)$.

One can easily check (2.11) and (2.12) for elements from Z, D and for the element s. Then it follows from the 1-cocycle property of $\beta(\gamma, g)$ that these relations hold for every element $g \in O(n, 1)$.

Proposition 2.2. *The functions $F(\omega)$ on S and $f(\gamma)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ in models A and B are related by*

$$f(\omega) = (1 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2})^{\lambda + 1 - n}\, F(\tau\gamma), \tag{2.13}$$

where $\tau\gamma = \omega$ is given by the formulas

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1 - \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2}}{1 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2}}, \quad \omega_i = \frac{2^{1/2}\, \gamma}{1 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2}}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n.$$

2.3. **Commutative models of the complementary series representations.** Let us describe a new model of a complementary series representation T^λ of the group $O(n,1)$ in which the operators of the subgroup Z act by multiplication by functions. We call it the commutative model with respect to the subgroup Z.

This model is obtained by passing from functions $f(\gamma)$ in model B to their Fourier transform

$$\varphi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle} f(\gamma)\, d\gamma.$$

Theorem 2.1. *The commutative model of a complementary series representation T^λ is realized in the Hilbert space L^λ of complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with the norm*

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = \frac{2^{-2\lambda}\,\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}-\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\xi|^{1-n+2\lambda}\, |\varphi(\xi)|^2\, d\xi, \quad |\xi| = \langle \xi,\xi\rangle^{1/2}. \tag{2.14}$$

The operators of this representation are given by the formula

$$T^\lambda_g \varphi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} A^\lambda(\xi,\xi',g)\, \varphi(\xi')\, d\xi', \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$A^\lambda(\xi,\xi',g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\,(\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle \,-\, \langle \xi',\gamma\bar{g}\rangle)}\, \beta^{1-n+\lambda}(\gamma,g)\, d\gamma. \tag{2.16}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} T^\lambda_z \varphi(\xi) &= e^{-i\langle \xi,\gamma_0\rangle}\, \varphi(\xi) \quad \textit{for} \quad z = z(\gamma_0) \in Z;\\ T^\lambda_d \varphi(\xi) &= |\epsilon|^\lambda\, \varphi(\epsilon\,\xi u) \quad \textit{for} \quad d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon^{-1}, u, \epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. In the new model, the scalar square is given by the formula

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} R(\xi,\xi')\, \varphi(\xi)\, \overline{\varphi(\xi')}\, d\xi\, d\xi',$$

where

$$R(\xi,\xi') = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\gamma-\gamma'|^{-2\lambda} e^{i(\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle \,-\, \langle \xi',\gamma'\rangle)}\, d\gamma\, d\xi' = \delta(\xi-\xi') \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\gamma|^{-2\lambda}\, e^{i\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle}\, d\xi.$$

Equation (2.14) is a consequence of the following relation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\gamma|^{-2\lambda}\, e^{i\,\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle}\, d\gamma = c_n \frac{2^{-2\lambda}\,\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}-\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \cdot |\xi|^{2\lambda-n+1}, \tag{2.18}$$

where the coefficient c_n depends only on n. For a proof of (2.18), see Appendix 2.

The formulas for the operators of T^λ in the new model can be immediately obtained from the formulas for these operators in the original model by passing from functions $f(\gamma)$ to their Fourier transforms. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *In the commutative model of the representation* T^λ, *the kernel* $A(\xi,\xi') = A^\lambda(\xi,\xi',s)$ *of the operator* T^λ_s *corresponding to the element* $s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *has the following form:*

$$A(\xi,\xi') = 2^{1-\lambda}\int_0^\infty \cos(\xi x + \frac{2\xi'}{x})\, x^{2\lambda-2}\, dx \quad \textit{for} \quad n=2, \tag{2.19}$$

$$A(\xi,\xi') = c_n 2^{-\lambda}\int_0^\infty r^{2\lambda-n}\, |r\xi + 2r^{-1}\xi'|^{-\frac{n-3}{2}}\, J_{\frac{n-3}{2}}(|r\xi + 2r^{-1}\xi|)\, dr \tag{2.20}$$

for $n>2$*; here* $J_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$ *is a Bessel function of the second kind.*

Indeed, since $\gamma\overline{s} = \dfrac{-2\gamma}{|\gamma|^2}$ and $\beta(\gamma,s) = \dfrac{|\gamma|^2}{2}$, it follows from (2.16) that

$$A(\xi,\xi') = 2^{n-1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\,(\langle\xi,\gamma\rangle + \langle\xi',\frac{2\gamma}{|\gamma|^2}\rangle)}\, |\gamma|^{2-2n+2\lambda}\, d\gamma.$$

For $n=2$, this immediately implies (2.19). For $n>2$, passing to spherical coordinates, we obtain

$$A(\xi,\xi') = c_n 2^{-\lambda}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\pi e^{i\,|r\xi+2r^{-1}\xi'|\cos\varphi}\, r^{2\lambda-n}\, \sin^{n-3}\varphi\, d\varphi\, dr.$$

Integrating with respect to φ yields (2.20).

Remark. For $n=2$, the kernel $A(\xi,\xi')$ can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions:

$$A(\xi,\xi') = c\,(\cos(\pi\lambda))^{-1}\, |\xi'\xi^{-1}|^{1/2}\, [J_{2\lambda-1}(2^{3/2}|\xi\xi'|) - J_{1-2\lambda}(2^{3/2}|\xi\xi'|)] \quad \text{for} \quad \xi\xi' < 0,$$

$$A(\xi,\xi') = c\,(\cos(\pi\lambda))^{-1}\, |\xi'\xi^{-1}|^{1/2}\, [I_{2\lambda-1}(2^{3/2}|\xi\xi'|) - I_{1-2\lambda}(2^{3/2}|\xi\xi'|)] \quad \text{for} \quad \xi\xi' > 0.$$

2.4. **Vacuum vectors and spherical functions.** In the space L^λ of an arbitrary complementary series representation there exists a vector f_λ invariant with respect to the maximal compact subgroup K of the group $O(n,1)$, and this vector is unique up to a factor. Let us call it the vacuum vector of this representation.

Proposition 2.4. *Up to a factor, the vacuum vector* $f_\lambda \in L^\lambda$ *has the form*

$$f_\lambda(\omega) = const \qquad \textit{in model} \quad A, \tag{2.21}$$

$$f_\lambda(\gamma) = (1 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2})^{\lambda+1-n} \qquad \textit{in model} \quad B, \tag{2.22}$$

$$f_\lambda(\xi) = |\xi|^{-\lambda-\frac{n-1}{2}}\, K_{\lambda-\frac{n-1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}\,|\xi|)) \qquad \textit{in the commutative model;} \tag{2.23}$$

here $K_\nu(x)$ *is a Bessel function, see* [51, Vol. 2].

Proof. For model A, the assertion follows from the explicit formulas for the operators of the representation T^λ. By Proposition 2.2, this implies the required assertion for model B.

The expression for f_λ in the commutative model can be obtained from (2.22) by the Fourier transform. To this end, it suffices to apply the following formula:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (1 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{a^2})^{-\lambda}\, e^{i\,\langle\xi,\gamma\rangle}\, d\gamma = c_n \frac{2\,a^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\, |\xi|^{\lambda-(n-1)/2}\, K_{\frac{n-1}{2}-\lambda}(a|\xi|). \tag{2.24}$$

A proof of (2.24) is given in Appendix 2. $\square$

By definition, the spherical function of a complementary series representation T^λ is the function on the group $O(n,1)$ given by the formula

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = \langle T_g^\lambda \mathbb{I},\, \mathbb{I}\rangle\,,$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the vacuum vector with norm 1. This function uniquely determines the representation up to equivalence.

Proposition 2.5. *In matrix model a of the group $O(n,1)$, the spherical function is given by the formula*

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda\, |\beta|^{1-\frac{n}{2}}\, P^{1-\frac{n}{2}}_{\lambda-\frac{n}{2}}(|\delta|) \quad \textit{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.25}$$

where P^μ_ν is a Legendre function and $|\beta| = (\delta^2-1)^{1/2}$ is the norm of a vector $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{I} = \mathit{const}$ in model a, it follows from the description of the representation T^λ that

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda \int_{S\times S} |\omega\beta + \delta|^{\lambda+1-n}\, (1 - \langle\omega,\omega'\rangle)^{-\lambda}\, d\omega\, d\omega' \quad \text{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Integrating with respect to ω' yields

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda \int_S |\omega\beta + \delta|^{\lambda+1-n}\, d\omega.$$

In view of the equation $|\beta|^2 = \delta^2 - 1$, this integral is a function of $|\delta|$ only. Hence it suffices to calculate it for the matrix $g \in O(n,1)$ with the blocks

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\tau & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\tau \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = (\sinh\tau, 0), \quad \delta = \cosh\tau.$$

Passing to the spherical coordinates on S, we obtain

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda \int_0^\pi (\sin\alpha\sinh\tau + \cosh\tau)^{\lambda+1-n}\, \sin^{n-2}\alpha\, d\alpha.$$

The obtained integral can be expressed in terms of the Legendre function $P^\mu_\nu(x)$ according to the following formula, see [51, Vol. 1, p. 156(7)]:

$$P^\mu_\nu(\cosh\tau) = \frac{2^\mu(\sinh\tau)^{-\mu}}{\pi^{1/2}\,\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\mu)} \int_0^\pi (\sin\alpha\sinh\tau + \cosh\tau)^{\mu+\nu}\, (\sin\alpha)^{-2\mu}\, d\alpha. \quad \square$$

Remark 1. The fact that the spherical functions of two equivalent representations, i.e., representations with parameters λ and $n-1-\lambda$, coincide is a consequence of the following relation for the Legendre function: $P^\mu_{-\nu-1}(\cosh\tau) = P^\mu_\nu(\cosh\tau)$.

Remark 2. The expression for $\psi_\lambda(g)$ in matrix model b of the group $O(n,1)$ is obtained by replacing $|\delta|$ in (2.25) with $\frac{1}{2}\,|g_{11} + g_{33} - g_{13} - g_{31}|$.

2.5. **Embedding into the tensor product.**

Proposition 2.6. *For any real positive numbers* $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ *with* $\sum \lambda_i < n-1$ *there exists an isometric embedding*

$$\tau : L^\lambda \to \bigotimes_{i=1}^m L^{\lambda_i}, \quad \lambda = \sum \lambda_i,$$

commuting with the action of the group $O(n,1)$*. In model* B*, it is given by the formula*

$$\tau f(\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^m) = f(\gamma^1) \prod_{i=2}^m \delta(\gamma^1 - \gamma^i); \tag{2.26}$$

in the commutative model, it is given by the formula

$$\tau\varphi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \varphi(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m). \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. Let $\langle\, , \rangle$ and $\langle\, , \rangle_m$ be the scalar products in the spaces L^λ and $\bigotimes_{i=1}^m L^{\lambda_i}$, respectively, in model B. Then, obviously,

$$\langle \tau f, \tau f \rangle_m \;=\; \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^m |\gamma' - \gamma''|^{-2\lambda_i} \, f(\gamma') \, \overline{f(\gamma'')} \, d\gamma' \, d\gamma'' = \langle f, f \rangle.$$

Thus the map τ is isometric. It is obvious that it commutes with the action of $O(n,1)$.

The commutative model is obtained by passing from functions f in model B to their Fourier transforms. Let $\varphi(\xi)$ be the image of $f(\gamma)$ under this transform. Let us check that the image of $\tau f(\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^m)$ is $\varphi(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m)$. Indeed, according to (2.26), this image is equal to

$$\int\limits_{(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^m} f(\gamma_1) \prod_{i=2}^m \delta(\gamma_1 - \gamma_i) \prod_{i=1}^m e^{i\langle \xi_i, \gamma_i \rangle} \, d\gamma_i \;=\; \varphi(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m). \quad \square$$

Remark. This embedding leads to one of the models of an irreducible nonlocal unitary representation of the current group $O(n,1)^X$, i.e., the group of measurable maps $X \mapsto O(n,1)$, where X is a measurable space.

2.6. **Models of the special representation of the group** $O(n,1)$**,** $n > 2$**.**

The special irreducible unitary representation of the group $O(n,1)$, $n > 2$, is obtained from the complementary series representations by passing to the limit as $\lambda \to 0$ or $\lambda \to n-1$.

As $\lambda \to 0$, the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ in the space of a complementary series representation degenerates on a subspace L^0 of codimension 1. The special representation is realized in the subspace L^0 with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{d\,\|f\|_\lambda^2}{d\lambda}.$$

For $\lambda = n-1$, the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ degenerates on a one-dimensional invariant subspace L_0 of the group $O(n,1)$. The special representation is realized in the quotient L^{n-1}/L_0 with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \lim_{\lambda \to n-1} \|f\|_\lambda^2.$$

The operators of the special representation can be obtained from the operators of complementary series representations by passing to the limit as $\lambda \to 0$ and $\lambda \to n-1$. The unitary representations of $O(n,1)$ in the spaces L^0 and L^{n-1}/L_0 defined in this way are irreducible and equivalent.

Since each of the spaces L^0 and L^{n-1} can be defined in three different models, we thus obtain six different models of the special representation.

Let us explicitly describe the spaces L^0 and L^{n-1} in models A and B.

2.6.1. *Model A.* Here L^0 is the subspace of functions $f(\omega)$ on the unit sphere $S = S^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the condition

$$\int_S f(\omega)\, d\omega = 0.$$

The norm in the space L^0:

$$\|f\|^2 = -\int_{S\times S} \log(1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle)\, f(\omega)\, \overline{f(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega'. \tag{2.28}$$

The norm in the space L^{n-1} of functions on S:

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{S\times S} (1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle)^{-n+1}\, f(\omega)\, \overline{f(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega'. \tag{2.29}$$

The intertwining operator $R : L^0 \to L^{n-1}$:

$$(Rf)(\omega) = \int_S \log(1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle)\, f(\omega')\, d\omega'. \tag{2.30}$$

Remark. In view of (2.30), the norm in L^0 can be written as an integral over S:

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_S (Rf)(\omega)\, \overline{f(\omega)}\, d\omega.$$

2.6.2. *Model B.* Here L^0 is the subspace of functions $f(\gamma)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ satisfying the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(\gamma)\, d\gamma = 0.$$

The norm in the space L^0:

$$\|f\|^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \log|\gamma - \gamma'|\, f(\gamma)\, \overline{f(\gamma')}\, d\gamma\, d\gamma'. \tag{2.31}$$

The norm in the space L^{n-1} of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$:

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\gamma - \gamma'|^{-2n+2}\, f(\gamma)\, \overline{f(\gamma')}\, d\gamma\, d\gamma'. \tag{2.32}$$

The intertwining operator $R : L^0 \to L^{n-1}$:

$$\|f\|^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \log|\gamma - \gamma'|\, f(\gamma')\, d\gamma'. \tag{2.33}$$

2.6.3. *Commutative model.*

Theorem 2.2. *In the commutative models corresponding to $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = n-1$, the special representation of the group $O(n,1)$, $n > 2$, is realized in the Hilbert spaces of functions $f(\xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with the norms*

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |f(\xi)|^2 \, |\xi|^{1-n} \, d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |f(\xi)|^2 \, |\xi|^{n-1} \, d\xi, \tag{2.34}$$

respectively.

Remark. In the exceptional case of the group $O(2,1)$, each of the spaces L^0 and L^{n-1}/L_0 splits into two irreducible subspaces, and we obtain not one but two special representations, 1) and 2), of this group. In model A, at each endpoint of the interval $[0,1]$, they are realized in the subspaces of functions on the unit circle that are the boundary values of 1) analytic and 2) antianalytic functions in the disk $|z| < 1$. This case reduces to the case of the group $U(1,1)$ considered in § 6.

2.7. **The nontrivial 1-cocycle associated with the special representation.** The space L of the special representation has a nontrivial 1-cocycle, i.e., a map $b : O(n,1) \to L$ that satisfies the condition

$$b(g_1 g_2) = b(g_1) + T_{g_2} b(g_1) \quad \text{for any } g_1, g_2 \in O(n,1)$$

and cannot be written in the form $b(g) = T_g \xi - \xi$, $\xi \in L$.

Let us explicitly describe the 1-cocycle b in different realizations of this representation.

Theorem 2.3.

- *The 1-cocycle $b : O(n,1) \to L^0$ is given by the formula*

  $$b(g) = T_g \xi - \xi,$$

  where ξ is a vector that does not belong to L^0 and is invariant under the maximal compact subgroup U of $O(n,1)$. The cocycle b is nontrivial.
- *The 1-cocycle $b' : O(n,1) \to L^{n-1}$ is given by the formula*

  $$b'(g) = R\, b(g),$$

  where $R : L^0 \to L^{n-1}$ is an intertwining operator.

The cocycle b is nontrivial, because in L^0 there are no U-invariant vectors.

Explicit expressions for ξ in different models can be obtained from the formulas for the vacuum vector in the spaces of complementary series representations by passing to the limit as $\lambda \to 0$. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi = const && \text{in model } A,\\ &\xi = \Bigl(1 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2}\Bigr)^{1-n} && \text{in model } B,\\ &\xi = |\xi|^{-\frac{1-n}{2}} K_{\frac{1-n}{2}}(\sqrt{2}\,|\xi|)) && \text{in the commutative model;} \end{aligned}$$

here $K_\rho(x)$ is a Bessel function, see [51, Vol. 2]. In particular, $\xi = e^{-\sqrt{2}\,|\xi|}$ for $n = 2$.

Remark. For $\lambda = n-1$, a K-invariant vector is invariant with respect to the whole group $O(n,1)$.

Further, we have

$$b'(\omega, g) = \int_S \log(1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle)\, |\omega' \beta + \delta|^{1-n}\, d\omega' \qquad \text{in model} \quad A,$$

$$b'(\omega, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \log(|\gamma - \gamma'|) \cdot | - \tfrac{|\gamma'|^2}{2}\, g_{13} + \gamma'\, g_{23} + g_{33}|^{1-n}\, d\gamma' \qquad \text{in model} \quad B.$$

2.8. **Restriction to the maximal parabolic subgroup P.**

Proposition 2.7. *The restrictions of the complementary series representations T^λ of the group $O(n,1)$, $n > 2$, and of the special representation $T^0 \simeq T^{n-1}$ of this group to the maximal parabolic subgroup P are irreducible and pairwise equivalent. In the commutative model, an intertwining operator $\tau : L^\lambda \to L^0$ is given by $(\tau\varphi)(\xi) = |\xi|^\lambda \varphi(\xi)$.*

The unitary representation of P arising in this way is a special representation of this group.

Proof. In the commutative model, the representations T^λ, including the special representation T^0, are realized in the Hilbert spaces L^λ with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_\lambda^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\varphi(\xi)|^2\, |\xi|^{1-n+2\lambda}\, d\xi.$$

It follows from the explicit formulas (2.17) for the operators of the subgroup P that τ is an intertwining operator $L^\lambda \to L^0$. $\square$

Remark. In order to describe the extension of this special representation of P to an arbitrary complementary series representation T^λ, it suffices to determine only the operator T_s^λ.

2.9. **The study of the separability of the special representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and P from the identity representations in the Fell topology.**

By definition, a unitary representation T of a locally compact group G in a Hilbert space H contains almost invariant vectors if for every compact set $K \subset G$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a unit vector $h \subset H$ such that $\|T_k h - h\| < \epsilon$ for all $k \in K$.

The set of all representations of G that contain almost invariant vectors coincides with the intersection of all neighborhoods of the identity representation of G in the Fell topology on the set of unitary representations of G.

Proposition 2.8.

1) *The special representation T of the group $O(n,1)$ does not contain almost invariant vectors.*
2) *The restriction T' of the representation T to the maximal parabolic subgroup P contains almost invariant vectors.*

Proof. 1) The representation T of the group $O(n,1)$. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $G = O(n,1)$. Since T is a special representation, the space H does not contain K-invariant vectors, so that

$$\int_K \langle T_k h, h \rangle\, dk = 0$$

for every unit vector $h \in H$. Integrating the equation

$$\|T_k h - h\|^2 = 2 - \langle T_k h, h\rangle - \langle h, T_k h\rangle$$

over K, we obtain $\int_K \|T_k h - h\|^2\, dk = 2$. Hence T does not contain almost invariant vectors.

2) The representation T' of the subgroup P. Let us use the commutative model of the special representation of $O(n,1)$. Set

$$h(\xi) = \chi_\eta(|\xi|) \cdot |\xi|^{\lambda} \qquad (\lambda > 0),$$

where χ_η is the characteristic function of the interval $(0,\eta)$. Obviously, $h(\xi) \in H$ for any $\eta > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. Let us check that for every compact set $K \subset P$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, and for all sufficiently small η and λ,

$$\|T'_k h - h\|^2 < \epsilon \cdot \|h\|^2 \text{ for } k \in K.$$

Let us write elements $k \in K$ in the form $k = z(\gamma) \cdot \mathrm{diag}(r^{-1}, u, r)$. Then

$$(T'_k h)(\xi) = e^{-i\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle} \cdot \chi_\eta(|r\xi|)\, |r\xi|^{\lambda}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $|r| < 1$ on K. Under this assumption, we have $\chi_\eta(|r\xi|)\, \chi_\eta(|\xi|) = \chi_\eta^2(|\xi|)$ for $|\xi| < \eta$, whence

$$\begin{aligned}\|T'_k h - h\|^2 &= 2\int_{|\xi|<\eta} (h(\xi) - (T'_k h)(\xi))\, h(\xi)\, |\xi|^{1-n}\, d\xi \\ &= 2\int_{|\xi|<\eta} (1 - |r|^{\lambda} e^{-i\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle})\, h^2(\xi)\, |\xi|^{1-n}\, d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies the required assertion. □

Remark. The proposition remains valid for the special representations T of the group $U(n,1)$ considered in § 6.

2.10. **Remark on representations in real spaces.** In models A and B, the operators of the representation T^{λ} preserve the spaces of real-valued functions. Therefore, the spaces of complementary series representations and the space of the special representation, regarded as linear spaces over $\mathbb{R}$, split into direct sums of two invariant real Hilbert subspaces: the spaces of functions with real and purely imaginary values, respectively. The representations of the group $O(n,1)$ in these real Hilbert spaces are irreducible and equivalent.

In the commutative model, the representation space splits into a direct sum of invariant subspaces over $\mathbb{R}$: the space of functions $f(\xi) + \overline{f(-\xi)}$ and the space of functions $f(\xi) - \overline{f(-\xi)}$.

2.11. **Application of the commutative model of the special representation of the group $O(n,1)$ to constructing an irreducible unitary representation of the current group $O(n,1)^X$.** The role of the commutative model of the special representation of $O(n,1)$ manifests itself in that it leads to a natural construction of an irreducible unitary representation of the current group $O(n,1)^X$, i.e., the group of measurable maps $X \to O(n,1)$, where X is a space with a finite measure $m(x)$, with pointwise multiplication.

We start from the space $L^2(|\xi|^{1-n}\, d\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, in the commutative model of the special representation of $O(n,1)$, and construct a σ-finite measure ν in the space $\Phi^* =$

$\Phi(X)$ of vector distributions $\xi(x) = (\xi_1(x), \dots, \xi_{n-1}(x))$ satisfying the following invariance properties:

1) The measure ν is invariant under the action of the group $O(n-1)^X$, i.e.,

$$d\nu(\xi u) = d\nu(\xi) \quad \text{for every} \quad u(x) \in O(n-1)^X.$$

2) The measure ν is projectively invariant under the multiplication by any bounded Borel function $\epsilon(x) \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^X$ for which the integral $\int_X |\epsilon(x)|\, dm(x)$ converges, namely,

$$d(\epsilon\xi) = e^{\int_X \log|\epsilon(x)|\, dm(x)}\, d\nu(\xi).$$

In particular, $d\nu(\epsilon\xi) = d\nu(\xi)$ if $\int_X \log|\epsilon(x)|\, dm(x) = 0$.

In view of these properties, we call ν the Lebesgue measure on Φ^*.

We construct a unitary representation of the group P^X in the Hilbert space $L^2(\nu)$ of functions $f(\xi)$ on Φ^*. By analogy with the commutative model of the special representation of $O(n,1)$, elements $\gamma(x) \in Z^X$ act as multiplicators:

$$(T_\gamma f)(\xi) = e^{i\langle \xi, \gamma\rangle} f(\xi),$$

and the action of elements $d = (\epsilon^{-1}, u, \epsilon) \in D^X$ is given by the formula

$$(T_d f)(\xi) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_X \log|\epsilon(x)|\, dm(x)} f(\epsilon\xi u).$$

It is easy to check that these operators generate a representation of the whole group P^X. The unitarity of T_γ is obvious, and the unitarity of T_d follows from the Lebesgue properties of the measure ν.

The unitary representation of the group P^X constructed in this way is irreducible. As proved in [18, 19], it can be extended to a unitary representation of the whole group $O(n,1)^X$.

PART 2. REPRESENTATIONS OF SUBGROUPS OF THE GROUP $U(n,1)$

§ 3. Representations of the Heisenberg group H and their extensions to the groups $P_0 = H \rightthreetimes D_0$ and $P = H \rightthreetimes D$

This and the next sections are devoted to the description of the complementary series representations and special representations of the group $U(n,1)$. We begin with a construction of representations of the maximal unipotent subgroup, i.e., the Heisenberg group $H \subset U(n,1)$, and its normalizer, the parabolic subgroup P.

3.1. **Description of the irreducible unitary representations of the Heisenberg group.** It is known (see, e.g., [50]) that the irreducible unitary representations of the Heisenberg group H break into two classes: one-dimensional representations, depending on $2(n-1)$ real parameters, and infinite-dimensional ones, depending on one real parameter $\rho \neq 0$ (the Planck constant). Let us describe the Bargmann model of the infinite-dimensional irreducible representations.

The irreducible representation with parameter $\rho > 0$ is realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ of entire analytic functions $f(z)$ in $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = |\rho|^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-|\rho|\,|z|^2}\, d\mu(z), \tag{3.1}$$

where $|z|^2 = zz^* = |z_1|^2 + \ldots + |z_{n-1}|^2$ and $d\mu(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ normalized by the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{-|z|^2}\, d\mu(z) = 1.$$

The operator T_h corresponding to an element $h = (t_0, z_0) \in H$ has the form

$$T_h f(z) = e^{\rho\,(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}|z_0|^2 - zz_0^*)} f(z + z_0). \tag{3.2}$$

It is easy to check that these operators are unitary and satisfy the group property.

The irreducible representation with parameter $\rho < 0$ is realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ of entire antianalytic functions $f(z)$ in $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the same norm (3.1).

The operator T_h corresponding to an element $h = (t_0, z_0) \in H$ has the form

$$T_h f(z) = e^{i\rho t_0 - |\rho|\,(\frac{1}{2}|z_0|^2 + z_0 z^*)} f(z + z_0). \tag{3.3}$$

Note that the transition from the space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ to the space $\mathcal{H}(-\rho)$ reduces to replacing $f(z)$ by $\overline{f(z)}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The representations of the Heisenberg group H in the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$, $\rho \neq 0$, are irreducible and pairwise nonequivalent; they exhaust all infinite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations of H.*

Proposition 3.2. *The monomials $z^k = z_1^{k_1} \ldots z_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$ and $\overline{z}^k = \overline{z}_1^{k_1} \ldots \overline{z}_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, form orthogonal bases in the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ for $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$, respectively; their norms equal*

$$\|z^k\|^2 = \|\overline{z}^k\|^2 = k!\,|\rho|^{-|k|},$$

where

$$|k| = k_1 + \ldots + k_{n-1}, \quad k! = k_1! \ldots k_{n-1}!.$$

In this basis, the operators T_h, $h = (t_0, z_0)$, for $\rho > 0$ have the form

$$T_h z^k = e^{\rho\,(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}|z_0|^2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} a_{km}(\rho, z_0)\, z^m, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$a_{km}(\rho, z_0) = k! \sum_s \frac{(-\rho)^{|m-s|}\, z_0^{k-s}\, \overline{z}_0^{m-s}}{s!\,(k-s)!\,(m-s)!}. \tag{3.5}$$

For $\rho < 0$, the formula for T_h is analogous.

Remark. The change of variables $z \to z' = |\rho|^{1/2} z$ determines isomorphisms of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho) \to \mathcal{H}(1)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\rho) \to \mathcal{H}(-1)$ for $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$, respectively. Thus every irreducible infinite-dimensional representation of H with parameter ρ can be realized in the Hilbert space of entire analytic (antianalytic) functions with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-|z|^2}\, d\mu(z).$$

In this realization, the operators of this representation have the form

$$T_h f(z) = e^{\rho\,(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,|z_0|^2) - \rho^{1/2} z z_0^*}\, f(z + \rho^{1/2} z_0) \quad \text{for} \quad \rho > 0;$$

$$T_h f(z) = e^{\,i\rho t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,|\rho||z_0|^2 - |\rho|^{1/2} z_0 z^*}\, f(z + |\rho|^{1/2} z_0) \quad \text{for} \quad \rho < 0.$$

3.2. **Another expression for the norm in the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$.**

Proposition 3.3. *For every entire analytic function $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, for every $\rho > 0$,*

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-\rho|z|^2}\, d\mu(z) = \rho^{n-1} \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} f(z)\,\overline{f(z')}\, e^{\rho\,(z' z^* - |z|^2 - |z'|^2)}\, d\mu(z)\, d\mu(z'). \tag{3.6}$$

Analogously, for every entire antianalytic function $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-|\rho||z|^2}\, d\mu(z) = |\rho|^{n-1} \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} f(z)\,\overline{f(z')}\, e^{|\rho|\,(z(z')^* - |z|^2 - |z'|^2)}\, d\mu(z)\, d\mu(z'). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Let us prove (3.6). It suffices to prove this equation for all monomials $f(z) = z^k$. Let I_1 and I_2 be the left- and right-hand sides of (3.6), respectively, for $f(z) = z^k$. Obviously, $I_1 = k!\,\rho^{-|k|-n+1}$. On the other hand, let us substitute the power series expansion $e^{\rho z_0 z^*} = \sum_l \frac{\rho^{|l|}}{l!}\,(z')^l \overline{z}^l$ of $e^{\rho z' z^*}$ into the expression for I_2. Observing that the terms of the resulting sum vanish for $l \neq k$, we have

$$I_2 = \frac{\rho^{|k|+n-1}}{k!}\,\Big|\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} z^k \overline{z}^k\, e^{-\rho\,|z|^2}\, d\mu(z)\Big|^2 = \frac{\rho^{|k|+n-1}}{k!}\, I_1^2 = k!\,\rho^{-|k|-m+1}.$$

Thus $I_1 = I_2$. The proof of (3.7) is similar. ☐

Corollary. *The norms* (3.1) *in the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ for $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$ coincide with the norms*

$$\|f\|^2 = \rho^{2n-2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} f(z)\,\overline{f(z')}\, e^{\rho\,(z' z^* - |z|^2 - |z'|^2)}\, d\mu(z)\, d\mu(z') \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\|f\|^2 = |\rho|^{2n-2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} f(z)\,\overline{f(z')}\, e^{|\rho|\,(z(z')^* - |z|^2 - |z'|^2)}\, d\mu(z)\, d\mu(z'), \tag{3.9}$$

respectively.

Remark. On the space of all functions $f(z)$, the norm (3.1) is strictly positive, while the norms (3.8) and (3.9) are degenerate.

3.3. **Extension of a representation of the Heisenberg group H to the group $P_0 = H \leftthreetimes D_0$.**

Proposition 3.4. *The infinite-dimensional representations of the Heisenberg group H can be extended to the group $P_0 = H \leftthreetimes D_0$. Namely, in the Bargmann model $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$, the operators T_d corresponding to elements $d = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon, u, \epsilon) \in D_0$ are given by the formula*

$$T_d f(z) = f(\epsilon^{-1} z u). \tag{3.10}$$

Corollary. *The restriction of the representation of the group P_0 in the space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ to the subgroup $U(n-1) \subset D_0$ splits into a direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent subspaces: subspaces of homogeneous polynomials in $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ for $\rho > 0$ and subspaces of homogeneous polynomials in $\overline{z}$ for $\rho < 0$.*

In particular, the representation space contains a $U(n-1)$-invariant vector, namely, $f(z) = const$; this vector is unique up to a factor.

The explicit expression (3.1) for the norm and the expressions for the operators of the group P_0 in $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ imply the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *For every $r > 0$, the map $f(z) \to f(r^{-1}z)$ is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho) \to \mathcal{H}(r^{-2}\rho)$, which send the operators T_b, $b \in P_0$, on $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ to the operators $T_{d_1 b d_1^{-1}}$ on $\mathcal{H}(r^{-2}\rho)$, where $d_1 = \operatorname{diag}(r^{-1}, e, r)$.*

3.4. **Direct integrals of representations of the group P_0 and their extensions to representations of the parabolic group $P = P_0 \leftthreetimes D_1 = H \leftthreetimes D$.** Let us associate with each real number $\lambda \geqslant 0$ the following direct integrals of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_-^\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{H}(\rho)\,|\rho|^{\lambda-1}\,d\rho; \quad \mathcal{H}_+^\lambda = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}(\rho)\,\rho^{\lambda-1}\,d\rho.$$

In more detail, $\mathcal{H}_+^\lambda$ is the Hilbert space of functions $f(\rho, z)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ that are entire analytic in z with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(\rho,z)|^2\, e^{-|\rho|\,|z|^2}\, d\mu(z)\Big)\, \rho^{n+\lambda-2}\, d\rho. \tag{3.11}$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_-^\lambda$ is defined in a similar way.

The unitary representations of the group P_0 in $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ induce unitary representations of this group in $\mathcal{H}_\pm^\lambda$.

Proposition 3.6. *The representations of the group P_0 in the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm^\lambda$ can be extended to unitary representations of the group $P = P_0 \leftthreetimes D_1$. Namely, the operators T_d corresponding to elements $d \in D_1$ are given by the formula*

$$T_d f(\rho, z) = f(r^2\rho, r^{-1}z)\, r^\lambda \quad \text{for} \quad d = \operatorname{diag}(r^{-1}, e, r), \quad r > 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. The unitarity of T_d follows from the definition of the norm in $\mathcal{H}_\pm^\lambda$. Further, Proposition 3.5 implies that $T_d T_b T_{d^{-1}} = T_{dbd^{-1}}$ for any $d \in D_1$ and $b \in P_0$. Hence the operators T_d, $d \in D_1$, and T_b, $b \in P_0$, generate a representation of the group P. ☐

Proposition 3.7. *The representations of the group P in the spaces $\mathcal{H}_+^\lambda$ (respectively, $\mathcal{H}_-^\lambda$) are irreducible and pairwise equivalent. The representations in the spaces $\mathcal{H}_+^\lambda$ and $\mathcal{H}_-^\mu$ are not equivalent.*

Proof. The irreducibility immediately follows from the definition of the operators of the subgroup D_1 and the fact that the irreducible representations of P_0 in the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ are pairwise nonequivalent. An intertwining operator $\tau : \mathcal{H}_\pm^\lambda \to \mathcal{H}_\pm^\mu$ has the form

$$\tau : f(\rho, z) = |\rho|^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu-\lambda)}\, f(\rho, z).$$

☐

3.5. **The action of the operators T_g, $g \in P$, on elements of the form $f_k(\rho)\, z^k$ and $f_k(\rho)\, \overline{z}^k$.** Denote by $(L_k^+)^\lambda \subset \mathcal{H}_+^\lambda$ and $(L_k^-)^\lambda \subset \mathcal{H}_-^\lambda$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, the subspaces of functions of the form $f_k(\rho)\, z^k$ and $f_k(\rho)\, \overline{z}^k$, respectively. It follows from the definition that

$$\mathcal{H}_\pm^\lambda = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} (L_k^\pm)^\lambda.$$

Let us describe the action of the operators of the group P on elements of $L_k^\lambda = (L_k^\pm)^\lambda$. For definiteness, we restrict ourselves to the case of the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_+^\lambda$.

Proposition 3.8. *The action of the operators T_g^+ corresponding to elements $g = (t_0, z_0) \in H$ and $d = \operatorname{diag}(\overline{\epsilon}^{-1}, u, \epsilon) \in D$ on elements $f_k(\rho) z^k \in L_k^+$ is given by*

$$T^+_{(t_0,z_0)}(f_k(\rho) z^k) = \sum_m a_{km}(t_0, z_0; \rho)\, z^m, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$a_{km}(t_0, z_0; \rho) = k!\, e^{\rho(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,|z_0|^2)}\, f_k(\rho) \sum_s \frac{(-\rho)^{|m-s|} z_0^{k-s}\ \overline{z}_0^{m-s}}{s!(k-s)!(m-s)!},$$

$$T_d^+(f_k(\rho)\, z^k) = k!\, |\epsilon|^\lambda\, \overline{\epsilon}^{-|k|} f_k(|\epsilon|^2 \rho) \sum_{|l|=|k|} L_{kl}(u)\, z^l. \tag{3.14}$$

Here

$$L_{kl}(u) = \sum_{M(k,l)} \frac{u_{ij}^{m_{ij}}}{m_{ij}!} \tag{3.15}$$

and the sum in (3.15) *ranges over the set $M(k,l)$ of integer $(n-1)$-matrices $m = \|m_{ij}\|$, $m_{ij} \geqslant 0$, satisfying the conditions*

$$\sum_j m_{ij} = l_i, \quad \sum_i m_{ij} = k_j.$$

The operators T_g^- act on elements from L_k^- in a similar way.

Proof. It follows from the description of the operators T_g in the space $\mathcal{H}_+$ that

$$\begin{aligned} T^+_{(t_0,z_0)}(f_k(\rho)\, z^k) &= e^{\rho(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,|z_0|^2)}\, e^{-\rho\, z z_0^*}\, f_k(\rho)\, (z + z_0)^k \\ &= e^{\rho(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,|z_0|^2)}\, (\sum_l \frac{(-\rho)^{|l|}}{l!} \overline{z}_0^l z^l)\, (k! \sum_{s \leqslant k} \frac{z^s\, \overline{z}_0^{k-s}}{s!\, (k-s)!})\, f_k(\rho) \\ &= k!\, e^{\rho(it_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,|z_0|^2)}\, f_k(\rho) \sum_m (\sum_s \frac{(-\rho)^{|m-s|} z_0^{k-s}\ \overline{z}_0^{m-s}}{s!(k-s)!(m-s)!})\, z^m. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (3.13). Further, we have

$$T_d^+(f_k(\rho) z^k) = |\epsilon|^\lambda\, f_k(|\epsilon|^2 \rho)(\overline{\epsilon}^{-1} z u)^k.$$

Obviously,

$$(\overline{\epsilon}^{-1} z u)^k = k!\, \overline{\epsilon}^{-|k|} \sum_{l|=|k|} L_{kl}(u)\, z^l,$$

where $L_{kl}(u)$ is given by (3.15). This implies (3.14). $\square$

Remark. In § 5 we will show that the representations of the group P in the spaces $\mathcal{H}^0_\pm$ can be extended to unitary representations of the whole group $U(n,1)$. These representations of $U(n,1)$, called special representations, have nontrivial 1-cocycles.

3.6. **Embeddings of the representations of the groups P_0 and P in $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ and $\mathcal{H}^\lambda_\pm$ into their tensor products.**

Theorem 3.1. *For any $\rho_1 > 0, \dots, \rho_m > 0$ there exists a unique embedding of Hilbert spaces*

$$\tau : \mathcal{H}(\rho_1 + \ldots + \rho_m) \to \bigotimes_{k=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}(\rho_k) \tag{3.16}$$

commuting with the action of the subgroup P_0. Namely,

$$\tau f(z) = F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(\rho^{-1} \textstyle\sum \rho_i\, z_i), \quad \textit{where} \quad \rho = \sum \rho_i. \tag{3.17}$$

In a similar way we define the unique embedding (3.16) *commuting with the action of the subgroup P_0 for any $\rho_1 < 0, \dots, \rho_m < 0$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.4 (corollary) that the tensor product $\bigotimes_{k=1}^m \mathcal{H}(\rho_k)$ contains a unique vector invariant under the subgroup D_0. Hence there exists at most one embedding (3.16) commuting with the action of P_0. Let us show that (3.17) is the required embedding.

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\| \tau f \|^2 &= \prod \rho_i^{n-1} \int\limits_{(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^m} |f(\rho^{-1} \textstyle\sum \rho_i\, z_i)|^2\, e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i |z_i|^2} \displaystyle\prod d\mu(z_i) \\ &= \int\limits_{(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^m} |f(\rho^{-1} \textstyle\sum \rho_i^{1/2}\, z_i)|^2\, e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m |z_i|^2} \displaystyle\prod d\mu(z_i).\end{aligned}$$

Let us replace the variables $z_1, \dots, z_m$, $z_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, in this integral with the new variables

$$z = \rho^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i^{1/2}\, z_i \quad \text{and} \quad w_k = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ki} z_i, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

where the vectors $a_k = (a_{k1}, \dots, a_{km})$, $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, together with the vector $a = (\rho^{-1/2}\rho_1^{1/2}, \dots, \rho^{-1/2}\rho_m^{1/2})$ form an orthonormalized basis in $\mathbb{R}^m$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\| \tau f \|^2 &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(\rho^{-1/2} z)|^2\, e^{-|z|^2}\, d\mu(z) \int\limits_{(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^{m-1}} e^{-\sum_{i-1}^{m-1} |w_i|^2} \prod d\mu(w_i) \\ &= \rho^{n-1} \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-\rho |z|^2}\, d\mu(z) = \|f\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that τ is an embedding of Hilbert spaces. One can directly check that τ commutes with the operators of the subgroup P_0. $\square$

Remark. It is not difficult to see that the representation of the Heisenberg group H in the tensor product $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}(\rho_i)$ is a multiple of the irreducible representation with parameter $\rho = \sum \rho_i$.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $\lambda_1 > 0, \dots, \lambda_m > 0$ there exists an embedding of Hilbert spaces*

$$\tau : \mathcal{H}_+^{\lambda} \to \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}_+^{\lambda_i}, \qquad \lambda = \sum \lambda_i,$$

commuting with the action of the subgroup P. Namely,

$$\tau f(\rho, z) = \Big(\frac{\prod \Gamma(\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(\textstyle\sum \rho_i,\ \rho^{-1} \sum \rho_i\, z_i), \tag{3.18}$$

where $\rho = \sum \rho_i$. Similarly, for any $\lambda_1 < 0, \dots, \lambda_m < 0$ there exists an embedding of Hilbert spaces

$$\tau : \mathcal{H}_-^{\lambda} \to \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}_-^{\lambda_i}, \qquad \lambda = \sum \lambda_i,$$

commuting with the action of the subgroup P.

Proof. By definition,

$$\|\tau f\|^2 = \Big(\frac{\prod \Gamma(\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\Big)^{-1} \times \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \Big(\int_{(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})^m} |f(\textstyle\sum \rho_i,\ \rho^{-1} \sum \rho_i\, z_i)|^2\, e^{-\sum \rho_i |z_i|^2} \prod d\mu(z_i) \Big) \prod \rho_i^{n+\lambda_i-2}\, d\rho_i.$$

In view of Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$\|\tau f\|^2 = \Big(\frac{\prod \Gamma(\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\Big)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(\rho, z)|^2\, e^{-\rho |z|^2}\, d\mu(z) \Big)\, \rho^{n-1} \prod \rho_i^{\lambda_i - 1}\, d\rho_i.$$

The obtained expression can be transformed into

$$\|\tau f\|^2 = \\ = \Big(\frac{\prod \Gamma(\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\Big)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(\rho, z)|^2\, e^{-\rho |z|^2}\, d\mu(z) \Big)\, \rho^{n+\lambda-2}\, d\rho \int_{\sum \rho_i = 1} \prod \rho_i^{\lambda_i - 1}\, d\rho_i.$$

Note that the second integral equals $\frac{\prod \Gamma(\lambda_i)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$. Hence $\|\tau f\| = \|f\|$, i.e., τ is an embedding. The fact that it commutes with the action of P follows from the explicit formulas for the corresponding operators. □

§ 4. Representations of the groups P_0 and P in the spaces $H(\rho)$ and $H_\pm^\lambda$

4.1. **Representations of the group P_0 in the space $H(\rho)$.** Denote by $H(\rho)$, $\rho \neq 0$, the Hilbert space of *all* functions $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm (3.1):

$$\|f\|^2 = |\rho|^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-|\rho|\, |z|^2}\, d\mu(z).$$

Note that $H(\rho)$ is spanned by the monomials $z^k \overline{z}^l$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$.

Let us define a unitary representation of the group P_0 by the same formulas as in the case of $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$, i.e., by (3.2), (3.10) for $\rho > 0$, and by (3.3), (3.10) for $\rho < 0$.

In particular, $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ is an invariant subspace of $H(\rho)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Theorem 3.1, concerning embeddings of the subspaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ into their tensor products, translates without any change to the spaces $H(\rho)$.*

4.2. **Decomposition of $H(\rho)$ into irreducible subspaces.** For definiteness, consider the case $\rho > 0$. Similar results for $\rho < 0$ can be obtained by replacing functions with their complex conjugates.

Denote by K_m, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, the subspace of $H(\rho)$ consisting of all homogeneous polynomials in $\overline{z}_1, \ldots, \overline{z}_{n-1}$ of homogeneous degree m.

Obviously, the spaces K_m are invariant, irreducible, and pairwise nonequivalent with respect to the subgroup $U(n-1) \subset P_0$. It is also clear that the spaces K_m are mutually orthogonal. Note that the lowest weight vector in K_m is the vector $\bar{z}_{n-1}^m$ of weight $(0, \ldots, -m)$.

Definition. Denote by $H_m(\rho)$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, the invariant subspace in $H(\rho)$ that is cyclically generated by the vectors $\psi \in K_m$.

In particular, $H_0(\rho)$ coincides with the space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ of entire analytic functions in z_i introduced above.

Remark. Let us give another equivalent definition of the spaces $H_m(\rho)$. It follows immediately from the description of the representation that the operators $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\partial_i T_h = T_h \partial_i \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in H, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\partial_i T_{\epsilon,u} = \epsilon^{-1} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \bar{u}_{ij} \partial_j\Big) T_{\epsilon,u} \quad \text{for all} \quad (\epsilon, u) \in D_0. \tag{4.2}$$

Denote by $L_m(\rho)$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, the Hilbert subspace of functions $\psi \in H(\rho)$ such that

$$p_m(\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_{n-1}) \psi = 0$$

for all homogeneous polynomials p_m of degree m. Obviously, $L_m(\rho) \subset L_{m+1}(\rho)$. In this notation, we have

$$H_m(\rho) = L_{m+1}(\rho) \ominus L_m(\rho), \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The representations of the subgroup P_0 in the spaces $H_m(\rho)$ are irreducible and pairwise nonequivalent.*

Proof. Assume that $H_m(m)$ is reducible and hence there exists a decomposition $H_m(\rho) = H_1 \oplus H_2$ into invariant subspaces. Since $H_m(\rho)$ contains a unique lowest weight vector, this vector belongs to one of these subspaces, say, H_1. But then $K_m \subset H_1$, whence $H_1 = H_m(\rho)$.

Since the lowest weight vectors in the spaces $H_m(\rho)$ have different weights, these spaces are pairwise nonequivalent. ☐

Corollary. *The subspaces $H_m(\rho)$ are mutually orthogonal, and the space $H(\rho)$ is their direct sum:*

$$H(\rho) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(\rho).$$

Proposition 4.3. *The restriction of the representation of the group P_0 in the space $H_m(\rho)$ to the subgroup H is a multiple of the irreducible representation of H with parameter ρ, the multiplicity being equal to $\dim K_m$. The decomposition of $H_m(\rho)$ into H-irreducible subspaces can be written in the form*

$$H_m(\rho) = \bigoplus_{|k|=m} H_{mk}(\rho),$$

where $H_{mk}(\rho)$ is the H-irreducible subspace generated by the vector $\overline{z}^k \in K_m$.

4.3. **The orthogonal basis in $H_m(\rho)$ associated with the action of the Heisenberg group H.** Set

$$f_p(z) = \overline{z}^p = \overline{z}_1^{p_1} \dots \overline{z}_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}. \tag{4.3}$$

It follows from the definition of the norm in $H(\rho)$ that

$$\|f_p\|^2 = p!\,\rho^{-|p|}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $L_p(\rho) \subset H(\rho)$ be the H-invariant subspace cyclically generated by the vector $f_p(\rho)$. By Proposition 4.3, the subspace $L_p(\rho)$ is irreducible, and

$$H_m(\rho) = \bigoplus_{|p|=m} L_p(\rho), \quad H(\rho) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} L_p(\rho).$$

Let us define an orthogonal basis in each subspace $L_p(\rho)$. By definition, the action of the operators T_h, $h = (t, z) \in H$, on the vectors f_p is given by

$$T_h f_p(z) = (\overline{z} + \overline{a})^p\, e^{\rho(it - \frac{aa^*}{2} - za^*)},$$

where $\overline{z}^p = \overline{z}_1^{p_1} \dots \overline{z}_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}$.

Definition. Let us define functions $f_{p,q}(z)$, $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, as the coefficients of the following expansion:

$$(\overline{z} + \overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho\, za^*} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} f_{p,q}(z)\, \overline{a}^q, \quad \overline{a}^q = \overline{a}_1^{q_1} \dots \overline{a}_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}, \tag{4.5}$$

or, which is equivalent, as the coefficients of the expansion

$$e^{b(z+a)^* - \rho\, za^*} = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} (p!)^{-1}\, f_{p,q}(z)\, b^p \overline{a}^q. \tag{4.6}$$

In particular, $f_{p,0} = f_p$. It follows from this definition that

1) $f_{p,q}$ are polynomials in z_i and $\overline{z}_i$;
2) for every fixed p, the functions $f_{p,q}$ linearly span $L_p(\rho)$.

Proposition 4.4. *The polynomials* $f_{p,q}$ *are given by the following explicit expression:*

$$f_{p,q}(z) = p! \sum_{s} (-\rho)^{|q-s|} \frac{z^{q-s}\,\overline{z}^{p-s}}{s!\,(p-s)!\,(q-s)!}, \tag{4.7}$$

where the sum ranges over $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$ *such that* $s \leqslant p$, $s \leqslant q$.

Indeed, we have

$$e^{b(z+a)^* - \rho za^*} = e^{bz^*}\, e^{(b-\rho z)a^*} = \sum_{r} \frac{b^r \overline{z}^r}{r!} \sum_{q} \frac{(b-\rho z)^q \overline{a}^q}{q!}$$

$$= \sum_{r,s,q} (-\rho)^{|q-s|} \frac{\overline{z}^r z^{q-s}}{r! s! (q-s)!} b^{r+s}\, \overline{a}^q = \sum_{p,q} \Big(\sum_{s} \frac{(-\rho)^{|q-s|}\, \overline{z}^{p-s}\, z^{q-s}}{s!(p-s)!(q-s)!} \Big) b^p\, \overline{a}^q.$$

This implies (4.7).

Theorem 4.1. *The polynomials* $f_{p,q}$, $|p| = m$, *form an orthogonal basis in* $H_m(\rho)$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, *and*

$$\|f_{p,q}\|^2 = \frac{\rho^{|q|}}{q!}\, \|f_p\|^2 \quad \textit{for any} \quad p, q. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. The orthogonality of $f_{p,q}$ and $f_{p',q'}$ for $p \neq p'$ follows from the mutual orthogonality of the spaces $L_p(\rho)$. Further, substituting the expression for $T_h f_p$ into the equation $\langle T_h f_p, T_h f_p \rangle = \langle f_p, f_p \rangle$, we obtain

$$\sum_{q,q'} \langle f_{p,q}, f_{p,q'} \rangle\, \overline{a}^q\, a^{q'} = \langle f_p, f_p \rangle\, e^{\rho a a^*} = \langle f_p, f_p \rangle \sum_{q} \frac{\rho^{|q|}}{q!}\, \overline{a}^q\, a^q.$$

This implies (4.8). □

Corollary. *For any* $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$,

$$\|f_{pq}\|^2 = \frac{p!}{q!}\, \rho^{|q-p|}. \tag{4.9}$$

4.4. Formulas for the operators of the group P_0 in terms of the basis $\{f_{p,q}\}$ on $H(\rho)$.

Proposition 4.5. *The operators* $T_{t,a}$, $(t, a) \in H$, *have the form*

$$T_{t,a}\, f_{p,q} = e^{\rho(it - \frac{aa^*}{2})} \sum_{q'} c_{qq'}(\rho, a)\, f_{p,q'}, \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$c_{qq'}(\rho, a) = \sum_{s} \frac{(-\rho)^{|s|}}{s!\,(q-s)!\,(q'-q+s)!}\, a^s \overline{a}^{q'-q+s} \tag{4.11}$$

and the sum ranges over all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n-1}$ *such that* $s \leqslant q$ *and* $q' - q + s \geqslant 0$.

Proof. For every $b \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\sum_q (T_{t,a} f_{p,q}(z))\, \overline{b}^q = T_{t,a}[(\overline{z}+\overline{b})^p\, e^{-\rho\, z b^*}] = e^{\rho(it-\frac{aa^*}{2}-za^*)}\, (\overline{z}+\overline{b}+\overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho(z+a)b^*}$$

$$= e^{\rho(it-\frac{aa^*}{2})}\, (\overline{z}+\overline{b}+\overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho\, z(b+a)^*}\, e^{-\rho ab^*}.$$

Since

$$(\overline{z}+\overline{b}+\overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho\, z(b+a)^*} = \sum_{q'} f_{p,q'}\, (\overline{b}+\overline{a})^{q'} = \sum_{r,q'} \frac{q'!}{r!\,(q'-r)!} f_{p,q'}\, \overline{b}^r \overline{a}^{q'-r}$$

and $e^{-\rho ab^*} = \sum_s \frac{(-\rho)^{|s|}}{s!} a^s \overline{b}^s$, we obtain

$$\sum_q (T_{t,a} f_{p,q}(\rho, z))\, \overline{b}^q = e^{\rho(it-\frac{aa^*}{2})} \sum_{r,s,q'} \frac{(-\rho)^{|s|}}{r!\,(q'-r)!\,s!} a^s\, \overline{a}^{q'-r}\, \overline{b}^{r+s}.$$

Proposition 4.5 follows. ☐

To describe the operators T_d, $d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, u, \epsilon)$, where $|\epsilon| = 1$, $u \in U(n-1)$, we use the polynomials introduced above in § 3.5:

$$L_{pq}(x) = \sum_{M(p,q)} \Big(\prod \frac{x_{ij}^{l_{ij}}}{l_{ij}!}\Big), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1},$$

where the sum ranges over the set $M(p,q)$ of integer $(n-1)$-matrices $m = \|m_{ij}\|$, $m_{i,j} \geqslant 0$, satisfying the condition

$$\sum_i l_{ij} = p_j \quad \text{for all} \quad j; \quad \sum_j l_{ij} = q_i \quad \text{for all} \quad i \tag{4.12}$$

(Louck polynomials). Note that these polynomials are the coefficients of the expansion

$$e^{axb} = \sum_{p,q} L_{pq}(x)\, a^q\, b^p, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \tag{4.13}$$

where $axb = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_i x_{ij} b_j$.

Obviously, $L_{pq}(x) = L_{qp}(x')$, where the prime denotes transpose.

Note that $L_{pq}(x)$ can be written as a sum over the subgroup M_0 of all integer matrices l for which all rows and all columns sum to 0:

$$L_{pq}(x) = \sum_{l \in M_0} \Big(\prod \frac{x_{ij}^{l_{ij}+l^0_{ij}}}{\Gamma(l_{ij}+l^0_{ij}+1)}\Big),$$

where l^0 is an arbitrary fixed integer matrix satisfying (4.12).

Proposition 4.6. *The action of the operators T_d, $d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, u, \epsilon)$, where $|\epsilon| = 1$, $u \in U(n-1)$, on the basis vectors is given by the formula*

$$T_{\epsilon,u}\, f_{p,q} = p\,!\epsilon^{|q-p|} \sum_{p',q'} q'\,!\, L_{pp'}(\overline{u})\, L_{qq'}(u)\, f_{p',q'}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. First of all, we have

$$\sum_q (T_{\epsilon,e}\, f_{p,q})\, \overline{a}^q = T_{\epsilon,e}\, (\overline{z}+\overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho\, za^*} = (\overline{\epsilon z}+\overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho\epsilon za^*}$$

$$= \overline{\epsilon}^{|p|}\, (\overline{z}+\epsilon\overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho\epsilon za^*} = \overline{\epsilon}^{|p|} \sum_q f_{p,q}\, (\epsilon\overline{a})^q = \epsilon^{|q-p|} \sum_q f_{p,q}\, \overline{a}^q.$$

Hence

$$T_{\epsilon,e}\, f_{p,q} = \epsilon^{|q-p|}\, f_{p,q}. \tag{4.15}$$

Further, for every $u \in U(n-1)$ we have

$$\sum_q (T_{1,u} f_{p,q})\, \overline{a}^q = (\overline{zu}+\overline{a})^p\, e^{-\rho\, zua^*} = ((z+\overline{au^*})\overline{u})^p\, e^{-\rho\, z(au^*)^*}$$

$$= p\,! \sum_{p'} L_{pp'}(\overline{u})\, (z+\overline{au^*})^{p'}\, e^{-\rho\, z(au^*)^*} = p\,! \sum_{p',q'} L_{pp'}(\overline{u})\, f_{p',q'}\, (\overline{au^*})^{q'}$$

$$= p\,! \sum_{p',q',q} q'\,!\, L_{pp'}(\overline{u})\, f_{p',q'}\, L_{q'q}(u')\, \overline{a}^q.$$

Therefore

$$T_{1,u}\, f_{p,q} = p\,! \sum_{p',q'} q'\,!\, L_{pp'}(\overline{u})\, L_{q'q}(u')\, f_{p',q'}. \tag{4.16}$$

Combining (4.15) and (4.16) and taking into account that $L_{q'q}(u') = L_{qq'}(u)$, we obtain (4.14). ☐

Remark. Observe the following property of the polynomials L_{pq}. The matrices

$$D^m(x) = \|D_{pq}(x)\|_{|p|=|q|=m}, \quad \text{where} \quad D_{pq}(x) = (p!q!)^{1/2}\, L_{pq}(x),$$

satisfy the relations

$$D^m(xy) = D^m(x)\, D^m(y). \tag{4.17}$$

Relation (4.17) remains valid if we replace $(p!q!)^{1/2}$ in the definition of $D_{pq}(x)$ by $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i^{\alpha_i} q_i^{\beta_i}$, where $\alpha_i + \beta_i = 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, in particular, if we replace $(p!q!)^{1/2}$ by $p!$ or $q!$.

4.5. **Representations of the group P in the spaces $H^\lambda_\pm$.** By analogy with the spaces $\mathcal{H}^\lambda_\pm$, we define spaces $H^\lambda_\pm$ as the following direct integrals:

$$H^\lambda_- = \int_{-\infty}^0 H(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho; \quad H^\lambda_+ = \int_{-\infty}^0 H(\rho)\, \rho^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho.$$

In more detail, H^λ_+ is the Hilbert space of ALL functions $f(\rho, z)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(\rho,z)|^2\, e^{-|\rho|\,|z|^2}\, d\mu(z) \Big)\, \rho^{n+\lambda-2}\, d\rho. \tag{4.18}$$

The space H^λ_- is defined in a similar way.

The unitary representations of the group P_0 in the spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ induce unitary representations of this group in the spaces $H^\lambda_\pm$.

Proposition 4.7. *The representations of the group P in the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm^\lambda$ can be extended to unitary representations of the group $P = P_0 \leftthreetimes D_1$. Namely, the operators T_d corresponding to elements $d \in D$ are given by the formula* (3.12)*:*

$$T_d f(\rho, z) = f(r^2\rho, r^{-1}z)\, r^\lambda \quad \textit{for} \quad d = \operatorname{diag}(r^{-2}, e, r), \quad r > 0.$$

Proposition 4.8. *The spaces $H_\pm^\lambda$ decompose into a direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent P-irreducible subspaces:*

$$H_\pm^\lambda = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} (H_\pm^\lambda)_m,$$

where

$$(H_-^\lambda)_m = \int_{-\infty}^{0} H(\rho)|\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho, \quad (H_+^\lambda)_m = \int_0^\infty H(\rho)\rho^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho.$$

Corollary. *The representations of the group P in the spaces $(H_+^\lambda)_m$ (respectively, $(H_-^\lambda)_m$) are pairwise nonequivalent.*

Proposition 4.9. *Theorem 3.2, concerning embeddings of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm^\lambda$ into their tensor product, translates without any change to the spaces $H_\pm^\lambda$.*

4.6. Another realization of representations of the groups P_0 and P.

Proposition 4.10. *Every Hermitian functional in the space of functions $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ that is invariant with respect to the operators* (3.2), (3.10) *(for $\rho > 0$) of the group P_0 can be written in the form*

$$\Phi(f) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} f(z)\, \overline{f(z')}\, e^{\rho(z'z^* - |z|^2 - |z'|^2)}\, Q(\rho|z - z'|)\, d\mu(z)\, d\mu(z'), \tag{4.19}$$

where the kernel Q is an arbitrary (generalized) function.

In particular, if Q is the delta function, then this functional coincides, up to a factor, with the squared norm in the space $H_+(\rho)$.

Assume that Φ is a positive definite functional and denote by $H(Q, \rho)$ the Hilbert space of functions $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm $\|f\|^2 = \Phi(f)$. Formulas (3.2), (3.10) define a unitary representation of the group P_0 in this space.

In a similar way we define a representation of P_0 in $H(Q, \rho)$ for $\rho < 0$.

Proposition 4.11. *If $H(Q, \rho)$ contains all monomials $z^k \overline{z}^l$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, and is generated by them, then the representation of the group P_0 in $H(Q, \rho)$ is equivalent to its representation in $H(\rho)$.*

Further, let us introduce the following direct integrals of the Hilbert spaces $H(Q, \rho)$:

$$H_+^\lambda(Q) = \int_0^\infty H(Q, \rho)\, \rho^{2n+\lambda-3}\, d\rho.$$

The representations of P_0 in the spaces $H_+^\lambda(Q)$ can be extended to unitary representations of the group P by the same formulas as for the space H_+^λ.

Proposition 4.12. *For all Q satisfying the positivity condition and the assumptions of Proposition 4.11, and for all λ, the representation of the group P in the space H^λ_+ is equivalent to its representation in the space $\mathcal{H}^\lambda_+$.*

PART 3. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP $U(n,1)$

§ 5. Models of the complementary series of irreducible unitary representations of the group $U(n,1)$

Every complementary series representation is determined by a real number λ from the interval $0 < \lambda < 2n$. Let us describe several models of these representations.

5.1. **Model A: realization in a space of functions on the unitary unit sphere $S = S^{2n-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$.** The unitary unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$,

$$S: \quad |\omega| \equiv |\omega_1|^2 + \ldots + |\omega_n|^2 = 1,$$

is a homogeneous space of the group $U(n,1)$. Namely, if this group is realized in matrix model a (see § 1), then its action on S is given by the formula

$$\omega g = (\omega\beta + \delta)^{-1}(\omega\alpha + \gamma) \quad \text{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The complementary series representation with parameter λ acts in the Hilbert space L^λ of functions $f(\omega)$ on S with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{S\times S} |1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle|^{-\lambda} f(\omega)\,\overline{f(\omega')}\,d\omega\,d\omega', \tag{5.1}$$

where $\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle = \omega_1\overline{\omega_1'} + \ldots + \omega_n\overline{\omega_n'}$ and $d\omega$ is the invariant measure on S.

Remark. In the interval $n < \lambda < 2n$ the integral should be understood in the sense of the regularized value, see [17].

The operators T^λ_g, $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, of this representation are given by the formula

$$T^\lambda_g f(\omega) = f(\omega g)\,|\omega\beta + \delta|^{\lambda-2n}. \tag{5.2}$$

The group property of these operators is a consequence of the following relation for the function $b(\omega, g) = |\omega\beta + \delta|$:

$$b(\omega, g_1 g_2) = b(\omega, g_1)\,b(\omega g_1, g_2) \quad \text{for any} \quad g_1, g_2 \in U(n,1)$$

(the 1-cocycle property). The unitarity follows from the relations

$$|1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle| = |1 - \langle \omega g, \omega' g \rangle|\,b(\omega, g)\,b(\omega', g)$$

and

$$\frac{d(\omega g)}{d\omega} = b^{-2n}(\omega, g) \quad \text{for every } g \in U(n,1).$$

Proposition 5.1. *Complementary series representations* T^λ *and* T^μ *of the group* $U(n,1)$ *are equivalent if and only if* $\lambda+\mu=2n$ *or* $\lambda=\mu$.

An intertwining operator $R^\lambda : L^\lambda \to L^{2n-\lambda}$ *has the form*

$$(R^\lambda f)(\omega) = \int_S |1 - \langle \omega, \omega \rangle\,'|^{-\lambda}\, f(\omega)\, d\omega'.$$

5.2. **Model** B**: realization in a space of functions on the Heisenberg group** H**.** In model B we use matrix model b of the group $U(n,1)$.

The complementary series representation with parameter λ is realized in the Hilbert space L^λ of functions $f(h) = f(t,z)$ on the Heisenberg group H with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{H\times H} R^{-\lambda}(h,h')\, f(h)\, \overline{f(h')}\, dh\, dh', \tag{5.3}$$

where $R(h_1,h_2) = |(h_1 h_2^{-1})_{31}|$ and $dh = dt\, d\mu(z)$ is the invariant measure on H.

In the coordinate form:

$$R(h,h') = |\zeta + \bar{\zeta}' + zz'^*| = |i(t - t' + \mathrm{Im}(zz'^*) - \frac{1}{2}|z-z'|^2|, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\zeta = it - \frac{zz^*}{2}$.

To describe the operators of this representation, let us define an action of the group $U(n,1)$ on H by the formula $h\bar{g} = h'$, where $h' \in H$ is determined by the relation $hg = b^* h'$, $b^* \in sPs$ (the subgroup of upper block triangular matrices). In the coordinate form:

$$(\zeta, z)\bar{g} = (\zeta', z'),$$

where

$$\zeta' = (\zeta g_{13} + z g_{23} + g_{33})^{-1}\, (\zeta g_{11} + z g_{21} + g_{31}), \tag{5.5}$$

$$z' = (\zeta g_{13} + z g_{23} + g_{33})^{-1}\, (\zeta g_{12} + z g_{22} + g_{32}). \tag{5.6}$$

Further, set

$$\beta(h,g) = \beta(\zeta, z, g) = |\zeta g_{13} + z g_{23} + g_{33}| \quad \text{for any} \quad h \in H, \quad g \in U(n,1). \tag{5.7}$$

The operators T_g^λ of the group $U(n,1)$ in the space L^λ are given by the formula

$$T_g^\lambda f(h) = f(h\bar{g})\, \beta^{\lambda - 2n}(h,g). \tag{5.8}$$

In particular,

$$T^\lambda_{t_0,z_0} f(\zeta, z) = f(\zeta + \zeta_0 - z z_0^*, z + z_0), \quad (\zeta_0, z_0) \in H,$$
$$T^\lambda_{\epsilon,u} f(\zeta, z) = f(|\epsilon|^{-2}\zeta, \bar{\epsilon}^{-1} z u)\, |\epsilon|^{\lambda - 2n}, \quad (\epsilon, u) \in D,$$
$$T^\lambda_s f(\zeta, z) = f(1/\zeta, z/\zeta)\, |\zeta|^{\lambda - 2n}.$$

The group property and the unitarity follow from the relations

$$R(h,h') = R(h\bar{g}, h'\bar{g})\, \beta(h,g)\, \beta(h',g)$$

and

$$\frac{d(h\bar{g})}{dh} = \beta^{-2n}(h,g).$$

Proposition 5.2. *An intertwining operator $A^\lambda : L^\lambda \to L^{2n-\lambda}$ for equivalent representations has the form*

$$A^\lambda f(h) = \int_H R^{-\lambda}(h, h')\, f(h')\, dh. \tag{5.9}$$

Thus the following relations hold:

$$T_g^{2n-\lambda} A^\lambda = A^\lambda T_g^\lambda \quad \text{for every} \qquad g \in U(n,1), \tag{5.10}$$

$$\|f\|_\lambda = c(\lambda)\, \|A^\lambda f\|_{2n-\lambda}, \tag{5.11}$$

that is,

$$R^{-\lambda}(h_1, h_2) = c(\lambda) \int_{Z\times Z} R^{-\lambda}(h_1, h_1')\, R^{-\lambda}(h_2, h_2')\, R^{\lambda-2n}(h_1', h_2')\, dh_1'\, dh_2'. \tag{5.12}$$

(The integral is understood in the sense of the regularized value.)

5.3. **Relation between models** A **and** B**.** In matrix model b, the stationary subgroup of the point $\omega_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S$ coincides with the subgroup $P^* = sPs$ of upper block triangular matrices, i.e., $S = P^* \backslash U(n,1)$. It follows that the group H is a section of the fiber bundle $\tau : U(n,1) \to S$ over the punctured sphere,

$$\tau(h) = \omega_0 h, \quad h \in H.$$

Set

$$\varphi(h) = f(\tau h).$$

Proposition 5.3. *The norm in the space of functions on the sphere S, written in the coordinates $h = (\zeta, z)$, has, up to a constant factor, the following form:*

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = \int_{H\times H} |\zeta + \bar\zeta' + zz'^*|^{-\lambda}\, |1-\zeta|^{\lambda-2n}\, |1-\bar\zeta'|^{\lambda-2n}\, \varphi(h)\overline{\varphi(h')}\, dh\, dh'. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. The relation $\omega = \omega_0 h$ implies that for $h = (\zeta, z)$,

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}, \quad \omega' = \frac{\sqrt{2}z}{1-\zeta},$$

where $\omega' = (\omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$. Therefore

$$|1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle\,| = 2\, |\zeta + \bar\zeta' + zz'^*|\, |1-\zeta|\, |1-\zeta'|.$$

Further, it is easy to check that the measure $d\omega$ on S and the measure dh on H are related by the formula

$$d\omega = |1-\zeta|^{-2n}\, dh.$$

Substituting these expressions into (5.1), we obtain (5.13). □

Comparing (5.13) with the expression (5.3) for the norm, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary. *The function $F(\omega)$, $\omega \in S$, in model A and the function $f(h)$, $h \in R$, in model B are related by the formula*

$$f(h) = |1-\zeta|^{\lambda-2n}\, F(\tau h).$$

5.4. **Model C: realization in a space of functions $\psi(\rho, z)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.** Model C of the complementary series representations of the group $U(n,1)$ is obtained from the previous one by passing from functions $f(h) = f(t,z)$ in model B to functions $\psi(\rho, z)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, where

$$\psi(\rho, z) = |\rho|^{-n+1}\, e^{\frac{|\rho|}{2}|z|^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\rho t}\, f(t,z)\, dt.$$

According to the inversion formula for the Fourier transform, we have

$$f(t,z) = |\rho|^{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi(\rho, z)\, |\rho|^{n-1}\, e^{i\rho t - \frac{|\rho|}{2}\,|z|^2}\, d\rho.$$

To describe the space L^λ and the operators of the representation in this model, set

$$Q_\lambda(t) = t^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\, e^{\frac{t}{2}} K_{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}(\frac{|t|}{2}), \quad \text{where } K_\nu \text{ is a Bessel function,} \tag{5.14}$$

$$a_\rho(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} z_1 z_2^* & \text{for } \rho > 0, \\ z_2 z_1^* & \text{for } \rho < 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.15}$$

$$R_\lambda(\rho, z_1, z_2) = e^{|\rho|(a_\rho(z_1,z_2) - |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2)}\, Q_\lambda(|\rho|\, |z_1 - z_2|^2). \tag{5.16}$$

Proposition 5.4. *In model C, the complementary series representation T^λ of the group $U(n,1)$ is realized in the Hilbert space L^λ of functions $\psi(\rho, z)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, with the norm*

$$\|\psi\|^2 = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|\psi\|_\rho^2\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho, \tag{5.17}$$

where

$$\|\psi\|_\rho^2 = |\rho|^{2n-2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}} R_\lambda(\rho, z_1, z_2)\, \psi(\rho, z)\, \overline{\psi(\rho, z)}\, d\mu(z_1)\, d\mu(z_2). \tag{5.18}$$

The operators of this representation are given by the formulas

$$T^\lambda_{t_0, z_0} \psi(\rho, z) = e^{i\rho\ t_0 - |\rho|(\frac{|z_0|^2}{2} + a_\rho(z_0, z))}\, \psi(\rho, z + z_0) \quad \textit{for} \quad (t_0, z_0) \in H, \tag{5.19}$$

$$T^\lambda_d \psi(\rho, z) = \begin{cases} \psi(\rho,\ \epsilon z u) & \textit{for} \quad d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, u, \epsilon) \in D_0\,, \\ r^\lambda\, \psi(r^2 \rho,\ r^{-1} z) & \textit{for} \quad d = \operatorname{diag}(r^{-1}, e, r) \in D_1, \end{cases} \tag{5.20}$$

$$T^\lambda_s \psi(\rho, z) = |\rho|^{-n+1}\, e^{\frac{|\rho|}{2}|z|^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-it(\rho + \rho' |\zeta|^{-2}}\, |\rho'|^{n-1}\, |\zeta|^{\lambda - 2n}\, e^{-\frac{|\rho||z|^2}{2|\zeta|^2}}\, \psi(\rho', \frac{z}{\zeta})\, dt\, d\rho', \tag{5.21}$$

where $\zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}$.

Proof. The formulas for the operators of T^λ immediately follow from the corresponding formulas in the previous model.

Let us derive formula (5.17) for the norm in model C.

It follows from the definition of the norm in model B that

$$\|\psi\|^2 = \int F(\rho_1, z_1; \rho_2, z_2)\, \psi(\rho_1, z_1)\, \overline{\psi(\rho_2, z_2)}\, d\mu(z_1)\, d\mu(z_2)\, |\rho_1 \rho_2|^{n-1}\, d\rho_1\, d\rho_2,$$

where

$$F(\rho_1, z_1; \rho_2, z_2) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\,(|\rho_1||z_1|^2+|\rho_2||z_2|^2)} \times$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(\rho_1 t_1-\rho_2 t_2)}\,|(t_1-t_2+\operatorname{Im}(z_1z_2^*))^2+\frac{1}{4}\,|z_1-z_2|^4|^{-\lambda/2}\,dt_1\,dt_2$$

$$= \delta(\rho_1-\rho_2)\,2\,e^{-i\rho_1\operatorname{Im}(z_1z_2^*)-\frac{|\rho_1|}{2}\,(|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2)} \int_0^{+\infty} |t^2+\frac{1}{4}\,|z_1-z_2|^4|^{-\lambda/2}\,\cos\rho_1 t\,dt.$$

According to [52, Vol. 1, p. 11, formula (7)],

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (t^2+a^2)^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}}\,\cos\rho t\,dt = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})}\,(\frac{|\rho|}{2a})^{\nu}\,K_\nu(a|\rho|).$$

Therefore

$$F(\rho_1, z_1; \rho_2, z_2)$$

$$= \delta(\rho_1-\rho_2)\,\frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)}\,e^{-i\rho_1\,\operatorname{Im}(z_1z_2^*)-\frac{|\rho_1|}{2}\,(|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2)}\,(\frac{|\rho|}{|z_1-z_2|^2})^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}\,K_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(\frac{|\rho|}{2}\,|z_1-z_2|^2)$$

$$= \delta(\rho_1-\rho_2)\,\frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)}\,e^{s(\rho,z_1,z_2)}\,Q_\lambda(|\rho|\,|z_1-z_2|^2)\,|\rho|^{\lambda-1},$$

where

$$s(\rho, z_1, z_2) = -i\rho_1\operatorname{Im}(z_1z_2^*) - \frac{|\rho_1|}{2}(|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2) - \frac{|\rho|}{2}\,|z_1-z_2|^2.$$

To prove (5.17), it suffices to observe that

$$s(\rho, z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} \rho(z_2z_1^* - |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2) & \text{for } \rho > 0, \\ |\rho|(z_1z_2^* - |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2) & \text{for } \rho < 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

The proposition is proved. □

5.5. **The spaces $L^\lambda(\rho)$ and their decomposition into P_0-invariant subspaces.** In what follows, we will use model C of the complementary series representations.

Definition. Denote by L^λ_+ and L^λ_- the subspaces of functions $\psi(\rho, z) \in L^\lambda$ concentrated, with respect to ρ, on the half-lines $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$, respectively.

By definition, L^λ_+ and L^λ_- are Hilbert spaces with the norms

$$\|\psi\|_+^2 = \int_0^{+\infty} \|\psi\|_\rho^2\,\rho^{\lambda-1}\,d\rho \quad\text{and}\quad \|\psi\|_-^2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 \|\psi\|_\rho^2\,|\rho|^{\lambda-1}\,d\rho,$$

and the space L^λ is their direct sum:

$$L^\lambda = L^\lambda_+ \bigoplus L^\lambda_-.$$

It also follows that L^λ_+ and L^λ_- are direct integrals of the Hilbert spaces $L^\lambda(\rho)$ of functions $\psi(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norms $\|\psi\|_\rho$:

$$L^\lambda_+ = \int_0^{+\infty} L^\lambda(\rho)\,\rho^{\lambda-1}\,d\rho \quad\text{and}\quad L^\lambda_- = \int_{-\infty}^0 L^\lambda(\rho)\,|\rho|^{\lambda-1}\,d\rho.$$

The explicit formulas for the operators of T^λ in model C imply the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5.

1) *The subspaces* L^λ_+ *and* L^λ_- *are invariant under the subgroup* P.
2) *The subspaces* $L^\lambda(\rho)$ *are invariant under the subgroup* P_0.

According to (5.20), (5.19), the formulas for the operators T_d, $d = \operatorname{diag}(\epsilon, u, \epsilon) \in D$, and T_h, $h = (t_0, z_0) \in H$, do not depend on λ and have the form

$$T_d\,\psi(z) = \psi(\epsilon\, z\, u), \qquad T_h\psi(z) = \begin{cases} e^{\rho(it_0 - \frac{|z_0|^2}{2} - zz_0^*)}\,\psi(z + z_0) & \text{for } \rho > 0, \\ e^{i\rho t_0 - |\rho|(\frac{|z_0|^2}{2} - zz_0^*)}\,\psi(z + z_0) & \text{for } \rho < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us compare the representations of the group P_0 in $L^\lambda(\rho)$ and $H(\rho)$, where $H(\rho)$ is the space introduced in Section 4.1.

According to Proposition 4.2, the space $H(\rho)$ decomposes into a direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent P_0-irreducible subspaces $H_m(\rho)$:

$$H(\rho) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m(\rho).$$

Proposition 5.6. *The representations of* P_0 *in the spaces* $L^\lambda(\rho)$ *and* $H(\rho)$ *are equivalent.*

Proof. Note that the space $L^\lambda(\rho)$ is linearly generated by the same polynomials in z and $\overline{z}$ as $H(\rho)$, and the formulas for the operators of the subgroup P_0 coincide. It follows that $L^\lambda(\rho)$ is equivalent to a subspace in $H(\rho)$. The isomorphism of $L^\lambda(\rho)$ and $H(\rho)$ follows from the fact that the norm on $L^\lambda(\rho)$ is nondegenerate. ☐

Corollary 1. *The space* $L^\lambda(\rho)$ *decomposes into a direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent* P_0*-irreducible subspaces* $L^\lambda_m(\rho)$*:*

$$L^\lambda(\rho) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} L^\lambda_m(\rho),$$

where $L^\lambda_m(\rho)$ *is the preimage of* $H_m(\rho)$ *under the isomorphism* $J : L^\lambda(\rho) \to H(\rho)$.

Corollary 2. *The intertwining operator* $J : L^\lambda(\rho) \to H(\rho)$ *is a multiple of the identity operator on each subspace* $L^\lambda_m(\rho)$*, i.e.,*

$$Jf = c_m\, f \quad \textit{for every} \quad f \in L_m(\rho),$$

where c_m *does not depend on* f.

In view of the isomorphism $L^\lambda(\rho) \to H(\rho)$, as an orthogonal basis in $L^\lambda(\rho)$ we can take the same vectors $f_{p,q}(\rho, z)$, $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_+$, as in $H(\rho)$ (Theorem 4.1). It was mentioned there that

$$\|f_{p,q}\|^2 = \frac{\rho^{|q|}}{q!}\,\|f_p\|^2 \quad \text{for any } p, q, \text{ where } f_p = f_{p,0}.$$

Proposition 5.7. *The norm of the vector f_p in the space $L^\lambda(\rho)$ equals*

$$\| f_p \|_L^2 = const\, \rho^{-|p|}\, p!\, \frac{\Gamma(n-\lambda)\,\Gamma(|p|+\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(|p|+n-\frac{\lambda}{2})\,\Gamma^2(\frac{\lambda}{2})}. \tag{5.23}$$

For a proof of formula (5.23), see Appendix 2. This formula implies the following corollary.

Corollary. *The coefficient c_m in the definition of the intertwining operator $J : L^\lambda(\rho) \to H(\rho)$ is given by*

$$c_m^2 = c\, \frac{\Gamma(m+n-\frac{\lambda}{2})\,\Gamma^2(\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(n-\lambda)\,\Gamma(m+\frac{\lambda}{2})}, \tag{5.24}$$

where c does not depend on m.

Proof of the corollary. By definition, $c_m^2 = \frac{\|f\|_H^2}{\|f\|_L^2}$ for every $f \in L_m^\lambda(\rho)$, where $\|f\|_H^2$ and $\|f\|_L^2$ are the norms of f in the subspaces $H_m(\rho)$ and $L_m^\lambda(\rho)$, respectively. Let $f = f_p$, $|p| = m$, i.e.,

$$f_p = \begin{cases} \overline{z}^p & \text{for } \rho > 0, \\ z^p & \text{for } \rho < 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case, (5.24) immediately follows from the expression (5.23) for $\| f_p \|_L^2$ and the equality $\| f_p \|_H^2 = p!\, \rho^{-|p|}$. □

5.6. **Restriction of the complementary series representations to the subgroup P.** The isomorphisms $L^\lambda(\rho) \to H(\rho)$ induce an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces

$$J : L^\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} L^\lambda(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho \quad \longrightarrow \quad H^\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho$$

commuting with the representation of the subgroup P_0. It follows from the explicit formulas for the operators of the subgroup $P = P_0 \leftthreetimes D_1$ that this isomorphism commutes with the representations of the whole group P in these spaces. Recall that H^λ decomposes into a direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent P-irreducible subspaces: $H^\lambda = \bigoplus_{m=0}^\infty (H_\pm^\lambda)_m$. This implies the following proposition.

Proposition 5.8. *The subspaces L_+^λ and L_-^λ decompose into direct sums of pairwise nonequivalent P-irreducible subspaces*

$$L_\pm^\lambda = \bigoplus_{m=0}^\infty (L_\pm^\lambda)_m\,,$$

where

$$(L_+^\lambda)_m = \int_0^\infty L_m^\lambda(\rho)\, \rho^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho, \qquad (L_-^\lambda)_m = \int_{-\infty}^0 L_m^\lambda(\rho)\, |\rho|^{\lambda-1}\, d\rho.$$

In view of the isomorphism $L^\lambda \to H^\lambda$, we can transfer the action of the whole group $U(n,1)$ from L^λ to H^λ.

Definition. The realization of the complementary series representations in the spaces H^λ will be called the Bargmann model of these representations.

We should mention two particular features of the Bargmann model:

1) In the Bargmann model, it is essential that the irreducible P_0-invariant subspaces $H(\rho)$ occurring in the decomposition of H^λ do not depend on λ.
2) In the Bargmann model, the action of the operators of the subgroup P is defined from the beginning; hence in order to describe a representation of the whole group $U(n,1)$, it suffices to define only the action of the operator T_s.

To describe the operators T_s in the Bargmann model, we must use the decomposition $L^\lambda = \bigoplus_{m=0}^\infty ((L^\lambda_+)_m \oplus (L^\lambda_-)_m)$ of the space L^λ into pairwise nonequivalent P-irreducible subspaces. Let $f^\pm_m \in (L^\lambda_\pm)_m$ be the components of a vector $f \in L^\lambda$ in the subspaces $(L^\lambda_\pm)_m$. Let us identify the elements from L^λ and H^λ and denote by T^L_s and T^H_s the corresponding operators T_s. Then the action of T^H_s in H^λ is given by the formula

$$T^H_s\, f = \sum_m c_m\left((T^L_s\, f)^+_m + (T^L_s\, f)^-_m\right),$$

where the coefficients c_m are given by (5.24).

5.7. Vacuum vectors and spherical functions.

Proposition 5.9. *Up to a factor, the vacuum vector f_λ in the space L^λ has the form*

$$f_\lambda(\omega) = const \qquad \textit{in model} \quad A, \tag{5.25}$$

$$f_\lambda(t,z) = (t^2 + (1+\tfrac{|z|^2}{2})^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-n} \qquad \textit{in model} \quad B, \tag{5.26}$$

$$f_\lambda(\rho,z) = |\rho|^{-\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}\, e^{\frac{|\rho|}{2}|z|^2}\,(1+\tfrac{|z|^2}{2})^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}-n}\, K_{n-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(|\rho|\,(1+\tfrac{|z|^2}{2})) \qquad \textit{in model} \quad C. \tag{5.27}$$

Proof. For model A, the assertion follows from the explicit formulas for the operators of the representation. When we pass to model B, the vector *const* goes to the vector (5.26). Finally, when we pass to model C, the vector (5.26) goes to the vector

$$f_\lambda(\rho,z) = |\rho|^{-n+1}\, e^{\frac{|\rho|}{2}|z|^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-i\rho t}\,(t^2 + (1+\frac{|z|^2}{2})^2\,)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-n}\,dt.$$

According to [52, p. 11(7)], the obtained expression coincides, up to a factor, with (5.27). □

Proposition 5.10. *In model A of the representation T^λ, the spherical function $\psi_\lambda(g)$ is given by the formula*

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = |\delta|^{-\lambda}\, F(\frac{\lambda}{2},\frac{\lambda}{2},n,1-|\delta|^{-2}) \quad \textit{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.28}$$

where $F(a,b,c,x)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function, see [51].

Proof. By definition,

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda \int_{S\times S} |\omega\beta+\delta|^{\lambda-2n}\,|1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle^{\,1-\lambda}\,d\omega\,d\omega' \quad \text{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly to the case of $O(n,1)$, this expression can be reduced to the form

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda \int_S |\omega_1 \sinh\tau + \cosh\tau|^{\lambda-2n}\,d\omega = c_\lambda\,(\cosh\tau)^{\lambda-2n} \int_S |1+a\omega_1|^{\lambda-2n}\,d\omega,$$

where $\cosh\tau = |\delta|$, $a = \frac{\sinh\tau}{\cosh\tau}$.

Let us write this integral as a power series in a. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |1 + a\omega_1|^{\lambda-2n} &= (1 + a\omega_1)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-n}\,(1 + a\overline{\omega}_1)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-n} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n-\frac{\lambda}{2}+k)}{\Gamma(n-\frac{\lambda}{2})\,k!}\,(-a\omega_1)^k \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n-\frac{\lambda}{2}+l)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-\lambda}{2})\,l!}\,(-a\overline{\omega}_1)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expression into the integral and taking into account that the integrals of the monomials $\omega_1\overline{\omega}_1^l$ with $k \neq l$ vanish, we obtain

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda\,(\cosh\tau)^{\lambda-2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2(n-\frac{\lambda}{2}+k)}{(k!)^2} \Big(\int_S |\omega_1^k|^2\,d\omega\Big)\,a^{2k}.$$

Since $\int_S |\omega_1^k|^2\,d\omega = \frac{k!}{(k+n-1)!}$ (see Appendix 2), it follows that

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = c_\lambda\,(\cosh\tau)^{\lambda-2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2(n-\frac{\lambda}{2}+k)}{k!(n+k-1)!}\,a^{2k} = c_\lambda\,(\cosh\tau)^{\lambda-2n}\,F(n-\tfrac{\lambda}{2}, n-\tfrac{\lambda}{2}n, a^2),$$

where $F(a,b,c,x)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function. Since $\psi_\lambda(e) = 1$, we have $c_\lambda = 1$. Further, in view of the Kummer relations for the Gauss function (see [51]), we have

$$F(n-\tfrac{\lambda}{2}, n-\tfrac{\lambda}{2}, n, a^2) = (1-a^2)^{\lambda-n}\,F(\tfrac{\lambda}{2}, \tfrac{\lambda}{2}, n, a^2).$$

This implies (5.28). ☐

Remark. The above relation for the Gauss function is equivalent to the assertion that the spherical functions of the representations with parameters λ and $2n-\lambda$ coincide (since these representations are equivalent).

§ 6. Models of the special representations of the group $U(n,1)$

6.1. **Definition of the special representations.** Similarly to the case of $O(n,1)$, the special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ arise as the $\lambda \to 0$ or $\lambda \to 2n$ limits of the complementary series representations T^λ.

Let us describe the cases $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 2n$ separately.

For $\lambda = 0$, the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ degenerates on a subspace L^0 of codimension 1, and on this space we can introduce the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{d\|f\|_\lambda^2}{d\lambda}.$$

In contrast to the case of $O(n,1)$, this norm for $n > 1$ degenerates on some infinite-dimensional subspace $L_0 \subset L^0$.

Theorem 6.1. *The completion of the quotient space L^0/L_0 with respect to the norm $\|f\|$ splits into a direct sum of two irreducible nonequivalent subspaces. The representations of the group $U(n,1)$ in these spaces are special representations.*

Note that on the subspace L_0 there is a nondegenerate norm, given by

$$\|f\|_0^2 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{d^2\|f\|_\lambda^2}{d\lambda^2},$$

and the representation of $U(n,1)$ in the Hilbert space with this norm is irreducible.

The space L, which is the $\lambda \to 2n$ limit of the spaces L^λ of complementary series representations, has a dual structure. Namely, $\|f\|_\lambda$ degenerates for $\lambda = 2n$ and $n > 1$ on a subspace L_{2n} of infinite codimension. In the space L_{2n} there is a nondegenerate norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{d\|f\|_\lambda^2}{d\lambda},$$

which is degenerate on a one-dimensional subspace L^{2n}.

Theorem 6.2. *The completion of the quotient space L_{2n}/L^{2n} with respect to this norm splits into a direct sum of two irreducible nonequivalent subspaces. The representations of the group $U(n,1)$ in these spaces are special representations equivalent to those defined above for $\lambda = 0$.*

Let us describe several realizations of the special representations.

6.2. Model A: realization in a space of functions on the unitary sphere $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ as $\lambda \to 0$.

We assume that the group $U(n,1)$ is realized in matrix model a.

Denote by L the subspace of functions $f(\omega)$ on the unitary unit sphere $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying the condition

$$\int_S f(\omega)\, d\omega = 0,$$

where $d\omega$ is the invariant measure on S. Let us define an action of the group $U(n,1)$ on L by the formula

$$T_g f(\omega) = f(\omega g)\, |b(\omega, g)|^{-2n},$$

where

$$\omega g = (\omega\beta + \delta)^{-1}(\omega\alpha + \gamma), \quad b(\omega, g) = \omega\beta + \delta \quad \text{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

The fact that L is invariant under the operators T_g follows from the equality $d(\omega g) = |\omega\beta + \delta|^{-2n}\, d\omega$.

We introduce on L the following scalar products:

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_- = -\int_{S\times S} \log(1 - \langle \omega, \omega'\rangle)\, f_1(\omega)\, \overline{f_2(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega', \tag{6.2}$$

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_+ = -\int_{S\times S} \log(1 - \overline{\langle \omega, \omega'\rangle}\,)\, f_1(\omega)\, \overline{f_2(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega', \tag{6.3}$$

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle = \langle f_1, f_2\rangle_+ + \langle f_1, f_2\rangle_- = -2\int_{S\times S} \log|1 - \langle \omega, \omega'\rangle\,|\, f_1(\omega)\, \overline{f_2(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega', \tag{6.4}$$

where $\langle \omega, \omega'\rangle = \omega_1\bar\omega_1' + \ldots + \omega_n\bar\omega_n'$.

Note that $\langle f_1, f_2\rangle$ is obtained by passing to the limit from the scalar products in the spaces of complementary series representations.

Proposition 6.1. *The norms* $\|f\|_\pm = \langle f,f\rangle_\pm^{1/2}$ *can be written in the form*

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_-^2 &= \sum_{k>0} \frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}\Big|\int_S \omega^k\, f(\omega)\, d\omega\Big|^2,\\ \|f\|_+^2 &= \sum_{k>0} \frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}\Big|\int_S \overline{\omega}^k\, f(\omega)\, d\omega\Big|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Here we use the notation $k! = k_1!\dots k_n!$, $|k| = k_1+\dots+k_n$, $\omega^k = \omega_1^{k_1}\dots\omega_n^{k_n}$.

Indeed, in order to prove, for example, the first equality, it suffices to use the series expansion

$$\log(1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle) = -\sum_{k>0}\frac{1}{|k|}\,\frac{|k|!}{k!}\,\omega^k\overline{\omega'}^k.$$

Corollary.

1) The scalar products $\langle f,f\rangle_\pm$ *are sign definite on* L.

2) The conditions $\|f\|_+ = 0$ *and* $\|f\|_- = 0$ *are equivalent, respectively, to the conditions*

$$\int_S \omega^k\, f(\omega)\, d\omega = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_S \bar\omega^k\, f(\omega)\, d\omega = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad k>0.$$

Proposition 6.2. *The operators* T_g *preserve the norms* $\|f\|_\pm$*, i.e.,* $\|T_gf\|_\pm = \|f\|_\pm$ *for all* $g\in U(n,1)$.

Proof. Since $|\omega\beta+\delta|^{-2n}\, d\omega = d(\omega g)$, it follows that

$$\|T_gf\|_-^2 = -\int_{S\times S}\log(1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle)\, f(\omega g)\,\overline{f(\omega' g)}\, d(\omega g)\, d(\omega' g) =$$

$$-\int_{S\times S}\log(1-\langle\omega g^{-1},\omega' g^{-1}\rangle)\, f(\omega)\,\overline{f(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega'.$$

Note that

$$1-\langle\omega g^{-1},\omega' g^{-1}\rangle = (1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle)\, b^{-1}(\omega,g^{-1})\,\overline{b^{-1}(\omega',g^{-1})}.$$

Hence

$$\|T_gf\|_-^2 = \|f\|_-^2 - \int_{S\times S}(\log b(\omega g^{-1}) + \log\overline{b(\omega' g^{-1})}\,)f(\omega)\,\overline{f(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega'.$$

Since $f\in L$, the second term in this sum vanishes.

For the norm $\|f\|_+$, the proof is the same. □

Corollary. *The following subspaces in* L *are invariant under the action of* $U(n,1)$*:*

$$L_+ = \{f\in L \mid \|f\|_- = 0\};\quad L_- = \{f\in L\mid \|f\|_+ = 0\};\quad L_0 = L_+\cap L_-.$$

Let $L_0\subset L$ be the subspace of functions f with $\|f\| = 0$. By definition, the norm $\|f\|$ is nondegenerate on the quotient space L/L_0.

Definition. Denote by $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_+$, and $\mathcal{L}_-$ the complex Hilbert spaces obtained by completing L/L_0, L_+/L_0, and L_-/L_0 with respect to the norm $\|f\|$.

Obviously,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_-$$

and

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_+ \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}_+; \qquad \|f\| = \|f\|_- \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}_-.$$

As a result, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *In model A with $\lambda = 0$ of the two special representations T^+ and T^- of the group $U(n,1)$,*

1) *The direct sum of T^+ and T^- is realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$, the completion with respect to the norm $\|f\|^2 = -2 \int_{S\times S} \log|1-\langle\omega,\omega'\rangle|\, f(\omega)\,\overline{f(\omega')}\,d\omega\,d\omega'$ of the quotient space L/L_0, where L is the space of functions $f(\omega)$ on the sphere $S = S^{2n-1}$ with $\int_S f(\omega)\,d\omega = 0$ and L_0 is the subspace of functions with norm 0.*
2) *The subspaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ of the special representations are determined by the conditions $\mathcal{L}_\pm = \{f \in \mathcal{L} \mid \|f\|_\mp = 0\}$, where $\|f\|_+$ and $\|f\|_-$ are given by Proposition 6.1.*
3) *The operators of the group $U(n,1)$ act in $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ as follows:*

$$T_g^\pm f(\omega) = f(\omega g)\,|b(\omega,g)|^{-2n} \quad (\text{mod } L_0),$$

$$\text{where} \quad \omega g = (\omega\beta+\delta)^{-1}(\omega\alpha+\gamma), \quad b(\omega,g) = \omega\beta+\delta \quad \text{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.3. **The structure of the special representations in model A for $\lambda = 0$.** Let us describe the subspaces L, L_+, L_-, and L_0 defined in Section 6.2 in terms of generating elements. Note that the original space $\widetilde{L}$ is linearly generated by the monomials $\omega^k\bar\omega^l = \prod \omega_i^{k_i}\bar\omega_i^{l_i}$. Let us write $k > l$ if $k_i \geqslant l_i$ and $k \neq l$. Further, set

$$c_k = \int_S |\omega^k|^2\,d\omega, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n;$$

an explicit expression for c_k will be given below.

The description of the norms $\|f\|_\pm$ implies the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3.

1) *If $k > l$, then $\|\omega^k\bar\omega^l\|_+ \neq 0$ and $\|\omega^k\bar\omega^l\|_- = 0$.*
2) *If $l > k$, then $\|\omega^k\bar\omega^l\|_- \neq 0$ and $\|\omega^k\bar\omega^l\|_+ = 0$.*
3) *If $k \not> l$, $l \not> k$, $k \neq l$, then $\|c_{k+l}\omega^k - c_k\omega^{k+l}\bar\omega^l\|_\pm = \|c_{k+l}\bar\omega^k - c_k\omega^l\bar\omega^{k+l}\|_\pm = 0$.*

(Here $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.)

Corollary.

1) *The subspace L is linearly generated by the monomials $\omega^k\bar\omega^l$, $k \neq l$, and the binomials $c_k - c_0|\omega^k|^2$.*
2) *The subspace L_0 is linearly generated by the monomials $\omega^k\bar\omega^l$, $k \neq l$, where $k \not> l$, $l \not> k$, $k \neq l$, and the binomials $c_{k+l}\omega^k - c_k\omega^{k+l}\bar\omega^l$, $c_{k+l}\bar\omega^k - c_k\omega^l\bar\omega^{k+l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.*
3) *The quotient spaces L_+/L_0 and L_-/L_0 are linearly generated by the monomials ω^k, $k > 0$, and $\bar\omega^k$, $k > 0$, respectively.*

Note that $L_0 = 0$ for $n = 1$.

Proposition 6.4. *The monomials ω^k and $\bar\omega^k$, $k>0$, form orthogonal bases in $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$, respectively; their norms equal*

$$\|\omega^k\|_+^2 = \|\bar\omega^k\|_-^2 = \frac{4\pi^{2n}\, k!\,(|k|-1)!}{[(|k|+n-1)!]^2}. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. The fact that these monomials really generate the corresponding spaces follows from Proposition 6.3 (corollary). The orthogonality follows from the explicit formulas (6.5) for the norm. The same explicit formulas and (8.6) imply that

$$\|\omega^k\|_+^2 = \|\bar\omega^k\|_-^2 = \frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}\Big|\int_S |\omega^k|^2\, d\omega\Big|^2.$$

Since

$$\int_S |\omega^k|^2\, d\omega = \frac{2\,\pi^n\, k!}{(|k|+n-1)!}, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

this implies (8.6). (For a proof of the latter formula, see Appendix 2.) $\square$

Corollary. *Elements of the spaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ can be interpreted as the boundary values of regular analytic (respectively, antianalytic) functions in the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In this interpretation, if a function f in the ball is given by the series $f(z)=\sum_{k>0} a_k z^k$, then $\|f\|_+^2 = 4\pi^{2n}\sum_{k>0}\frac{k!(|k|-1)!}{[(|k|+n-1)!]^2}|a_k|^2$.*

6.4. **Formulas for the 1-cocycles $U(n,1)\to\mathcal{L}$ and $U(n,1)\to\mathcal{L}_\pm$ in model A.** Let us find explicit formulas for the nontrivial 1-cocycles on the group $U(n,1)$ associated with the two special representations of $U(n,1)$ in the spaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$.

Note that for $\lambda=0$ the nontrivial 1-cocycle $a: U(n,1)\to\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_+\oplus\mathcal{L}_-$ is given by the formula

$$a(\omega,g) = T_g f_0 - f_0 = |\omega\beta+\delta|^{-2n} - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \tag{6.7}$$

(since the vector $f_0(\omega)\equiv 1$ is invariant under the maximal compact subgroup of $U(n,1)$ and $f_0\notin\mathcal{L}$).

Obviously, the 1-cocycles $a^\pm: U(n,1)\to\mathcal{L}_\pm$ associated with the special representations are the projections of a to the corresponding subspaces:

$$a(\omega,g) = a^+(\omega,g) + a^-(\omega,g), \quad a^\pm\in\mathcal{L}_\pm. \tag{6.8}$$

Let us find explicit expressions for these cocycles for $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=2n$.

Proposition 6.5. *For $\lambda=0$, the nontrivial 1-cocycles $a^\pm(\omega,g)$ are given (modulo L_0) by the following formula:*

$$a^+(\omega,g) = \overline{a^-(\omega,g)} = \delta^n\,(\omega\beta+\delta)^{-n} - 1. \tag{6.9}$$

In particular, $a^+(\omega,g) = -\frac{\omega\beta}{\omega\beta+\delta}$ for $n=1$.

Proof. Setting $\gamma=\beta\delta^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(\omega,g) &= |\delta|^{-2n}\,(1+\omega\gamma)^{-n}\,(1+\bar\omega\bar\gamma)^{-n} - 1\\ &= |\delta|^{-2n}\sum_{k,l}(-1)^{|k+l|}\,\frac{(|k|+n-1)!\,(|l|+n-1)!}{k!\,l!}\,\omega^k\gamma^k\bar\omega^l\bar\gamma^l - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega^k\bar{\omega}^l \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{L}_+$ only for $k > l$, it follows that

$$a^+(\omega, g) = |\delta|^{-2n} \sum_{k>0} \sum_{l} (-1)^{|k|}\, \frac{(|k+l|+n-1)!\,(|l|+n-1)!}{(k+l)!\,l!}\, \omega^{k+l}\bar{\omega}^l\; \gamma^{k+l}\bar{\gamma}^l. \tag{6.10}$$

According to Proposition 6.3, on $\mathcal{L}_+$ we have

$$\omega^{k+l}\bar{\omega}^l = \frac{c_{k+l}}{c_k}\,\omega^k = \frac{(k+l)!}{(|k+l|+n-1)!}\,\frac{(|k|+n-1)!}{k!}\,\omega^k.$$

Substituting this expression into (6.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a^+(\omega, g) &= |\delta|^{-2n} \sum_{k>0} (-1)^{|k|}\, \frac{(|k|+n-1)!}{k!}\,\omega^k\gamma^k \sum_{l} \frac{(|l|+n-1)!}{l!}\,\gamma^l\bar{\gamma}^l \\ &= |\delta|^{-2n}\,\big((1+\omega\gamma)^{-n} - 1\big)\,(1-\gamma^*\gamma)^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $1-\gamma^*\gamma = |\delta|^{-2}(|\delta|^2 - \beta^*\beta) = |\delta|^{-2}$. Hence

$$a^+(\omega, g) = (1+\omega\gamma)^{-n} - 1 = \delta^n\,(\omega\beta+\delta)^{-n} - 1.$$

The proposition is proved. □

Proposition 6.6. *For* $\lambda = 2n$*, the nontrivial* 1*-cocycle* $a^+(\omega, g)$ *is given, up to a factor, by the following formula:*

$$a^+(\omega, g) = e^{\,-\delta^{-1}\omega\beta} - 1; \tag{6.11}$$

the cocycle a^- *is obtained from* a^+ *by taking the complex conjugate.*

Proof. It follows from the formulas for $a^+(\omega, g)$ in the case $\lambda = 0$ and for the intertwining operator $R : L^0 \to L^{2n}$ that

$$a^+(\omega, g) = \int_S \log(1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle)\,(1+\omega'\gamma)^{-n}\,d\omega',$$

where $\gamma = \beta\delta^{-1}$. Expanding $\log(1 - \langle\omega,\omega'\rangle)$ and $(1+\omega'\gamma)^{-n}$ into a power series and throwing off the terms with zero integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a^+(\omega, g) &= \sum_{k>0}\Big[\frac{(-1)^{|k|}\,(n+|k|-1)\,!}{(n-1)\,!\,(k\,!)^2}\,\omega^k\,\gamma^k \int_S |\omega'^k|^2\,d\omega'\Big] \\ &= \frac{2\pi^n}{(n-1)\,!}\sum_{k>0}\frac{(-1)^{|k|}}{k\,!}\,\omega^k\,\gamma^k = \frac{2\pi^n}{(n-1)\,!}\,(e^{\,-\omega\,\gamma} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. □

6.5. **The "strange" irreducible representation of the group $U(n,1)$ in the space $\mathcal{L}_0$.** Besides two special representations, there exists another irreducible unitary representation of the group $U(n,1)$ that can be obtained from complementary series representations in the $\lambda \to 0$ or $\lambda \to 2n$ limit (the so-called "strange representation", see [38, 37, 36]). Note that there is no analog of this representation for the groups $O(n,1)$.

Let us construct this representation starting from model A of the complementary series representations for $\lambda \to 0$.

We define a scalar product on the subspace $L_0 = L_+ \cap L_-$ by the formula

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_0 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{d^2 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_\lambda}{d\lambda^2} = \int_{S \times S} \log^2 |1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle| \, f_1(\omega) \, \overline{f_2(\omega')} \, d\omega \, d\omega'. \tag{6.12}$$

Proposition 6.7. *The norm* $\|f\|_0 = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_0^{1/2}$ *can be written in the form*

$$\|f\|_0^2 = \sum_{k,l>0}{}' c_k c_l \, \Big| \int_S \omega^k \bar{\omega}^l \, f(\omega) \, d\omega \Big|^2, \tag{6.13}$$

where $c_k = \frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}$. *The sum ranges only over multi-indices* $k > 0$ *and* $l > 0$ *such that neither* $k > l$ *nor* $l > k$.

Proof. We have

$$\log^2 |1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle| = \Big(\sum_{k>0} c_k \omega^k \bar{\omega}'^k + \sum_{l>0} c_l \bar{\omega}^l \omega'^l\Big)^2$$

$$= \sum_{k,k'>0} c_k c_{k'} \omega^{k+k'} \bar{\omega}'^{k+k'} + \sum_{l,l'>0} c_l c_{l'} \bar{\omega}^{l+l'} \omega'^{l+l'} + \sum_{k,l>0} c_k c_l \omega^k \bar{\omega}^l \, \overline{\omega'^k \bar{\omega}'^l}.$$

Let us substitute this expression into (6.12). Since $L_0 = L_+ \cap L_-$, the terms of the first two sums make zero contributions. The same holds for the terms of the third sum with $k > l$ or $l > k$. Thus (6.13) holds. ☐

Corollary. *The norm* $\|f\|_0$ *is positive definite on* L_0.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_0$ the complex Hilbert space obtained by completing L_0 with respect to the norm $\|f\|_0$.

Proposition 6.8. *The norm* $\|f\|_0$ *is invariant under the operators* T_g*; thus the operators* T_g *form a unitary representation of the group* $U(n,1)$ *in the space* $\mathcal{L}_0$.

Proposition 6.9. *The representation of the group* $U(n,1)$ *in the space* $\mathcal{L}_0$ *is irreducible.*

6.6. **Model A of the special representations in a space of functions on the unitary sphere for $\lambda \to 2n$.** In model A with $\lambda = 2n$, the direct sum $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_-$ of the special representations is realized in the quotient of L^{2n}/L_{2n} by the subspace of constants with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = (-1)^n \int_{S \times S} \delta^{(n)}(1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle) \, f(\omega) \, \overline{f(\omega')} \, d\omega \, d\omega', \tag{6.14}$$

where $\delta^{(n)}$ is the derivative of the delta function on the sphere S, given by the formula $\int_S \delta(1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle) \, f(\omega') \, d\omega' = f(\omega)$. The operators of the representation are given by the formula

$$(T_g f)(\omega) = f(\omega g).$$

An intertwining operator R from model A with $\lambda = 0$ to model A with $\lambda = 2n$ has the form

$$(Rf)(\omega) = \int_S \log(|1 - \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle|) \, f(\omega') \, d\omega'.$$

Note that the norm in model A with $\lambda = 0$ can be written as an integral over the sphere S:

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_S (Rf)(\omega)\,\overline{f(\omega)}\,d\omega.$$

In the model under consideration with $\lambda = 2n$, the subspaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ coincide with the subspaces of boundary values of analytic (respectively, antianalytic) functions in the unit ball $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$: $|z|^2 \equiv |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2 < 1$.

The special representations T^+ and T^- can be realized directly in the Hilbert spaces of analytic and antianalytic functions on D. For this, it suffices to use the following assertions.

1) The ball D is a homogeneous space with respect to the action $z \to zg$ of the group $U(n,1)$, where $zg = (\omega\beta + \delta)^{-1}(\omega\alpha + \gamma)$.
2) On D there exists a $U(n,1)$-invariant measure, namely, $(1 - |z|^2)^{-n-1}\,d\mu(z)$, where $d\mu(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on D (see [54]).

Theorem 6.4. *The special representation $T = T^+$ (respectively, $T = T^-$) of the group $U(n,1)$ is equivalent to the representation in the quotient of the Hilbert space of analytic (respectively, antianalytic) functions on the ball D by the subspace of constants with the norm*

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_D |f(z)|^2\,\delta^{(n)}(1 - |z|^2)\,d\mu(z).$$

The operators of this representation have the form $(T_g f)(z) = f(zg)$.

Note that the vectors z^k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, form an orthogonal basis in this space, with $\|z^k\|^2 = \dfrac{(|k| + n - 1)!}{(|k| - 1)!}$.

6.7. **Model B: realization in a space of functions on the Heisenberg group H.** Model B of the two special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ is obtained from model A by passing from functions $F(\omega)$ on the sphere S to functions $f(h) = f(\zeta, z)$, $\zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}$, on the Heisenberg group H according to the formulas

$$f(\zeta, z) = |1 - \zeta|^{-2n}\,F(\omega),$$

where

$$\omega = \Big(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}, \frac{\sqrt{2}z_1}{1-\zeta}, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{2}z_{n-1}}{1-\zeta}\Big).$$

We will describe model B only for $\lambda = 0$ (for $\lambda = 2n$ the description is similar). In this description, we use matrix model b of the group $U(n,1)$ (see § 1).

Theorem 6.5. *In model B of the two special representations T^+ and T^- of $U(n,1)$ with $\lambda = 0$,*

1) *The direct sum of T^+ and T^- is realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$, the completion with respect to the norm*

$$\|f\|^2 = -\int_{H\times H} \log R(h,h')\,f(h)\overline{f(h')}\,dh\,dh', \tag{6.15}$$

where dh is the invariant measure on H and

$$R(h,h') = R(\zeta, z; \zeta', z') = |\zeta + \bar{\zeta}' + zz^*|, \tag{6.16}$$

of the quotient space L/L_0, where L is the space of functions $f(h)$ on the group H with $\int_H f(h)\,dh = 0$ and L_0 is the subspace of functions with norm 0.

2) *In the coordinates $h = (\zeta, z)$ on H, the operators of the representation $T = T^+ \oplus T^-$ have the following form:*

$$T_g f(\zeta, z) = f(\zeta', z')\,|b(\zeta, z\,;\,g)|^{-2n} \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ L_0), \tag{6.17}$$

where

$$\zeta' = (\zeta g_{13} + z g_{23} + g_{33})^{-1}\,(\zeta g_{11} + z g_{21} + g_{31}), \tag{6.18}$$

$$z' = (\zeta g_{13} + z g_{23} + g_{33})^{-1}\,(\zeta g_{12} + z g_{22} + g_{32}), \tag{6.19}$$

$$b(\zeta, z; g) = \zeta g_{13} + z g_{23} + g_{33}. \tag{6.20}$$

3) *The subspaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ of the special representations are determined by the conditions $\mathcal{L}_\pm = \{f \in \mathcal{L} \mid \|f\|_\mp = 0\}$, where $\|f\|_-$ and $\|f\|_+$ are determined by the following formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_-^2 &= \sum_{k>0} 2^{|k|-1}\frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}\,\Big|\int_H B_k(h)\,f(h)\,dh\Big|^2, \\ \|f\|_+^2 &= \sum_{k>0} 2^{|k|-1}\frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}\,\Big|\int_H \overline{B_k(h)}\,f(h)\,dh\Big|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_n$,

$$B_k(h) \;=\; B_k(\zeta, z) \;=\; \frac{(1+\zeta)^{k_1}}{(1-\zeta)^{|k|}} \cdot z_1^{k_2} \cdots z_{n-1}^{k_n}. \tag{6.22}$$

Remark. Obviously, the conditions $\|f\|_- = 0$ and $\|f\|_+ = 0$ are equivalent, respectively, to the equations

$$\int_H B_k(h)\,f(h)\,dh = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_H \overline{B_k(h)}\,f(h)\,dh = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k > 0. \tag{6.23}$$

Proposition 6.10. *In model B with $\lambda = 0$, the nontrivial 1-cocycles $a^\pm : U(n,1) \to \mathcal{L}_\pm$ associated with the special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ are the projections to $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$, respectively, of the following function $a : U(n,1) \to \mathcal{L}$:*

$$a(\zeta, z; g) = T_g f_0 - f_0, \quad \textit{where} \quad f_0 = |1-\zeta|^{-2n}.$$

Proposition 6.4 implies the following result.

Proposition 6.11. *The following functions $f_k(\zeta, z)$, $k = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $|k| > 0$, form an orthogonal basis in $\mathcal{L}_+$:*

$$f_k(\zeta, z) = (1-\overline{\zeta})^{-n}\,(1-\zeta)^{-n-|k|}\,(1+\zeta)^{k_0}\,z_1^{k_1} \dots z_{n-1}^{n-1}. \tag{6.24}$$

A similar basis in $\mathcal{L}_-$ is obtained by replacing ζ and z_i with their complex conjugates.

6.8. **Model C: realization in a space of functions $\psi(\rho, z)$ on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.** Model C is obtained by passing from functions $f(h) = f(\zeta, z)$, $\zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}$, on H in model B (with $\lambda = 0$) to functions $\psi(\rho, z)$ according to the formula

$$\psi(\rho, z) = |\rho|^{-n+1}\, e^{\frac{|\rho|}{2}|z|^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\,i\rho t} f(t, z)\, dt.$$

Proposition 6.12. *In model C, the subspace L of functions $\psi(\rho, z)$ is determined by the relation*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \psi(0, z)\, d\mu(z) = 0. \tag{6.25}$$

The norm $\|\psi\|$ on L is given by the formula

$$\|\psi\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|\psi\|_\rho^2\, |\rho|^{-1}\, d\rho, \tag{6.26}$$

where

$$\|\psi\|_\rho^2 = |\rho|^{2n-2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{|\rho|(a_\rho(z_1,z_2)-|z_1|^2-|z_2|^2)}\, \psi(\rho, z_1)\, \overline{\psi(\rho, z_2)}\, d\mu(z_1)\, d\mu(z_2), \tag{6.27}$$

$$a_\rho(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} z_2 z_1^* & \text{for } \rho < 0, \\ z_1 z_2^* & \text{for } \rho > 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.28}$$

In another way,

$$\|\psi\|_\rho^2 = \begin{cases} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} \frac{|\rho|^{2n+|k|-2}}{k!} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} z^k\, e^{-|\rho| z z^*}\, \psi(\rho, z)\, d\mu(z) \right|^2 & \text{for } \rho < 0, \\ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} \frac{\rho^{2n+|k|-2}}{k!} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \overline{z}^k\, e^{-|\rho| z z^*}\, \psi(\rho, z)\, d\mu(z) \right|^2 & \text{for } \rho > 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.29}$$

Proof. Relation (6.25) is equivalent to relation (6.17) in the realization on H. To calculate $\|\psi\|$, we will use the equation $\|\psi\|^2 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{d\|\psi\|_\lambda^2}{d\lambda}$, where $\|\psi\|_\lambda$ is the norm in the space of the complementary series representation. Substituting into this equation the explicit expression for $\|\psi\|_\lambda^2$ and taking into account that $Q_\lambda(t) = \mathit{const}$ for $\lambda = 0$, we obtain (6.26) and (6.27). Substituting into (6.27) the power series expansion of the function $e^{a_\rho(z_1,z_2)}$ for $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$, we obtain (6.29). ☐

Corollary. *In model C, the space $\mathcal{L}$ decomposes into a direct integral of subspaces:*

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{L}(\rho)\, |\rho|^{-1}\, d\rho, \tag{6.30}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\rho)$ is the quotient of the Hilbert space of functions ψ on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm (6.27) by the subspace of functions with norm 0.

Theorem 6.6. *In model C, the subspaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ of the special representations coincide with the subspaces of functions $\psi(\rho, z)$ concentrated, with respect to ρ, on the half-lines $\rho > 0$ and $\rho < 0$, respectively.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\varphi(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{L}_+$ if $\varphi(\rho, z) = 0$ for $\rho < 0$, and, similarly, $\varphi(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{L}_-$ if $\varphi(\rho, z) = 0$ for $\rho > 0$.

Let us use condition (6.23), which describes when a function $f(h) = f(t, z)$ in model B belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{L}_+$. Passing from functions $f(t, z)$ to functions $\psi(\rho, z)$, we obtain a condition for $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_+$ in the form

$$I_k(\psi) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{0} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} A_k(\rho, z)\, z^{k'}\, e^{-|\rho|\frac{|z|^2}{2}}\, \psi(-\rho, z)\, |\rho|^{n-1}\, d\rho d\mu(z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |k| > 0, \tag{6.31}$$

where

$$A_k(\rho, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\zeta)^{k_0}}{(1-\zeta)^{|k|}}\, e^{i\rho t}\, dt, \qquad \zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}. \tag{6.32}$$

Let us check that this condition is satisfied if $\psi(\rho, z) = 0$ for $\rho < 0$. Indeed, the function $A_k(\rho, z)$ can be written as a linear combination of the integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\rho t}\, dt}{(1-\zeta)^m} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\rho t}\, dt}{(a - it)^m}, \qquad |k'| \leqslant m \leqslant |k|,$$

where $a = 1 + \frac{|z|^2}{2}$. It is known that for $\rho > 0$ these integrals vanish for every $m > 0$. Hence if $\psi(\rho, z) = 0$ on the half-line $\rho < 0$, then $I_k(\psi) = 0$ for $|k'| > 0$. For $|k'| = 0$, the expansion of $A_k(\rho, z)$ contains the term $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\rho t}\, dt = \delta(\rho)$. In this case, the corresponding term in $I_k(\psi)$ vanishes because of (6.25).

In a similar way one can prove that if $\psi(\rho, z) \equiv 0$ for $\rho > 0$, then $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_-$. $\square$

Corollary. *In model C, the spaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ decompose into direct integrals of subspaces:*

$$\mathcal{L}_+ = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{L}(\rho)\, \rho^{-1}\, d\rho, \qquad \mathcal{L}_- = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathcal{L}(\rho)\, |\rho|^{-1}\, d\rho;$$

the norms in the spaces $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$, respectively, are given by

$$\|\psi\|_+^2 = \int_0^{+\infty} \|\psi\|_\rho^2\, \rho^{-1}\, d\rho, \qquad \|\psi\|_-^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \|\psi\|_\rho^2\, |\rho|^{-1}\, d\rho, \tag{6.33}$$

where $\|\psi\|_\rho$ is given by (6.27) *or* (6.29). *In more detail,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_-^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} z^k\, e^{-|\rho| z z^*}\, \psi(\rho, z)\, d\mu(z) \Big|^2 |\rho|^{2n+2|k|-3}\, d\rho, \\ \|\psi\|_+^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \bar{z}^k\, e^{-\rho z z^*}\, \psi(\rho, z)\, d\mu(z) \Big|^2 \rho^{2n+2|k|-3}\, d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

Formulas for the operators T_g^+ and T_g^- of the representations of $U(n, 1)$ in $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$, respectively, are obtained by passing to the limit as $\lambda \to 0$ from formulas (5.19), (5.20), and (5.21) for the corresponding operators in complementary series representations. Thus we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.7. *In model C, the operators of the representations of $U(n,1)$ in $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ have the following form. In the space $\mathcal{L}_+$:*

$$T^+_{t_0,z_0}\psi(\rho,z) = e^{\rho\,(it_0 - \frac{|z_0|^2}{2} - zz_0^*)}\,\psi(\rho, z+z_0), \qquad (t_0,z_0)\in H, \tag{6.35}$$

$$T^+_{\epsilon,u}\psi(\rho,z) = \psi(|\epsilon|^2\rho,\, \bar{\epsilon}^{-1}zu)\,, \qquad (\epsilon,u)\in D, \tag{6.36}$$

$$T^+_s\psi(\rho,z) = \rho^{-n+1}\int\limits_0^\infty\int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\rho\zeta+\frac{\rho'}{\zeta}}\,|\zeta|^{-2n}\,\rho'^{n-1}\,\psi(\rho',\,\frac{z}{\zeta})\,dt\,d\rho', \qquad \zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}. \tag{6.37}$$

In the space $\mathcal{L}_-$:

$$T^-_{t_0,z_0}\psi(\rho,z) = e^{\rho\,(it_0 + \frac{|z_0|^2}{2} + z_0z^*)}\,\psi(\rho, z+z_0), \qquad (t_0,z_0)\in H, \tag{6.38}$$

$$T^-_{\epsilon,u}\psi(\rho,z) = \psi(|\epsilon|^2\rho,\, \bar{\epsilon}^{-1}zu)\,, \qquad (\epsilon,u)\in D, \tag{6.39}$$

$$T^-_s\psi(\rho,z) = |\rho|^{-n+1}\int\limits_{-\infty}^0\int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\rho\bar{\zeta}+\frac{\rho'}{\bar{\zeta}}}\,|\zeta|^{-2n}\,|\rho'|^{n-1}\psi(\rho',\,\frac{z}{\zeta})\,dt\,d\rho', \quad \zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}. \tag{6.40}$$

6.9. **Relation to the Bargmann models of representations of the Heisenberg group H.** Above, by the Bargmann model we meant the realization of the irreducible representation of the group H with parameter ρ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ of entire analytic (for $\rho > 0$) or antianalytic (for $\rho < 0$) functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = |\rho|^{n-1}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |f(z)|^2\, e^{-|\rho|\,|z|^2}\, d\mu(z).$$

According to Proposition 3.3, for $\rho > 0$ this norm coincides with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \rho^{2n-2}\int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} f(z)\,\overline{f(z')}\,e^{\rho\,(z'z^* - |z|^2 - |z'|^2)}\,d\mu(z)\,d\mu(z');$$

and for $\rho < 0$, it coincides with the same norm in which ρ is replaced by $|\rho|$ and $z'z^*$ in the exponent is replaced by $z(z')^*$.

Also recall that the representation of H in the space $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ can be extended to a unitary representation of the group P_0.

Comparing the description of $\mathcal{L}(\rho)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ and the formulas for the operators of the group P_0 in these spaces, we conclude the following.

Proposition 6.13. *There is a natural isomorphism of Hilbert spaces*

$$\tau\,:\,\mathcal{L}(\rho)\to\mathcal{H}(\rho)$$

commuting with the action of the group P_0. Namely, $\tau\psi\in\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ is determined for $\rho > 0$ by the equations

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \bar{z}^k\, e^{-\rho\, zz^*}\,\psi(z)\,d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \bar{z}^k\, e^{-\rho\, zz^*}\,\tau\psi(z)\,d\mu(z) \quad \textit{for every} \quad k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}. \tag{6.41}$$

In other words,

$$\tau\psi(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} a_k\, z^k, \quad \text{where} \quad a_k = \frac{\rho^{|k|+n-1}}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \bar{z}^k\, e^{-\rho zz^*}\, \psi(z)\, d\mu(z). \tag{6.42}$$

In a similar way we define τ for $\rho < 0$.

6.10. **The Bargmann model of the special representations.** Consider the following direct integrals of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_+ = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}(\rho)\,\rho^{-1}\,d\rho, \quad \mathcal{H}_- = \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{H}(\rho)\,|\rho|^{-1}\,d\rho.$$

In other words, $\mathcal{H}_+$ is the space of functions $f(\rho, z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} f_k(\rho)\, z^k$, where $f_k(\rho)$ are functions on the half-line $\rho > 0$, with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} k! \int_{-\infty}^0 |f_k(\rho)|^2\, |\rho|^{-|k|-1}\, d\rho. \tag{6.43}$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_-$ is defined in a similar way.

The isomorphisms $\tau : \mathcal{L}(\rho) \to \mathcal{H}(\rho)$ induce isomorphisms of Hilbert spaces

$$\tau : \quad \mathcal{L}_+ \to \mathcal{H}_+, \quad \mathcal{L}_- \to \mathcal{H}_-$$

commuting with the action of the group P in these spaces. Namely, for $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_+$ we have

$$\tau\psi(\rho, z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} f_k(\rho)\, z^k, \quad \text{where} \quad f_k(\rho) = \frac{\rho^{|k|+n-1}}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \bar{z}^k\, e^{-\rho zz^*}\, \psi(\rho, z)\, d\mu(z). \tag{6.44}$$

In a similar way we define τ on $\mathcal{L}_-$.

In view of this isomorphism, the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are new models of the special representations of the group $U(n, 1)$. Let us call them the Bargmann models of the special representations.

In the Bargmann model, the action of the operators of the subgroup P is given by the same formulas as in model C. The action of the whole group $U(n, 1)$ is determined by indicating only one operator T_s. Let us study the structure of this operator. For definiteness, we restrict ourselves with the case of $\mathcal{H}_+$.

By definition, the operator T_s on $\mathcal{L}_+$ is given by

$$T_s\psi(\rho, z) = \tau\psi_1(\rho, z),$$

where $\psi_1(\rho, z) \in \mathcal{L}_+$ stands for the right-hand side of (6.37).

Denote by $L_k \subset \mathcal{H}_+$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, the subspace of functions of the form $f(\rho)\, z^k$. Obviously,

$$\mathcal{H}_+ = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} L_k.$$

Proposition 6.14. *The subspaces $L_k \subset \mathcal{H}_\pm$ are invariant under the operator T_s.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in L_k^+$, i.e., $\psi(\rho, z) = f(\rho)\, z^k$. Then, according to the formula (6.37) for the operator T_s^+ in the space $\mathcal{L}_+$, we have $T_s^+\psi(\rho, z) = \tau\, \psi_1(\rho, z)$, where

$$\psi_1(\rho, z) = \rho^{-n+1} \Big(\int\limits_0^\infty \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\rho\zeta + \frac{\rho'}{\zeta}}\, |\zeta|^{-2n}\, \zeta^{-|k|}\, \rho'^{\,n-1}\, f(\rho')\, dt\, d\rho' \Big)\, z^k, \qquad \zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}. \quad (6.45)$$

Obviously, the function ψ_1 can be written in the form $\psi_1(\rho, z) = (\sum_0^\infty f_n(\rho)\, |z|^{2n})\, z^k$. It follows from the definition of τ that $\tau(f_n(\rho)\, |z|^{2n}\, z^k) \in L_k$ for all n. Hence $\psi_1 \in L_k$. ☐

Denote $\rho_+^\alpha = \rho^\alpha$ for $\rho > 0$; $\rho_+^\alpha = 0$ for $\rho < 0$.

Proposition 6.15. *The action of the operator T_s^+ on functions of the form $\rho_+^\alpha\, z^k$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, regarded as distributions on $\mathcal{H}_+$, is given by*

$$T_s^+(\rho_+^\alpha\, z^k) = (-1)^{|k|}\, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha + |k|)}\, \rho_+^{-\alpha+|k|}\, z^k. \quad (6.46)$$

For a proof of (6.46), see Appendix 2.

Proposition 6.16. *The action of the operator T_s on functions $\xi_k(a; \rho, z) = \rho^{|k|}\, e^{-a\,\rho}\, z^k \in L_k$, $\mathrm{Re}(a) > 0$, is given by*

$$T_s \xi_k(a;\, \rho, z) = a^{-|k|}\, \xi_k(a^{-1};\, \rho, z). \quad (6.47)$$

Proof. Let us use the equation

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-a\,\rho}\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma - 1}\, d\rho = \Gamma(\tfrac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma)\, a^{-\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}.$$

By the inversion formula for the Mellin transform,

$$\rho^{|k|}\, e^{-a\,\rho} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a^{-\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, \Gamma(\tfrac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma)\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, d\sigma.$$

According to (6.46), we have

$$T_s(\rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, z^k) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma)}{\Gamma(\frac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma)}\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_s(\rho^{|k|}\, e^{-a\rho}\, z^k) &= \Big(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a^{-\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, \Gamma(\tfrac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma)\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma}\, d\sigma \Big)\, z^k \\ &= a^{-|k|} \Big(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{a}\Big)^{-\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, \Gamma(\tfrac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma)\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, d\sigma \Big)\, z^k \\ &= a^{-|k|}\, \xi_k(a^{-1};\, \rho, z). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. ☐

It is convenient to write the action of the operator T_s in L_k passing from functions $f_k(\rho)\, z^k$ to functions $F_k(\sigma)\, z^k$, where

$$F_k(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty f_k(\rho)\, \rho^{-\frac{|k|}{2} - i\,\sigma - 1}\, d\rho. \quad (6.48)$$

By the inversion formula for the Mellin transform,

$$f_k(\rho) = \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_k(\sigma)\, \rho^{i\,\sigma}\, d\sigma. \tag{6.49}$$

Let us introduce the Hilbert space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_+$ of functions $F(\sigma, z)$ entire in $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\,n-1}$ with the norm

$$\|F\|_+^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\,n-1}} k! \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F_k(\sigma)|^2\, d\sigma \quad \text{for} \quad F(\sigma, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\,n-1}} F_k(\sigma)\, z^k. \tag{6.50}$$

Proposition 6.17. *The map*

$$\psi(\rho, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\,n-1}} f_k(\rho)\, z^k \to F(\lambda, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{\,n-1}} F_k(\lambda)\, z^k,$$

where $f_k(\rho)$ and $F_k(\lambda)$ are related by (6.48) *and* (6.49)*, is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_+ \to \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_+$.*

The assertion follows immediately from the Plancherel formula for the Mellin transform.

Denote by $\widetilde{T}_s^+$ the image of the operator T_s^+ on $\mathcal{H}_+$ under the map $\mathcal{H}_+ \to \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_+$.

Proposition 6.18. *The action of the operator $\widetilde{T}_s^+$ on functions $F_k(\lambda)\, z^k \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_+$ is given by*

$$\widetilde{T}_s^+(F_k(\lambda)\, z^k) = (-1)^{|k|} \frac{\Gamma(-i\lambda + \frac{|k|}{2})}{\Gamma(i\lambda + \frac{|k|}{2})}\, F_k(-\lambda)\, z^k. \tag{6.51}$$

Proof. According to Proposition 6.15, we have

$$T_s^+(\rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma}\, z^k) = (-1)^{|k|} \frac{\Gamma(i\sigma + \frac{|k|}{2})}{\Gamma(-i\lambda + \frac{|k|}{2})}\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, z^k.$$

Hence (6.49) implies

$$\begin{aligned} T_s^+(f_k(\rho)\, z^k) &= (-1)^{|k|} \Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_k(\sigma)\, \frac{\Gamma(i\sigma + \frac{|k|}{2})}{\Gamma(-i\sigma + \frac{|k|}{2})}\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} - i\sigma}\, d\sigma \Big)\, z^k \\ &= (-1)^{|k|} \Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_k(-\sigma)\, \frac{\Gamma(-i\sigma + \frac{|k|}{2})}{\Gamma(i\sigma + \frac{|k|}{2})}\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} + i\sigma}\, d\sigma \Big)\, z^k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$T_s^+(f_k(\rho)\, z^k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{T}_s^+(F_k(\sigma)\, z^k)\, \rho^{\frac{|k|}{2} + i\,\sigma}\, d\sigma.$$

Comparing these equations, we obtain (6.51). □

6.11. **Description of the nontrivial 1-cocycles $a^{\pm} : U(n,1) \to \mathcal{H}_{\pm}$.** The nontrivial 1-cocycle $a : U(n,1) \to \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, is given by the formula

$$a(g) = T_g\xi - \xi,$$

where $\xi = f(\rho, z)$ is invariant under the action of the maximal compact subgroup $U \subset U(n,1)$ and $\xi \notin L$. The 1-cocycles $a^{\pm}(g)$ are the projections of $a(g)$ to the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$.

By definition, $a(u) = 0$ for $u \in U$, whence

$$a(ug) = a(g) \quad \text{for} \quad u \in U.$$

Since an element $g \in U(n,1)$ can be written as the product $g = ub$, where $u \in U$, $b \in P_1$, the 1-cocycle $a(g)$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the subgroup P_1.

Theorem 6.8. *In the Bargmann model, the function ξ in the formula for the 1-cocycle has the form*

$$\xi(\rho, z) = e^{-|\rho|}. \tag{6.52}$$

Proof. We use the fact that in model B the function ξ has the form

$$\varphi(\zeta, z) = |1 - \zeta|^{-2n}, \quad \text{where} \quad \zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}.$$

It follows that in model C it has the form

$$\psi(\rho, z) = |\rho|^{-n+1}\, e^{|\rho|\frac{|z|^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |1 - \zeta|^{-2n}\, e^{-i\rho t}\, dt.$$

Note that ψ is an even function in ρ; thus below we assume that $\rho > 0$. The required vector ξ in the Bargmann model for $\rho > 0$ has the form

$$\xi(\rho, z) = \tau\psi(\rho, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} f_k(\rho)\, z^k,$$

where the functions $f_k(\rho)$ are given by (6.44). In view of this equation, it is clear that $f_k(\rho) = 0$ for $k > 0$. Hence

$$\xi(\rho, z) = f_0(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{\rho\zeta}\, |1 - \zeta|^{-2n}\, d\mu(z)\, dt. \tag{6.53}$$

Let us calculate the integral (6.53). We have

$$\Big(1 - \frac{d}{dx}\Big)^n f_0(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{\rho\zeta}\, (1 - \overline{\zeta})^{-n}\, d\mu(z)\, dt.$$

Obviously,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\rho\zeta}}{(1 - \overline{\zeta})^n}\, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\rho\zeta}}{(1 + |z|^2 + \zeta)^n}\, dt = \operatorname*{Res}_{\zeta = -1 - |z|^2} \Big(\frac{e^{\rho\zeta}}{(1 + |z|^2 + \zeta)^n}\Big)$$

$$= const\, \rho^{n-1}\, e^{-\rho\,(1 + |z|^2)}.$$

Hence

$$\Big(1 - \frac{d}{dx}\Big)^n f_0(\rho) = const\, \rho^{n-1}\, e^{-\rho} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{\rho\, |z|^2}\, d\mu(z) = const\, e^{-\rho}.$$

Since $f_0(\infty) = 0$, it follows that $f_0(\rho) = const\, e^{-\rho}$. □

APPENDICES

§ 7. Appendix 1. Canonical states and canonical representations

Canonical states on groups and the corresponding canonical representations were first introduced for the group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ in [10], and then for the groups $O(n, 1)$ and $U(n, 1)$ in [17]; they were used for constructing irreducible unitary representations of the current groups $O(n, 1)^X$ and $U(n, 1)^X$. These representations are unitary, reducible, and, similarly to complementary series representations, determined by one real parameter $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, $\lambda_0 > 0$.

The following property shows the relation between the canonical representations of the groups $O(n, 1)$ and $U(n, 1)$ and the complementary series representations of these groups: *Each complementary series representation T^λ is contained as a direct summand with multiplicity one in exactly one canonical representation, the one with the same parameter λ.*

There is a simple relation between the canonical representations of the groups $O(n, 1)^X$ and $U(n, 1)^X$ and their special representations. Namely, for $\lambda \to 0$ the norm $\|f\|_\lambda$ in the space H^λ of the canonical representation degenerates on a space $H \subset H^0$ of codimension 1. Therefore, as $\lambda \to 0$, the canonical representations T^λ tend to the identity representation on the quotient space H^0/H. The space H, glued to this one-dimensional subspace, has a positive invariant norm $\|f\|$. In the case of the groups $O(n, 1)$, $n > 2$, this norm is nondegenerate; the completion $\mathcal{H}$ of the space H with respect to this norm contains the space of the special representation as a direct summand. In the case of the groups $U(n, 1)$, this norm degenerates on some infinite-dimensional subspace $H_0 \subset H$; the completion $\mathcal{H}$ of the space H/H_0 with respect to this norm is the direct sum of the two special subspaces.

The role of canonical representations in the representation theory of current groups is that their spherical functions $\Psi_\lambda(g)$ satisfy the infinite divisibility condition:

$$\Psi_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}(g) = \Psi_{\lambda_1}(g)\, \Psi_{\lambda_2}(g).$$

In Secs. 1–3 of this Appendix we give a general definition of a canonical state and the associated family of canonical representations T^λ for an arbitrary locally compact group G and discuss their basic properties. The subsequent sections are devoted to the canonical representations of the groups $O(n, 1)$ and $U(n, 1)$.

For the classical simple Lie groups, other approaches to the notion of canonical representation, various generalizations of this notion, and the related harmonic analysis are presented in a series of papers. See, e.g., [46, 48] and the bibliography in [48].

7.1. **General definition of canonical states and canonical representations.** Let G be a locally compact, noncompact topological group, let K be a subgroup of G, and consider the homogeneous space $X = K\backslash G$ of the group G, on which G acts by right shifts: $x \to xg$. We assume that on X there exists a G-invariant measure $d\mu(x)$.

Denote by $L(X)$ the subspace of compactly supported continuous functions on X. We will define elements from $L(X)$ by their liftings to the group G, i.e., as functions $f(g)$ satisfying the relation $f(kg) = f(g)$ for $k \in K$, $g \in G$.

Definition 1. We say that a continuous positive function $\varphi(g)$ on G is positive definite on X if

1) $\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)$,
2) $\varphi(k_1 g k_2) = \varphi(g)$ for $k_1, k_2 \in K$,
3) for every nonzero function $f \in L(X)$,

$$\|f\|^2 \sim \int_{X\times X} \varphi(g_1 g_2^{-1})\, f(g_1)\, \overline{f(g_2)}\, d\mu(x_1)\, d\mu(x_2) > 0. \tag{7.1}$$

The expression in the right-hand side of (7.1) is well defined, because the integrand function can be projected from $G \times G$ to $X \times X$.

Remark. By property 2), the function $\varphi(g)$ is projected to X by the map $G \to K\backslash G$.

Definition 2. A canonical state on the group G with respect to the subgroup K is a family of positive continuous functions $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g) = (\Psi(g))^{-\lambda}$ on G depending on a real positive parameter λ (degree) and satisfying the conditions

1) $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)$ is positive definite on X in the sense of Definition 1 for small values of $\lambda > 0$,
2) for the function $\left.\frac{d\,\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)}{d\lambda}\right|_{\lambda=0} = -\log\Psi(g)$, the following integral diverges:

$$-\int_X \log\Psi(g)\, d\mu(x). \tag{7.2}$$

Proposition 7.1. *Let $B(x_1, x_2)$ be a continuous positive function on $X \times X$ satisfying the following conditions* 1)–4)*:*

1) *symmetry:* $B(x_1, x_2) = B(x_2, x_1)$,
2) *G-invariance:*

$$B(x_1 g, x_2 g) = B(x_1, x_2) \quad \textit{for every} \quad g \in G,$$

3) *for every nonzero function $f \in L(X)$, for small $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\int_{X\times X} B^{-\lambda}(x_1, x_2)\, f(x_1)\, \overline{f(x_2)}\, d\mu(x_1)\, d\mu(x_2) > 0, \tag{7.3}$$

4) *the following integral diverges:*

$$-\int_X \log B(x_1, x_2)\, d\mu(x_2)$$

(this integral does not depend on x_1 in view of condition 2)*).*

Then $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g) = B^{-\lambda}(x_0 g, x_0)$, where $x_0 \in X$ is a fixed point of the subgroup K, is a canonical state.

Remark. In the case when G is the group $O(n,1)$ or $U(n,1)$ and K is its maximal compact subgroup, there is a simple relation between the kernel $B^{-\lambda}(x_1, x_2)$ and the Berezin kernel, see Section 4 below.

Definition 3. The family of Hilbert spaces associated with a canonical state $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)$ is the family of Hilbert spaces H^{λ} of functions on X with the norms

$$\|f\|_\lambda^2 = \int_{X\times X} \Psi^{-\lambda}(g_1 g_2^{-1})\, f(g_1)\, \overline{f(g_2)}\, d\mu(x_1)\, d\mu(x_2), \tag{7.4}$$

or, in terms of the kernels $B^{-\lambda}(x_1, x_2)$,

$$\|f\|_\lambda^2 = \int_{X\times X} B^{-\lambda}(x_1, x_2)\, f(x_1)\, \overline{f(x_2)}\, d\mu(x_1)\, d\mu(x_2). \tag{7.5}$$

Definition 4. The representations of the group G associated with a canonical state $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)$, or simply the canonical representations, are the unitary representations of G in the spaces H^λ by operators of the form

$$(T_g f)(x) = f(xg).$$

Remark. If we do not require that $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)$ is positive definite for all λ, then this representation can be nonunitary.

7.2. **Spherical functions.** Note that the norm (7.4) is defined for any compactly supported distribution on X, and elements of the space H^λ can be interpreted as such distributions. In particular, the delta function $\delta_{x_0}(x)$ at an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$, defined by the formula

$$\int_X \delta_{x_0}(x)\, f(x_0)\, d\mu(x) = f(x_0),$$

is an element of H^λ for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

Proposition 7.2. *The functions $\delta_{x_0}(x) \in H^\lambda$ have the following properties:*

1) *any finite number of them are linearly independent, and they form an overdefined system in H^λ,*
2) $\|\delta_{x_0}(x)\|_\lambda = 1$,
3) *for every x_0, the vector $\delta_{x_0}(x)$ is cyclic in H^λ,*
4) *the vector $\delta_{x_0}(x)$ is invariant under the stationary subgroup of the point x_0.*

Definition. Let us fix the special cyclic vector $\xi_\lambda = \delta_{x_0}(x)$, where $x_0 \in X$ is a point with stationary subgroup K, and define the spherical function $\psi_\lambda(g)$ on G associated with the space H^λ by the formula

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = \langle T_g \xi_\lambda,\, \xi_\lambda \rangle_\lambda\, .$$

Proposition 7.3. *The spherical function can be expressed in terms of the canonical state by the formula*

$$\psi_\lambda(g) = \Psi^{-\lambda}(g);$$

thus it is an infinitely divisible function, i.e.,

$$\psi_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}(g) = \psi_{\lambda_1}(g)\, \psi_{\lambda_2}(g).$$

Indeed, setting $x_1 = x_0 g_1$, $x_2 = x_0 g_2$, we have

$$\langle T_g \xi_\lambda,\, \xi_\lambda \rangle_\lambda = \int_{X\times X} \Psi^{-\lambda} g_1 g_2)\, \delta_{x_0}(x_1 g)\, \delta_{x_0}(x_2)\, d\mu(x_1)\, d\mu(x_2)$$

$$= \int_X \Psi^{-\lambda}(g_1)\, \delta_{x_0}(x_1 g)\, d\mu(x_1) = \int_X \Psi^{-\lambda}(g_1 g^{-1})\, \delta_{x_0}(x_1)\, d\mu(x_1) = \Psi^{-\lambda}(g^{-1}).$$

The infinite divisibility of the spherical function implies the following proposition.

Proposition 7.4. *For* $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n$ *there exists an isometric embedding*

$$H^{\lambda} \to H^{\lambda_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes H^{\lambda_n}$$

commuting with the action of the group G. *Namely, the map*

$$\xi_{\lambda} \to \xi_{\lambda_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \xi_{\lambda_n}$$

can be extended to a required morphism.

Indeed, the assertion follows from the equation

$$\langle T_g^{\lambda} \xi_{\lambda}, \xi_{\lambda} \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle T_g^{\lambda_i} \xi_{\lambda_i}, \xi_{\lambda_i} \rangle,$$

valid for every $g \in G$ with $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n$.

7.3. **The special representations of the group G associated with the canonical state $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)$.** As $\lambda \to 0$, the canonical state tends to one, hence the norm $\|f\|_0^2 = \lim_{l \to 0} \|f\|_{\lambda}^2$ can be written as $\|f\|_0^2 = |\int_X f(x)\, d\mu(x)|^2$; the operators of the representation are still given by the formula

$$(T_g f)(x) = f(xg).$$

Let us study the structure of the subspace on which this norm degenerates, i.e, the invariant subspace H of functions satisfying the condition

$$\int_X f(x)\, d\mu(x) = 0.$$

In the space H there is a pre-norm invariant under the operators of the group G:

$$\|f\|^2 = -\int_{X \times X} \log \Psi(g_1 g_2^{-1})\, f(g_1)\, \overline{f(g_2)}\, d\mu(x_1)\, d\mu(x_2).$$

Let $H_0 \subset H$ be the subspace on which this pre-norm degenerates. Then we can define a unitary representation T_g of G in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ obtained by completing the quotient H/H_0 with respect to the norm $\|f\|$.

Proposition 7.5. *The representation* T_g *of the group* G *in the space* $\mathcal{H}$ *has a nontrivial* 1-*cocycle* $a : G \to \mathcal{H}$, *namely,*

$$a(g) = T_g \xi - \xi, \quad \text{where} \quad \xi = \delta_{x_0}(x) \in H \setminus H_0.$$

Proof. First of all, note that $\xi \notin H$ and $a(g) \in H$, i.e., $a(g)$ is a 1-cocycle $G \to \mathcal{H}$. Assume that this cocycle is trivial, i.e., $T_g \xi - \xi = T_g \xi_0 - \xi_0$ for some $\xi_0 \in H$. Then the vector $\xi_1 = \xi - \xi_0$ is G-invariant; hence $\xi_1 = const.$ On the other hand, the integral

$$\int_X \log \Psi(g)\, f(g)\, d\mu(x)$$

converges for $f = \xi_1$, since it converges for $f = \xi$ and for $f = \xi_0$. Hence, since $\xi_1 \neq 0$, the integral

$$\int_X \log \Psi(g)\, d\mu(x)$$

also converges, which contradicts (7.2). □

Corollary. *The space* $\mathcal{H}$ *contains the special subspaces as direct summands.*

Proposition 7.6. *The norm of the nontrivial* 1*-cocycle* $a : G \to \mathcal{H}$ *can be expressed in terms of the canonical state by the formula* $\|a(g)\|^2 = 2\log\Psi(g)$.

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|a(g)\|^2 &= \langle T_g\xi - \xi, T_g\xi - \xi\rangle = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} \langle T_g^\lambda \xi_\lambda - \xi_\lambda, T_g^\lambda\xi_\lambda - \xi_\lambda\rangle_\lambda \\ &= 2\frac{d}{d\lambda}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} (1 - \langle T_g^\lambda \xi_\lambda, \xi_\lambda\rangle)_\lambda = -2\frac{d\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)}{d\lambda}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = 2\log\Psi(g).\end{aligned}$$

□

7.4. **Canonical representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$.** Let us realize $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ as the groups of linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ preserving, respectively, the bilinear form $x_1x_1' + \ldots + x_nx_n' - x_{n+1}x_{n+1}'$ and the Hermitian form $x_1\overline{x_1'} + \ldots + x_n\overline{x_n'} - x_{n+1}\overline{x_{n+1}'}$. In this model, elements of the groups are block matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}$, where α and δ are square matrices of orders n and 1, and the subgroup K of block-diagonal matrices $k = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \delta\end{pmatrix}$, where $\alpha \in U(n)$ and $\delta \in U(1)$, is their maximal compact subgroup.

Consider the homogeneous space $K\backslash U(n,1)$. In the case of $O(n,1)$, it is realized as the open unit ball $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and in the case of $U(n,1)$, as the open unitary unit ball $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e.,

$$D : \quad |z|^2 \equiv |z_1|^2 + \ldots + |z_n|^2 < 1,$$

where $z_i \in \mathbb{R}$ in the case of $O(n,1)$ and $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$ in the case of $U(n,1)$.

In both cases the action of the group is given by the formula

$$z \to zg = (z\,\beta + \delta)^{-1}\,(z\,\alpha + \gamma) \quad \text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 7.7. *The functions*

$$B(z,z') = \frac{|1 - zz'^*|}{(1 - zz^*)^{1/2}\,(1 - z'z'^*)^{1/2}} \tag{7.6}$$

on the real and complex unitary ball, respectively, satisfy the conditions of Proposition 7.1.

Corollary. *The function*

$$\Psi^{-\lambda}(g) = B^{-\lambda}(z_0 g, z_0), \quad \textit{where} \quad z_0 = 0,$$

is a canonical state.

The explicit expression for $B(z,z')$ implies the following proposition.

Proposition 7.8. *The canonical state is given by the formula*

$$\Psi^{-\lambda}(g) = |\delta|^{-\lambda} \quad \textit{for } g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary. *In matrix model b of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$, the canonical states are given by the formula*

$$\Psi^{-\lambda}(g) = \left|\frac{g_{11}+g_{33}-g_{13}-g_{31}}{2}\right|^{-\lambda}. \tag{7.7}$$

In particular,

$$\Psi^{-\lambda}(\gamma) = \left(1+\frac{|\gamma|^2}{4}\right)^{-\lambda} \quad \textit{and} \quad \Psi^{-\lambda}(t,\gamma) = \left(\frac{t^2}{4}+\left(1+\frac{|\gamma|^2}{4}\right)^2\right)^{-\lambda/2}$$

for elements $\gamma \in Z$ and $(t,\gamma) \in H$ of the corresponding unipotent subgroups.

The canonical representations of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ associated with this canonical state act in the Hilbert spaces H^λ of functions on the real unit ball $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and the complex unit unitary ball $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, respectively, with the norms

$$\|f\|_\lambda^2 = \int_{D\times D} \left|\frac{1-zz'^*}{(1-zz^*)^{1/2}\,(1-z'z'^*)^{1/2}}\right|^{-\lambda} f(z_1)\overline{f(z_2)}\,d\mu(z_1)\,d\mu(z_1). \tag{7.8}$$

Here $d\mu(z)$ is the invariant measure on D defined by

$$d\mu(z) = (1-zz^*)^{-k(n+1)}\,dz,$$

where dz is the standard Lebesgue measure, $k=\frac{1}{2}$ in the case of $O(n,1)$, and $k=1$ in the case of $U(n,1)$. The operators of these representations have the form

$$(T_g f)(z) = f(zg).$$

Passing from functions $f(z)$ to the functions $\varphi(z) = |1-zz^*|^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}-k(n+1)}\,f(z)$, we can realize these representations in the Hilbert spaces with the norms

$$\|\varphi\|_\lambda^2 = \int_{D\times D} |1-zz'^*|^{-\lambda}\,\varphi(z_1)\overline{\varphi(z_2)}\,dz_1\,dz_2. \tag{7.9}$$

In the new realization, the operators of the representation are given by

$$(T_g^\lambda \varphi)(z) - \varphi(zg)\,|z\beta+\delta|^{\lambda-2k(n+1)} \quad \text{for} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark. The definition of canonical states and canonical representations can be extended to all groups $O(p,q)$, $U(p,q)$, $p \geqslant q \geqslant 1$. Elements of these groups are written as block matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}$, where α and δ are square matrices of orders p and q. The analogs of the unit balls are the unit matrix balls, that is, the manifolds of real and complex $q\times p$ matrices z, respectively, satisfying the condition $zz^* < e_q$, where e_q is the unit q-matrix.

In the general case, the canonical state $\Psi^{-\lambda}(g)$ and the kernel $B(z,z')$ are given by the formulas

$$\Psi^{-\lambda}(g) = |\det\delta|^{-\lambda} \quad \text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix},$$

$$B(z,z') = \frac{|\det(e_q - zz'^*)|}{\det^{1/2}(e_q - zz^*)\,\det^{1/2}(e_q - z'z'^*)}.$$

The case $q>1$ differs from the one considered here in that the canonical state is positive definite only for $\lambda > q-1$.

Note that the functions $\det^{\lambda}(e_q - zz'^*)$ are the Berezin kernels. In the case of $U(n,1)$, instead of the Berezin kernels we consider their absolute values.

7.5. **Relation to the complementary series representations.** For each of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ there exists an isometric embedding

$$L^{\lambda} \to H^{\lambda}$$

commuting with the action of the group, where L^{λ} is the space of an arbitrary complementary series representation and H^{λ} is the space of the canonical representation with the same parameter λ. Let us describe this embedding explicitly.

We will use the realization of complementary series representations in the space of functions $f(\omega)$ on the unit sphere $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in the case of $O(n,1)$ and on the unitary unit sphere $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ in the case of $U(n,1)$.

Proposition 7.9. *If H^{λ} is realized as the space with the norm* (7.9), *then for each of the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$ the map*

$$\tau : f(\omega) \to \varphi(z) = \delta(1-|z|^2)\, f(\tfrac{z}{|z|})$$

(where $\delta(t)$ is the delta function on $\mathbb{R}$) is an isometric embedding of L^{λ} into H^{λ} commuting with the action of the corresponding group.

Proof. For definiteness, consider the case of the group $U(n,1)$. The complementary series representation T^{λ} of this group acts in the Hilbert space L^{λ} of functions on the unitary sphere $S = S^{2n-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{S\times S} |1-\omega\omega'^*|^{-\lambda}\, f(\omega)\, \overline{f(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega',$$

where $d\omega$ is the invariant measure on S. The operators of this representation have the form

$$T_g^{\lambda} f(\omega) = f(\omega g)\, |\omega\beta + \delta|^{\lambda-2n}.$$

Let us prove that τ commutes with the action of $U(n,1)$. We have

$$(T_g \tau f)(z) = \delta(1-|zg|^2)\, f(\tfrac{zg}{|zg|})\, |z\,\beta + \delta|^{\lambda-2(n+1)}.$$

Since $\delta(1-|zg|^2) = \delta(1-|z|^2)\,|z\beta+\delta|^2$, it follows that

$$(T_g \tau f)(z) = \delta(1-|z|^2)\, f(\tfrac{zg}{|zg|})\, |z\,\beta + \delta|^{\lambda-2n} = (\tau T_g f)(z).$$

Let us prove that the embedding $L^{\lambda} \to H^{\lambda}$ is isometric. Let $\varphi(z) = (\tau f)(z) = \delta(1-|z|^2)\, f(\frac{z}{|z|})$. Passing to the spherical coordinates on $D = K\backslash U(n,1)$, i.e., the coordinates $z = r\omega$, $r > 0$, $\omega \in S$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_g\varphi\|_{\lambda}^2 &= \int |1-rr'\omega\omega'^*|^{-\lambda}\, \delta(1-r^2)\, \delta(1-r'^2)\, (rr')^{2n-1}\, f(\omega)\, \overline{f(\omega')}\, dr\, dr'\, d\omega\, d\omega' \\ &= \int_{S\times S} |1-\omega\omega'^*|^{-\lambda}\, f(\omega)\, \overline{f(\omega')}\, d\omega\, d\omega' = \|f\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. $\square$

7.6. **The structure of the limiting space H^0; the 1-cocycles and special representations associated with H^0.** According to general definitions, the space H^0, which is the $\lambda \to 0$ limit of the spaces H^λ of the canonical representations, contains an invariant subspace $H \subset H^0$ of codimension 1, namely, the space of functions satisfying the relation

$$\int_D f(z)\, d\mu(z) = 0;$$

the quotient representation in H^0/H is the identity representation. Thus, as $\lambda \to 0$, the representations T^λ in the spaces H^λ tend to the identity representation in the quotient space H^0/H.

Let us study the structure of the subspace H glued to H^0/H. On this subspace there is a seminorm $\|f\|$ invariant under the operators $(T_g f)(z) = f(zg)$:

$$\|f\|^2 = -\int_{D\times D} \log B(z,z')\, f(z)\, \overline{f(z')}\, d\mu(z)\, d\mu(z').$$

The condition $f \in H$ implies that this seminorm can be written in the form

$$\|f\|^2 = -\int_{D\times D} \log|1 - zz'^*|\, f(z)\, \overline{f(z')}\, d\mu(z)\, d\mu(z'). \tag{7.10}$$

Passing from functions $f(z)$ to the functions $\varphi(z) = |1 - zz^*|^{-k(n+1)}$, where $k = 1/2$ and $k = 1$ for the groups $O(n,1)$ and $U(n,1)$, respectively, we obtain the following expression for the norm:

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = -\int_{D\times D} \log|1 - zz'^*|\, \varphi(z)\, \overline{\varphi(z')}\, dz\, dz', \tag{7.11}$$

where dz is the Lebesgue measure on D. In the new realization, the operators of the representation are given by the formula

$$(T_g\varphi)(z) = \varphi(zg)\, |z\beta + \delta|^{-2k(n+1)} \qquad \text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case of $O(n,1)$, the norm (7.11) is nondegenerate; in the case of $U(n,1)$, it degenerates on some infinite-dimensional subspace H_0. Consider these cases separately.

7.6.1. *The case of the group $O(n,1)$.* Expanding $\log|1 - zz'^*| = \log(1 - zz'^*)$ into a power series, we obtain the following expression for the norm $\|\varphi\|$:

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = \sum_{|k|>0} \frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!} \left| \int z^k\, \varphi(z)\, dz \right|^2,$$

where, as usual, $k! = \prod k_i!$, $|k| = \sum k_i$, and $z^k = \prod z_i^{k_i}$. It follows that this norm is strictly positive. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert space obtained by completing H with respect to the norm $\|\varphi\|$.

Proposition 7.10. *The space $\mathcal{H}$ contains the space $\mathcal{L}$ of the special representation of $O(n,1)$ as a simple summand. If $\mathcal{L}$ is realized as the space of functions $f(\omega)$ on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then the embedding $\tau : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{H}$ has the form*

$$\tau : \quad f(\omega) \to \varphi(z) = \delta(1 - zz^*)\, f(\frac{z}{|z|}),$$

where $\delta(t)$ *is the delta function on* $\mathbb{R}$ *.*

The proof is the same as in Proposition 7.9.

Proposition 7.11. *For every vector* $\xi \in H^0 \backslash H$*, the function* $a(g) = T_g\xi - \xi$ *is a nontrivial* 1*-cocycle* $G \to \mathcal{H}$*. If* $\xi = \delta(1-|z|^2)$*, then* $a(g) \in \mathcal{L}$*, i.e., it is a* 1*-cocycle* $G \to \mathcal{L}$*.*

Corollary. *The subspace* $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{L}$ *does not contain nontrivial* 1*-cocycles. (It decomposes into a direct integral of principal series representations.)*

The structure of the special representation of the group $O(n,1)$ was considered in § 2 of this paper.

7.6.2. *The case of the group* $U(n,1)$*.* We have

$$\log|1-zz'^*| = \frac{1}{2}\log(1-zz'^*) + \frac{1}{2}\log(1-z'z^*).$$

Expanding each term of this sum into a power series, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 7.12. *The norm* $\|\varphi\|$ *on* H *can be written as the sum*

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\,\|\varphi\|_+^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\|\varphi\|_-^2,$$

where

$$\|\varphi\|_+^2 = \sum_{k>0} \frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}\left|\int \overline{z}^k\,\varphi(z)\,dz\right|^2, \qquad \|\varphi\|_-^2 \sum_{k>0} \frac{(|k|-1)!}{k!}\left|\int z^k\,\varphi(z)\,dz\right|^2.$$

These norms, as well as the original norm $\|\varphi\|$*, are invariant under the action of* $U(n,1)$*.*

It follows from the explicit expression for $\|\varphi\|$ that this norm is positive; however, in contrast to the case of the group $O(n,1)$, it degenerates on some infinite-dimensional subspace H_0. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the completion of the quotient space H/H_0 with respect to this norm.

The invariance of the norms $\|\varphi\|_\pm$ implies the following proposition.

Proposition 7.13. *The space* $\mathcal{H}$ *is the direct sum of two invariant subspaces:*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_+ = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|\varphi\|_- = 0\}$*,* $\mathcal{H}_- = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|\varphi\|_+ = 0\}$*.*

The representations of the group $U(n,1)$ in these subspaces are irreducible, and the projections of every nontrivial 1-cocycle $U(n,1) \to H$ to these subspaces do not vanish. Thus the representations of $U(n,1)$ in the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are special.

The structure of these two special representations of the group $U(n,1)$ was considered in § 6 of the paper.

§ 8. Appendix 2. Derivation of some formulas

8.1. **The Fourier transform of the functions** $(a^2+|\gamma|^2)^{-\lambda/2}$ **and** $|\gamma|^{-\lambda}$ **in** $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$**, where** $|\gamma| = (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\gamma_i^2)^{1/2}$**,** is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (a^2+|\gamma|^2)^{-\lambda/2}\,e^{i\langle\xi,\gamma\rangle}\,d\gamma = c_n \frac{a^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}}{2^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}\,\Gamma(\lambda/2)}\,|\xi|^{\frac{\lambda-n+1}{2}}\,K_{\frac{n-1+\lambda}{2}}(a|\xi|), \tag{8.1}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\gamma|^{-\lambda} e^{i\langle\xi,\gamma\rangle}\, d\gamma = c_n \frac{2^{-\lambda}\,\Gamma((n-1-\lambda)/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)} \cdot |\xi|^{\lambda-n+1}, \tag{8.2}$$

where the coefficient c_n, the same in (8.1) and (8.2), depends only on n.

The Bessel function K_ρ is given by the formula (see [51, Vol. 2])

$$K_\rho(2z) = \frac{\pi}{2\sin(\pi\rho)}\,(I_{-\rho}(2z) - I_\rho(2z)), \tag{8.3}$$

where

$$I_\rho(2z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2m+\rho}}{m!\,\Gamma(m+\rho+1)}. \tag{8.4}$$

Remark. For integer values of ρ, the series for K_ρ contains terms with $\log z$; for half-integer values of ρ, the expression for K_ρ can be reduced to a simpler form:

$$K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(z) = (\frac{\pi}{2z})^{1/2}\, e^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n+k)!}{k!(n-k)!(2z)^k}.$$

Let us derive formulas (8.1) and (8.2) for $n > 2$. Passing to spherical coordinates, we can bring the first integral to the form

$$J_1 = c \int_0^\infty \int_0^\varphi (a^2 + r^2)^{-\lambda/2}\, e^{i|\xi|\cos\varphi}\, r^{n-2}\, \sin^{n-3}\varphi\, d\varphi\, dr.$$

Integrating with respect to φ, we obtain (see [53, formula 3.915.5])

$$J_1 = c\,|\xi|^{-\frac{n-3}{2}} \int_0^\infty (a^2 + r^2)^{-\lambda/2}\, r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\, J_{\frac{n-3}{2}}(|\xi| r)\, dr,$$

where $J_\rho(\gamma)$ is a Bessel function of the first kind (see [51, Vol. 2]). Analogously, for the integral (8.2) we obtain the expression

$$J_2 = c\,|\xi|^{-\frac{n-3}{2}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\lambda}\, J_{\frac{n-3}{2}}(|\xi| r)\, dr.$$

Integrating with respect to r, we obtain for J_1 and J_2, respectively, formulas (8.1) and (8.2), see [53, formulas 6.565.4 and 6.561.14].

Formula (8.1) immediately implies its complex analog:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} (a^2 + |\gamma|^2)^{-\lambda/2}\, e^{i\langle\xi,\gamma\rangle}\, d\gamma = c_n \frac{2^{-\lambda/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)}\, |\xi|^{(\lambda-2n+2)/2}\, K_{(2n-2+\lambda)/2}(a|\xi|).$$

8.2. **Formulas for integrals over the unitary unit sphere $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.** The following formula holds:

$$I(\alpha) \equiv \int_S \prod_{i=1}^{n} |\omega_i|^{\alpha_i}\, d\omega = 3\pi^n \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{\alpha_i}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\sum \alpha_i + n)}. \tag{8.5}$$

In particular,

$$\int_S |\omega^k|^2\, d\omega = \frac{2\,\pi^n\, k!}{(|k|+n-1)!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \tag{8.6}$$

where $\omega^k = \prod \omega_i^{k_i}$, $k! = \prod k_i!$, $|k| = \sum k_i$.

Proof. Consider the following integral over the interior of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$I = \int_{|z|\leqslant 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} |z_i|^{\alpha_i}\, d\mu(z),$$

where $d\mu(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Passing to the spherical coordinates $z = r\,\omega$, $r > 0$, $\omega \in S$, we obtain

$$I = \int_0^1 r^{\sum \alpha_i + 2n-1}\, dr\, I(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(\frac{1}{2}\sum \alpha_i + n)}\, I(\alpha).$$

On the other hand, passing to the polar coordinates $z_j = r_j e^{i\varphi_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I = (2\pi)^n \int_{|r|\leqslant 1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} r_j^{\alpha_j+1}\, dr_j &= \pi^n \int_{\sum s_j \leqslant 1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} s_j^{\alpha_i/2}\, ds_j \\ &= \pi^n\, \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha_i}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\sum \alpha_i + n + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these expressions for I, we obtain (8.5). □

8.3. **The multidimensional analog of the Dougall formula.** For every $n > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|l|=0} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(l_i + a_i + 1)\Gamma(-l_i + b_i + 1) \Big)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sum (a_i + b_i) + 1)}{\Gamma(\sum a_i + 1)\,\Gamma(\sum b_i + 1)\, \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(a_i + b_i + 1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

where $|l| = l_1 + \ldots + l_n$, $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, or, in a nonsymmetric form,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \Big(\Gamma(-|l'| + a_n + 1)\, \Gamma(|'l| + b_n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(l_i + a_i + 1)\Gamma(-l_i + b_i + 1) \Big)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sum (a_i + b_i) + 1)}{\Gamma(\sum a_i + 1)\,\Gamma(\sum b_i + 1)\, \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(a_i + b_i + 1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

where $|l'| = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l_i$.

Proof. For $n = 2$, formula (8.8) coincides with the classical Dougall formula, see [51]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\Gamma(l + \alpha_1 + 1)\, \Gamma(l + \alpha_2 + 1)\, \Gamma(-l + \beta_1 + 1)\, \Gamma(-l + \beta_2 + 1) \Big)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1)\, \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \beta_2 + 1)\, \Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_1 + 1)\, \Gamma(\alpha_2 + \beta_3 + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove (8.8) for every $n > 2$ by induction on n. By the Dougall formula,

$$\sum_{l_{n-1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\Gamma(-|l'|+a_n+1)\,\Gamma(|l'|+b_n+1)\,\Gamma(l_{n-1}+a_{n-1}+1)\,\Gamma(-l_{n-1}+b_{n-1}+1)\Big)^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}+a_n+b_n+1)}{\Gamma(a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}+1)\,\Gamma(a_n+b_n+1)\,\Gamma(-|l''|+a_{n-1}+a_n+1)\,\Gamma(|l''|+b_{n-1}+b_n+1)},$$

where $l''=l_1+\ldots+l_{n-2}$. Substituting this equation into (8.8) yields

$$I_n = \frac{\Gamma(a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}+a_n+b_n+1)}{\Gamma(a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}+1)\,\Gamma(a_n+b_n+1)}\,I_{n-1},$$

where

$$I_{n-1} = \sum{}' \Big(\Gamma(-|l''|+a_{n-1}+a_n+1)\,\Gamma(|l''|+b_{n-1}+b_n+1)\,\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}\Gamma(l_i+a_i+1)\Gamma(-l_i+b_i+1)\Big)^{-1};$$

the prime means that the sum ranges only over $l_1,\ldots,l_{n-2}$. By the induction hypothesis,

$$I_{n-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum(a_i+b_i)+1)}{\Gamma(a_{n-1}+b_{n-1}+a_n+b_n+1)\Gamma(\sum a_i+1)\Gamma(\sum b_i+1)\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}\Gamma(a_i+b_i+1)}.$$

This implies (8.8). □

Remark. The following generalization of (8.7) is obvious:

$$\sum_{|l|=m}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\Gamma(l_i+a_i+1)\,\Gamma(-l_i+b_i+1)\Big)^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\sum(a_i+b_i)+1)}{\Gamma(\sum a_i+m+1)\,\Gamma(\sum b_i-m+1)\,\prod_{i=1}^{n}\Gamma(a_i+b_i+1)} \tag{8.9}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

8.4. **Calculation of the norm of the vector f_p.** The norm of the vector f_p in the space $L^\lambda(\rho)$ equals

$$\|\, f_p \,\|_L^2 = const\,\rho^{-|p|}\,p!\,\frac{\Gamma(n-\lambda)\,\Gamma(|p|+\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(|p|+n-\frac{\lambda}{2})\,\Gamma^2(\frac{\lambda}{2})}. \tag{8.10}$$

Proof. According to the original definition,

$$\|f_p\|^2 = c(\lambda)\,\rho^{2n-2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \overline{u}^p v^p\, Q(\rho|u-v|^2)\, e^{\rho(vu^*-uu^*-vv^*)}\, d\mu(u)\, d\mu(v),$$

where

$$Q(t) = t^{-\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}\, e^{t/2}\, K_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(t/2).$$

8.4.1. First let us reduce this expression for the norm to

$$\|f_p\|^2 = c(\lambda)\,\rho^{-|p|}\,(p\,!)^2 \sum_{l\leqslant p} \frac{(-1)^{|l|}}{l!\,(m-l)!\,(|l|+n-2)!} \int_0^\infty s^{|l|+n-2}\,Q(s)\,e^{-s}\,ds, \qquad (8.11)$$

where $c(\lambda) = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)}$.

For this, make the change of variables $u = \rho^{-1/2}\,u'$, $v = \rho^{-1/2}\,v'$, $u' = z + v'$ and use the identity

$$\rho^{-1/2}\,(vu^* - uu^* - vv^*) = -zv'^* - v'v'^* - zz^*.$$

In the new variables z and v', $v' \to v$, we obtain

$$\|f_p\|^2 = c(\lambda)\,\rho^{-|p|} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} (\overline{z}+\overline{v})^p v^p\,Q(|z|^2)\,e^{-(zv^*+vv^*+zz^*)}\,d\mu(z)\,d\mu(v)$$

$$= c(\lambda)\,\rho^{-|p|} \sum_{l\leqslant p} \frac{p\,!}{l!(p-l)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \overline{z}^l\overline{v}^{p-l} v^p\,Q(|z|^2)\,e^{-(zv^*+vv^*+zz^*)}\,d\mu(z)\,d\mu(v).$$

Let us substitute into this formula the power expansion $e^{-zv^*} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}} \frac{(-1)^{|k|}}{k!}\,z^k\overline{v}^k$. Throwing off the terms that integrate to 0, we obtain

$$\|f_p\|^2 = c(\lambda)\,\rho^{-|p|}\,p\,! \sum_{l\leqslant p} \frac{(-1)^{|l|}}{(l!)^2(m-l)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} \overline{z}^l z^l \overline{v}^p v^p\,Q(|z|^2)\,e^{-(vv^*+zz^*)}\,d\mu(z)\,d\mu(v)$$

$$= c(\lambda)\,\rho^{-|p|}\,p\,! \Big(\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} v^p\overline{v}^p\,e^{-vv^*}\,d\mu(v)\Big) \sum_{l\leqslant p} \frac{(-1)^{|l|}}{(l!)^2\,(m-l)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} z^l\overline{z}^l\,Q(|z|^2)\,e^{-|z|^2}\,d\mu(z).$$

The first integral in the obtained equation equals $p\,!$. The second one can be reduced to the form

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} s^l\,Q(\textstyle\sum s_i)\,e^{-\sum s_i} \displaystyle\prod ds_i$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} (s - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} s_i)^{l_1}\,(\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} s_i)\,Q(s)\,e^{-s}\,ds \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} ds_i$$

$$\frac{l!}{(|l|+n-2)!} \int_0^\infty s^{|l|+n-2}\,Q(s)\,e^{-s}\,ds.$$

Thus

$$\|f_p\|^2 = c(\lambda)\,\rho^{-|p|}\,(p\,!)^2 \sum_{l\leqslant p} \frac{(-1)^{|l|}}{l!\,(m-l)!\,(|l|+n-2)!} \int_0^\infty s^{|l|+n-2}\,Q(s)\,e^{-s}\,ds.$$

8.4.2. Now let us check that substituting the expression for $Q(t)$ into (8.11) yields

$$\|f_p\|^2 = \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma(\lambda/2)}\rho^{-|p|}\,(p\,!)^2 \sum_{l\leqslant p} \frac{(-1)^{|l|}\,\Gamma(|l|+n-\lambda)}{l\,!\,(p-l)\,!\,\Gamma(|l|+n-\frac{\lambda}{2})} = \pi^{3/2}\,\frac{\rho^{-|p|-2n+2}\,(p\,!)^2}{\sin\pi(n-\lambda)} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \Big(\Gamma(|l|+n-\frac{\lambda}{2})\,\Gamma(-|l|-n+\lambda+1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(l_i+1)\Gamma(p_i-l_i+1)\Big)^{-1}. \qquad (8.12)$$

Let us use the formula

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-as}\,K_\nu(as)\,s^{\mu-1}\,ds = \pi^{1/2}\frac{\Gamma(\mu+\nu)\,\Gamma(\mu-\nu)}{(2a)^\mu\,\Gamma(\mu+1/2)},$$

see [52, Vol. 1, p. 331, (28)]. For $\nu = \frac{\lambda-1}{2}$, $\mu = |l| + n - \frac{\lambda+1}{2}$, $a = 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty s^{|l|+n-2}\,Q(s)\,e^{-s}\,ds &= \int_0^\infty s^{|l|+n-2-\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}\,K_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(s/2)\,e^{-s/2}\,ds\\ &= \pi^{1/2}\,\frac{\Gamma(|l|+n-1)\,\Gamma(|l|+n-\lambda)}{\Gamma(|l|+n-\frac{\lambda}{2})}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies (8.12).

8.4.3. Finally, apply the Dougall formula (8.8) to the sum (8.12). In our case, $a_i = 0$, $b_i = p_i$ for $i \leqslant n$, $a_n = -n + \lambda$, and $a = n - \frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$, $b_i = p_i$. Therefore

$$\|f_p\|^2 = c(\lambda)\,\pi^{3/2}\,\frac{\rho^{-|p|}\,(p\,!)^2}{\sin\pi(n-\lambda)}\,\frac{\Gamma(|p|+\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2})\,\Gamma(|p|+n-\frac{\lambda}{2})\,\Gamma(\lambda-n+1)\,p\,!}.$$

Substituting the equality $\sin\pi(n-\lambda)\,\Gamma(\lambda-n+1) = \pi\,\Gamma^{-1}(n-\lambda)$ yields (8.10). $\square$

8.5. **Derivation of formula** (6.46) **for the operator** T_s **in the Bargmann model:**

$$T_s^+(\rho_+^\alpha\,z^k) = (-1)^{|k|}\,\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha+|k|)}\,\rho_+^{-\alpha+|k|}\,z^k.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 6.14 that

$$T_s^+(\rho_+^\alpha\,z^k) = f(\rho)\,z^k = \tau\,\psi_1(\rho,z),$$

where, according to (6.45), the function $\psi_1(\rho,z)$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_i(\rho,z) &= \rho^{-n+1}\Big(\int\limits_0^\infty\int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\rho\zeta+\frac{\rho'}{\zeta}}\,|\zeta|^{-2n}\,\zeta^{-|k|}\,\rho'^{\alpha+n-1}\,dt\,d\rho'\Big)\,z^k\\ &= (-1)^{|k|}\,\Gamma(\alpha+n)\,\rho^{-n+1}\Big(\int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\rho\zeta}\,(-\bar\zeta)^{-n}\,(-\zeta)^{-|k|+\alpha}\,dt\Big)\,z^k,\quad \zeta = it - \frac{|z|^2}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

According to (6.44), the equality $f(\rho)\,z^k = \tau\,\psi_1(\rho,z)$ implies that the function $f(\rho)$ is given by the formula

$$f(\rho) = \frac{\rho^{|k|+n-1}}{k!}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\psi_1(\rho,z)\,\bar z^k\,e^{-\rho|z|^2}\,d\mu(z).$$

Let us proceed to the calculation of this function. Taking into account that $-\rho\zeta-\rho|z|^2 = \rho\bar\zeta$, we have

$$f(\rho) = \frac{(-1)^{|k|}\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{k!}\,\rho^{|k|}\int_{-\infty}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{\rho\bar\zeta}\,(-\bar\zeta)^{-n}\,(-\zeta)^{-|k|+\alpha}\,|z^k|^2\,d\mu(z)\,dt.$$

Making the change of variables $t \to \rho^{-1} t$, $z \to \rho^{-1/2} z$, we obtain

$$f(\rho) = \frac{(-1)^{|k|}\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{k!}\, c(\alpha, k)\, \rho^{-\alpha+|k|},$$

where

$$c(\alpha,k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} e^{\bar{\zeta}}\, (-\bar{\zeta})^{-n}\, (-\zeta)^{-|k|+\alpha}\, |z^k|^2\, d\mu(z)\, dt.$$

To calculate $c(\alpha, k)$, replace the complex variables z_i by the variables $r_i = \frac{|z|^2}{2}$ and $\varphi_i = \arg z_i$. Since the integrand does not depend on φ_i, we obtain, setting $r = \sum r_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} c(\alpha,k) &= c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} e^{-(r+it)}\, (r+it)^{-n}\, (r-it)^{-|k|+\alpha} \prod r_i^{k_i} dr_i\, dt \\ &= c' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(r+it)}\, (r+it)^{-n}\, (r-it)^{-|k|+\alpha}\, r^{|k|+n-2}\, dr\, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, setting $r + it = u\, e^{i\varphi}$, $u > 0$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we bring the integral to the form

$$c(\alpha,k) = c' \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ue^{i\varphi}}\, u^{\alpha-1}\, e^{-(-|k|+\alpha+n)\varphi}\, \cos^{|k|+n-2} \varphi\, d\mu(u)\, d\varphi.$$

Integrating with respect to u yields

$$\begin{aligned} c(\alpha,k) &= c'\, \Gamma(\alpha) \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-(-|k|+2\alpha+n)\varphi}\, \cos^{|k|+n-2} \varphi\, d\varphi \\ &= c'' \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+n)\Gamma(-\alpha+|k|)}, \end{aligned}$$

see [53, p. 386, formula 9, expression for the last integral].

As a result we have

$$T_s(\rho^{\alpha}\, z^k) = c\, (-1)^{|k|}\, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha+|k|)} \rho^{-\alpha+|k|}\, z^k, \quad c > 0.$$

Since $T_s^2 = \mathrm{id}$, it follows that $c = 1$. □

References

[1] A. M. Vershik, S. I. Karpushev. Cohomology of groups in unitary representations, neighborhood of the identity and conditionally positive definite functions. Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 119 (1982), No. 4, 521–533.

[2] G. Kuhn, A. Vershik. Canonical semigroups of states and cocycles for the group of automorphisms of a homogeneous tree. Algebr. Represent. Theory 6 (2003), No. 3, 333–352.

[3] D. A. Kazhdan. On the connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups. Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen. 1 (1967), No. 1, 71–74.

[4] C. Delaroche, A. Kirillov. Sur les relations entre l'espace dual d'un groupe et la structure de ses sous-groupes fermés. Seminaire Bourbaki, Exposé 243 (1968).

[5] P. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, A. Valette. Kazhdan property (T). Preprint (2005).

[6] P. de la Harpe, A. Valette. La propriété (T) de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts. Astérisque 175 (1989).

[7] Y. Shalom. Rigidity and unitary representation of semisimple groups and fundamental groups of manifolds with rank one transformation groups. Ann. Math. 152 (2000), 113–182.

[8] P. Delorme. 1-cohomologie des représentation unitaires des groupes de Lie semi-simples et résolubles. Produits tensoriels continues de représentations. Bull Soc. Math. France 105 (1977), 281–336.

[9] J. Faraut, K. Harzallah. Distances hilbertiennes invariantes sur un espace homogène. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 24 (1974), 171–217.

[10] A. M. Vershik, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. Representations of the group $SL(2, R)$, where R is a ring of functions. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 28 (1973), No. 5, 83–128.

[11] G. Segal. Two papers on representation theory. In: Representations Theory. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, Vol. 69, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge–New York, 1982, pp. 1–13.

[12] A. M. Vershik, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. Irreducible representations of the group G^X and cohomology. Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen. 8 (1974), No. 2, 67–69.

[13] A. Guichardet. Représentations de G^X selon Gelfand et Delorme. Séminaire Bourbaki, Exposé 486 (1975/76).

[14] A. M. Vershik, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. The commutative model of the principal representation of the current group $GL(2, \mathbb{R})^X$ with respect to a unipotent subgroup. In: Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Vol. 2. Nauka, Moscow, 1983, pp. 472–487.

[15] A. M. Vershik, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. The commutative model of the representation of the current group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})^X$ connected with a unipotent subgroup. Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen. 17 (1983), No. 2, 70–72.

[16] A. M. Vershik, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. The commutative model of the principal representation of the group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})^X$ with respect to a unipotent subgroup. Preprint of the Institute of Applied Mathematics, No. 169, Moscow (1982).

[17] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, A. M. Vershik. Models of representations of current groups. In: Representations of Lie groups and Lie algebras. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1985, pp. 121–179.

[18] A. M. Vershik, M. I. Graev. A commutative model of a representation of the group $O(n, 1)^X$ and a generalized Lebesgue measure in a distribution space. Funct. Anal. Appl. 39 (2005), No. 2, 81–90.

[19] M. I. Graev, A. M. Vershik. The basic representation of the current group $O(n, 1)^X$ in the L^2 space over the generalized Lebesgue measure. Indag. Math. 16 (2005), No 3/4, 499–529.

[20] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. Special representations of the group $SU(n, 1)$ and projective unitary representations of the current group $SU(n, 1)^X$. Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. 48 (1994), No. 2, 291–295.

[21] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. Projective nonunitary representations of current groups. Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. 50 (1995), No. 2, 234–239.

[22] A. M. Vershik, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. Representations of the group of diffeomorphisms connected with infinite configurations. Preprint of the Institute of Applied Mathematics, No. 46, Moscow (1975).

[23] A. M. Vershik, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. Representations of the group of diffeomorphisms. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 30 (1975), No. 6(186), 1–50.

[24] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev. Principal representations of the group $U(\infty)$. In: Representation of Lie Groups and Related Topics. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., Vol. 7. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1990, pp. 119–153.

[25] G. Olshanski. Spherical functions and characters on the group $U(\infty)^X$. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 37 (1982), No. 2(224), 217–218.

[26] N. Tsilevich, A. Vershik, M. Yor. An infinite-dimensional analogue of the Lebesgue measure and distinguished properties of the gamma process. J. Funct. Anal. 185 (2001), No. 1, 274–296.

[27] R. S. Ismagilov. Representations of Infinite-Dimensional Groups. Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 152. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.

[28] A. Guichardet. Symmetric Hilbert Spaces and Related Topics. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 261. Springer, 1972.

[29] A. Guichardet. Cohomologie des Groupes Topologiques et des Algèbres de Lie. CEDIC, Paris, 1980.

[30] I. M. Gelfand, N. Ya. Vilenkin. Generalized Functions, No. 4. Some Applications of Harmonic Analysis. Equipped Hilbert Spaces. Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1961.

[31] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, N. Ya. Vilenkin. Generalized Functions, No. 5. Integral Geometry and Related Problems in the Theory of Representations. Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962.

[32] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, I. I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro. Theory of Representations and Automorphic Functions. Nauka, Moscow, 1966.

[33] F. A. Berezin. Representations of a continuous direct product of universal coverings of the group of motions of the complex ball. Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 36 (1978), 275–293.

[34] F. A. Berezin. Quantization in complex symmetric spaces. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 39 (1975), No. 2, 363–402.

[35] F. A. Berezin. Quantization. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 38 (1974), 1116–1175.

[36] F. A. Berezin, I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, M. A. Naimark. Representation of groups. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 11 (1956), No. 6(72), 13–40.

[37] M. I. Graev. Irreducible unitary representations of the group of third order matrices conserving an indefinite Hermite form. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 113 (1957), 966–969.

[38] M. I. Graev. Unitary representations of real simple Lie groups. Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 7 (1958), 335–389.

[39] H. Araki. Factorisable representations of the current algebra. Non commutative extension of the Levý-Khinchin formula and cohomology of a solvable group with values in a Hilbert space. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 5 (1969/1970), 361–422.

[40] H. Araki, J. Woods. Complete Boolean algebras of type I factors. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser. A 2 (1966) 157–242.

[41] K. R. Parthasarathy, K. Schmidt. Infinitely divisible projective representations, cocycles, and Levy–Khinchine–Araki formula on locally compact groups. Research Report 17, Manchester–Sheffield School of Probability and Statistics, 1970.

[42] K. R. Parthasarathy, K. Schmidt. Factorisable representations of current groups, and the Araki–Woods embedding theorem. Acta Math. 128 (1972), 53–71.

[43] K. R. Parthasarathy, K. Schmidt. Positive Definite Kernels, Continuous Tensor Products, and Central Limit Theorems of Probability Theory. Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 272, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1972.

[44] R. F. Streater. Current commutation relations, continuous tensor products, and infinitely divisible group representations. Rend. Sci. Ist. Fis. E. Fermi **II** (1969), 247–263.

[45] R. F. Streater. Infinitely divisible representations of Lie algebras. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete 19 (1971), 67–80.

[46] G. van Dijk, S. Halle. Canonical representations related to hyperbolic spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 147 (1997), 103–109.

[47] V. F. Molchanov, I. Grosheva. Canonical and boundary representations on the Lobachevsky plane. Acta Math. 79 (2003), No. 1-2, 57–59.

[48] V. F. Molchanov. Canonical representations on the two-sheeted hyperboloid. Indag. Math. 16 (2005), No 3-4, 191–204.

[49] Yu. A. Neretin, G. I. Olshanski. Boundary values of holomorphic functions, singular unitary representations of the groups $O(p,q)$ and their limits as $q \to \infty$. Zap. Nauchn. Semin. POMI 223 (1995), 9–91. English translation in J. Math. Sci. (New York) 87 (1997), No. 6, 3983–4035.

[50] A. M. Perelomov. Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications. Nauka, Moscow, 1987.

[51] H. Bateman, A. Erdelyi. Higher Transcendental Functions. Vol. I: Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1973; Vol. II: Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1974.

[52] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi. Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. 1. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York–Toronto–London, 1954.

[53] I. S. Gradshtein, I. M. Ryzhik. Tables of Integrals, Sums, Series, and Products. Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963.

[54] Loo-Keng Hua. Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in Classical Domains. Izdat. Inostr. Lit., Moscow, 1959.

A. M. Vershik, St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Fontanka 27, 191023 St. Petersburg, Russia
E-mail: vershik@pdmi.ras.ru

M. I. Graev, Institute for System Studies, 36-1 Nakhimovsky pr., 117218 Moscow, Russia
E-mail: graev_36@mtu-net.ru